Confession To Jesuits No 27: The Treaty of Verona Kept Hidden From Americans

This treaty and its indictment of the Vatican and Jesuit Order was put in the Congressional Record by American patriots. Now our Congress has kept this hidden from the people and shows clearly Capitol Hill is now filled with traitors.

By Greg Szymanski
April 29, 2007

In this 27th Confession to the Jesuits we look at the importance of The
Treaty of Verona as a key to understanding the Vatican and Jesuit Order's control over the U.S. government and most other world governments.

The following information was gathered by the late truth-seeker and patriot, Jim Arrabito, who was assassinated by the Jesuit Order in an Alaskan plane crash.

One of the articles he left us before his untimely death should be read as a starting point for understanding the real spiritual controllers of the New World Order -- The Vatican and its henchmen of the Jesuit Order.

J**ESUIT**

Vatican Tyranny

ORIGINALLY INSTITUTED (Portugal/Spain,1534) BY THE VATICAN, FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF EXTERMINATING THE REFORMATION,

THE ORDER WAS SUPPRESSED 21 JULY 1773 BY POPE CLEMENT XIV (for which he was promptly poisoned). THE ORDER WAS RE-INSTITUTED 1814 AND GIVEN THE "SECRET TREATY OF VERONA"

FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF CARRYING OUT ITS DICTATES.

THIS EDICT WAS FINALLY RATIFIED BY ITS CONTRACTING PARTIES OCT 1822: CAUSING PRESIDENT JAMES MONROE, WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF THOMAS JEFFERSON, UPON LEARNING OF ITS EXISTENCE, TO WRITE THE MONROE DOCTRINE, AS RECORDED IN THE: CONGRESSIONAL RECORD-SENATE., VOLUME 53, PART 7, PAGE 6781 OF THE 64th CONGRESS, 1st SESSION, 25 April 1916.

THIS WORK IS DEDICATED TO EX-JESUIT PRIEST: DR. ALBERTO ROMERO RIVERA, WHO'S LIFE STORY INSPIRED.

"Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves."

(Matt,7:15)

I read a book some years ago that started my research, that I am still involved in today. The information I have, I believe, if it were ever brought to a trial, would prove so conclusively of what I am about to tell you that not even the perpetrators themselves would try to deny it. In the past, when confronted with their prior history of atrocities, their only response has been: "we don't do that anymore", or sneer at its improbability, or a gratuitous imputation of bigotry and intolerance against the writer, while at the same time telling all their followers: "we never change". As you read it will become only to apparent that the latter is the case.

Before I begin, and you start thinking I'm an "extremist", let me say that I'm not the only person to find this information. It is readily available (at the finger tips of those on the internet) to anyone willing to put in a little time and research. I have found, what I believe to be, the common denominator for a very large percentage (if not all) of the events perpetrated and/or carried out

by departments of government and/or its agencies, that are totally negative to the rights and freedoms
of the American people, hence, also to the United States Constitution, its Bill of Rights and the Declaration of Independence. This organization is responsible for the wholesale slaughter of multi-millions of peoples all over the world. It is responsible for the "Dark Age" (inquisition) period leading up to the founding of this country: As you
will learn, this organization, though having periods of civil and military power fluctuation (due to losses from their own tyrannical acts and resultant exposure) not only still exists: but is far more powerful (lethal) today than ever before: This is especially true in the United States, where the order has its highest concentration (at least seventeen times that of any other country).

A zeal for the discovery of new territory marked the fifteenth century. The first navigations for this purpose were concerted and directed by prince Henry. Born 1394, the fourth son of John I. king of Portugal. His valor in the assault and capture of the city: Ceuta in Africa 1415, presaged the fame he afterward acquired. From this period he devoted himself to naval expeditions for the discovery of unknown countries. His ships subjected divers parts of Africa and the neighboring islands to the dominion of Portugal. After the success in doubling cape Bojadoy, he gave to his father and successors all the land
he discovered, or might discover, and applied to Pope Martin V to ratify the donation. He engaged that in all their expeditions the Portuguese should have mainly in view the extension of the Roman church and authority of its pontiff. Pope Martin granted the prince's request. In his bull of ratification, which was about the year 1430, it is declared that: "whatever might be discovered from the said cape to the utmost India, should pertain to the Portuguese dominion." Edward, brother of Prince Henry, succeeded to the throne of Portugal 1433, on the death of John I. Pope Eugene IV. by his bull in 1438, ratified, to Edward, the grant made by Martin V. A bull of Nicholas V. dated 8 Jan 1454, refers to the aforesaid bulls of his predecessors, Martin and Eugene. It recites the declaration prince Henry made of his achievements "that for 25 years he had

not ceased to send annually almost an army" of Portuguese, "with the greatest dangers, labors and charges, in the most swift ships, to search out the sea and maritime provinces toward the southern parts and Antarctic Pole." that these ships "came at length to the province of Guinea, and took possession of some islands, havens and sea adjoining" that "sailing further, war was waged for some years with the people of those parts, and very many islands near thereunto were subdued and peaceably possessed, and were still possessed with the adjacent sea" that "many Guineans and other negroes were taken then by force, and some by barter." The bull describes Henry as "a true soldier of Christ, a most courageous defender and intrepid champion of the faith, aspiring from his early youth with his utmost might to have the glorious name of Christ published, extolled and revered throughout the world." It recognizes the exclusive right of Portugal to the acquisitions and possessions aforesaid, in virtue of the letters of Popes Martin and Eugene, which granted to the king of Portugal and prince Henry "free and ample faculty to invade, search out, expunge, vanquish and subdue all pagans and enemies of Christ whosesoever placed, and their persons to reduce to perpetual slavery, and all their kingdoms, possessions and goods to apply and appropriate," etc. Pope Nicholas's letter then goes
on to "decree and declare, the acquests already made, and what hereafter shall happen to be acquired, after that they shall be acquired, have pertained, and forever of right do belong and pertain to the aforesaid king and his successors, and not to any others whatever." It forbids, on the severest penalties, all Christian powers from settling in the countries discovered by the Portuguese, or any way molesting them in their expeditions for the discovery and conquest of unknown countries.

It speaks of prince Henry's plan and his prosecution of it as "a most pious work, and most worthy of perpetual remembrance, wherein the glory of God, where the interest of the commonwealth of the universal church are concerned."

Thus was prince Henry's views and operations sanctioned by the highest authority, at that time, acknowledged in Christendom. A

right derived from a source so venerable was then undisputed. The Roman pontiff bound princes at his pleasure, and as Vicar of Christ, was allowed to have at his disposal all the kingdoms of the earth. This grant of Pope Nicholas was confirmed by his successor: Calixtus III. 6 August 1458.

On the death of Edward, his son Alphonsus, then in his minority, succeeded to the throne of Portugal, 1438, and died 1481. Prince Henry died 1460-63. At his death the spirit of discovery languished, but revived with the accession of John II., son of Alphonsus. The year after his accession (1482), sent an embassy to Edward IV. of England, to acquaint him with the title acquired by the Pope's bull to the conquest in Guinea: requesting him to dissolve a fleet, which some English
merchants were fitting for the Guinea (slave) trade. The king of England showed great respect to the ambassadors and granted all they required. The king of Portugal assumed, and the king of England gave him, this style, Rex Portugalie et Algarbiorum citra at ultra mare in Africa. Pope Sixtus IV., not long before his death(12 Aug 1484), confirmed all the grants made by his predecessors, to the kings of Portugal and their successors.

In 1481 John II. sent 100 artificers, 500 soldiers, and all necessities to build a fort in Guinea. The large kingdoms of Benin and Congo were discovered 1484-85, and the Cape of Good Hope 1486. The Portuguese built forts and planted colonies in Africa: established a commercial intercourse with the powerful kingdoms, and compelled the petty princes, by force of arms, to acknowledge themselves vassals.

At this period, and by these means, the power and commerce of the Portuguese in Africa were well established. The wholesome decrees of five successive Roman pontiffs granted, conveyed and confirmed to the most faithful king a right to appropriate the kingdoms, goods and possessions of all infidels, wherever to be found, "to reduce their persons to perpetual slavery or destroy them from the earth", for the declared purpose of bringing the Lord's sheep into one dominical fold, under one universal pastor.

Succeeding kings of Portugal have not forfeited the large grant by any undutiful ness to their holy father.
Portugal long enjoyed the trade to Africa and the East Indies without interference of any European power. For more than half a century, before exporting any negroes from Africa, she made and held them slaves in their native country.

The Portuguese first imported slaves into Hispaniola 1508, and into their Brazilian colonies 1517. Their sugar works were first set up in these colonies 1580. Their union with Spain, at that time, was most unfortunate for them. The Dutch became their enemy, who took their East-India and Brazilian conquests and parts of their African colonies. They recovered Brazil and their African establishments 1640, but not that of India.

After the Dutch gave up Brazil and the gold mines were discovered, the trade of Portugal improved and a great importation of slaves took place: carrying yearly from Loangro to Brazil: 25,000, also many thousands from Goango and Cape Lopos. They themselves say they carry to Brazil 50,000 and more every year from Melinda, on the Mozambique coast. Such had been the increase of their Brazilian and African colonies for a century that their increase in English goods, annually, was greater than that of Portugal and Spain combined prior to 1700.

Spanish America received slaves from the Genoese, Portuguese, French and English. Every state purchased from Spain seceded from the union (1861). The Vatican was the only country to acknowledge sovereignty to the Confederacy. Before, during and long after the African slave trade ended: the heads of state of Spain and Portugal were addressed (and may still be), in formal title, by foreign dignitaries/heads of state, as: "His/Her Catholic Majesty" (U.S. State Dept. records): thereby acknowledging them, only, as emissaries of the pope.

Catholic priest's dealing in the African slave trade is testified to, in this poem, by the famous nineteenth century poet, J.G. Whittier:

A poem, on the slave block in New Orleans.

THE CHRISTIAN SLAVE

BY J. G. WHITTIER

A CHRISTIAN! going, gone!

Who bids for Gods own image?-for His grace

Which this poor victim of the market-place

Hath in her suffering won?

My God! can such things be?

Hast thou not said that whatsoe'er is done

Unto Thy weakest and Thy humblest one,

Is even done to thee?

In that sad victim, then,

Child of Thy pitying love, I see Thee stand,

Once more the jest-word of a mocking band,

Bound, sold, and scourged again!

A Christian up for sale!

Wet with her blood your whips-o'ertask her frame,

Make her life loathsome with your wrong and shame,

Her patience shall not fail!

Cheers for the turbaned bey

Of robber-peopled tunis! he hath torn

The dark slave-dungeons open, and hath borne

Their inmates into day:

But our poor slave in vain

Turns to the Christian shrine her aching eyes-

Its rites will only swell her market price,

And rivet on her chain.

God of all right! how long

Shall priestly robbers at Thine alter stand,

Lifting in prayer to thee the bloody hand

And haughty brow of wrong!

O, from the fields of cane,

From the low rice-swamp, from the traders cell-

From the black slave-ship's foul and loathsome hell,

And coffle's weary chain-

Hoarse, horrible, and strong,

Rises to heaven that agonizing cry,

Filling the arches of the hollow sky,

How Long, O Lord, how long!

For those who think the Vatican's attitude, toward black people, may have changed through the ages bear this in mind: (1)the biggest race riot in American history(1864, Brooklyn, New York City) was instigated by Archbishop Hughes (in response to Lincoln creating a draft for more union soldiers), including the burning, and trampling to death of the
occupants, of a black orphanage. (2)when the U.S. Army occupied Rome
during WWII, Pope Pius XII made it clear to American generals there were to be no black soldiers garrisoned in Rome. (3)over 80% of St. Louis, New York City, Chicago etc. police are Knights of Columbus: bear this in mind when you learn that over 75% of these cops sell drugs; and when reviewing the facts of the Fred Hampton murder by the Chicago police,

4 Dec 69, 6:00AM: every shot fired in the apartment was from fully automatic weapons used by the police (no return fire);

Amadou Diallo: four cops randomly "check out" Diallo: telling him to show his I.D.: while reaching for his wallet, in compliance with their "order" the cops open up on him: 41 shots fired, 19 striking his body: one bullet traveling almost vertically up each lower leg and another thru the bottom of the right shoe, proves Diallo was flat on his back when the shots were fired: Diallo was unarmed. Officer McMellon - bruised his tail bone falling from stoop; officer Carroll - injured his ankle in fall from steps: evidently, these four of "New Yorks finest" conducted themselves with all of the professionalism and expertise of Daffy Duck. If this is "New Yorks finest" I can't imagine what could possibly be their worst.

The cops, defense lawyers and judge all had a party after the trial. Every unarmed black being shot in New York City (by police) is a working immigrant. Special Forces Group's (snipers) have been giving weapons training (and illegally selling weapons) to the KKK in Alabama in exchange for info on black leaders that lead to the murder of Martin Luther King Jr. and was financed through, New Orleans godfather: Carlos Marcello (direct associate of J. Edgar Hoover: Knight of Malta; 33d degree Mason). Portuguese, Raul Maori (director of James Earl Rays movements and actions that set him up as a patsy: actual shooter of Martin Luther King Jr.) is a drugs and weapons smuggler for Carlos Marcello. To see a direct connection between the KKK, GBI and the FBI, see the docudrama: "Who Murdered Atlanta's Children?" Blacks are being systematically squeezed out of welfare, while legal and illegal catholic immigrants receive unending welfare. Blacks fear the police more than street gangs. Every American city has a predominate catholic influence, and the proportion of mafia/catholic power and influence and crime rate is consistent from city to city: http://www.thelinkup.org

Entire tribes of western American Indians were annihilated under the direct orders of the brown robed butchers, i.e. Coronado and his Spanish Conquistadors 1519-1540's. Not to mention the Aztecs and Incas of Mexico, Central and South America, Cuba, Haiti, Grenada, Guam, Philippines etc. With the likes of Almagro, Cortez, Pizarro, Valverde,

de Meza, Gregory, Sepulveda, Valega and, yes, Christopher Columbus (imprisoned by Queen Isabella of Spain for his atrocities against the natives of Grenada), robbing them of all their national wealth and enslaving the people (try finding that in your public school history books. In the late middle age discoveries, the Vatican viewed all natives of the Americas as "indigenous natural slaves":

"Battle of Wounded Knee" (slaughter at wounded knee): With the Sioux, unarmed (except for a few skinning knives) and herded together like cattle: a man in a black robe (jesuit) asked the indians if they wanted to prey. After preying (non-catholic) the "man in the black robe" spoke to the cavalry officer, who then ordered the cavalry troops to form a line across in front of the defenseless indians, then ordered them to open fire.

Until 1960 you could read, in any public library, books detailing Vatican/Jesuit atrocities throughout the European middle age/dark age inquisitions, since 1960 there has been a systematic removal of all publications (after web pages, like this one, became known, several hundred books suddenly disappeared from the Library of Congress; example:
"Confessions of The Conspirators" {to Lincoln's assassination}): evidencing a systematic takeover of all educational material: remember the "book burnings" in Berlin 1933?). This is further evidenced by the remote location of Machu Picchu. And all in the name of their "holy mother", the Church of Rome. Our own war of independence was called a
war of spiritual freedom: after seeing the purposeful destruction of every church and synagogue in every city, village and town the British Red Coats marched through. Every church that is except Roman Catholic. That's recorded in: "The Light and the Glory", by: Peter Marshall and David Manuel. Though the authors never mention the Jesuit's as the
perpetrators of these atrocities other records leave little doubt ["British Canadian Sir Henry Clinton: commander-in-chief in North America, his troops made up of Oliver Delaney's and Cortlandt Skinner's brigades, New York Volunteers, Queens Rangers, Orange

Rangers, Loyal Americans, Pennsylvania Loyalists, Maryland Loyalists, Roman Catholic
Volunteers, West Jersey Volunteers, Chasseurs, Royal Americans Reformees, Volunteers of Ireland (Catholic), British Legion, Guides and Pioneers" (Secret History of the American Revolution, by: Carl Van Doren)]. In fact the authors try to exonerate the Jesuits. However in that attempt they accept the information of a man who was on the team of translators
of the "American Standard version" of the bible. How anyone could put their trust in a person who would associate himself with a group of men of which 33% were known affiliates with communist fronts and causes is beyond me ("None Dare Call It Treason", by: John H. Stormer,1964). The correlation of the Vaticans role in the instigation and continuance of
the Revolutionary War is clearly evidenced in: "The Paris Peace Treaty of 1783". A synopsis of Vatican orchestrated atrocities can be seen when reading: "Foxes Book of Martyr's". This book also shows the direct correlation between the submission demands placed on the inquisition victims, by Priests, and the Papal encyclical to the Second Vatican
Council, promulgated 25 May 1995 i.e. recognizing the Pope as the vicar of Christ (Christ incarnate); taking part in communion that recognizes the wafer of unleavened bread (Eucharist) as the literal body and blood
of Christ, and making it an essential part of being a member of the body of Christ. For this to be a part of R.C. dogma is of no consequence, but to say that the R.C. Church has not only the authority, but the obligation and duty to God to apply it to every person, of every religion, that has been baptized in Christ's name (willing or unwilling), and that the sole purpose of the Vatican and its ecumenical movement is to bring about this end, is to expose the fact that the Vatican has not
changed its dogma, stand, purpose or methods since the start of the inquisitions. Here are a few paragraphs: "We can now ask how much further we must travel until that blessed day when full unity in faith will be attained and we can celebrate together in peace the Holy Eucharist of the Lord. The greater mutual understanding and the doctrinal convergences already achieved between us,

which have resulted in an affective and effective growth of communion, cannot suffice for the conscience of Christians who profess that the Church is one, holy, Catholic and apostolic. The ultimate goal of the ecumenical movement is to re-establish full visible unity among all the baptized" (page 35, last paragraph). "It is already possible to identify the areas in need of fuller study before a true consensus of faith can be achieved: 1) the relationship between sacred scripture, as the highest authority in matters of faith, and Sacred Tradition, as indispensable to the interpretation of the Word of God; 2) the Eucharist, as the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ, an offering of praise to the Father, the sacrificial memorial and Real Presence of Christ and the sanctifying
outpouring of the Holy Spirit; 3) Ordination, as a Sacrament, to the threefold ministry of the episcopate, presbyterate and diocanate; 4) the Magesterum of the Church, entrusted to the Pope and the Bishops in communion with him, understood as a responsibility and an authority exercised in the name of Christ for teaching and safeguarding the faith; 5) the Virgin Mary, as Mother of God and Icon of the Church, the spiritual Mother who intercedes for Christ's disciples and for all
humanity" (page 36, paragraph 7). "Consequently, for the out come of dialogue to be received, there is needed a broad and precise critical process which analyzes the results and rigorously tests their consistency with the Tradition of faith received from the Apostles and lived out in the community of believers gathered around the Bishop, their "legitimate"
pastor" (page 37, paragraph 3). The enormity of the implications of this edict so staggers the imagination that to refuse to acknowledge this threat to American freedoms and rights, and the enormity of its scope, can only be construed as complicity with its intentions. If you have any doubts at all about this all you have to do is read this edict. It is titled: "That They May Be One". It is interesting that the pope uses the word "they" and not "we", obviously excluding himself. After all, being the vicar of Christ (Christ incarnate), he can't consider himself a mere mortal (power is intoxicating, even to the extent of total distortion of logic). This edict has been given full approval by Skull & Bones: Pat

Robertson, of the 700 Club. Also read the first and second points of the second week of "The Spiritual Exercises of Saint Ignatius of Loyola".
Ignatius of Loyola, who's real name was Inigio Lopez De Recalde, founded the Society of Jesus(Jesuits)1534 in Spain, and also the Illuminati (holder of the light) [later instituted 1 May 1776 by Adam Weishaupt (German Jesuit, who's picture is on our one dollar bill) at Ingelstadt (jesuit) University, where he was Professor of Cannon Law, under the direct orders of the "Black Pope"(who is a member of the Masonic Lodge of Europe) and financed by the "House of Rothschild" (In 1777 Weishaupt was initiated into the Masonic Order, the Lodge of Good Council, in Munich, to infiltrate and control it. At the Masonic International Congress at Wilhemsbad July 1782 the Masonic leaders received Illuminati indoctrination) (U.S. branch of the Illuminati is: "Council on Foreign Relations", of which U.S. Supreme Court judges: Ruth Bader Ginsburg
(Catholic), Stephen Breyer (Catholic) and Sandra Day O'Conner are members, Bill Clinton, George Bush, Newt Gingrich, etc.); "Trilateral Commission" and "Skull & Bones" of Yale University]. De Recalde was also a Crusader (Vatican army that raped Israel, and most of Europe, in the dark age inquisitions). Interlocked Illuminati fronts are: United Nations, Bilderberg Group, Club of Rome, Royal Institute For International Affairs (England), Tri-Lateral Commission and Council On Foreign Relations.

Jesuit spiritual exercises are a daily ritual for Jesuits. A copy is easily obtainable thru the Internet. Let me quote a couple of lines: "The first point is to put before me a human king chosen by God our Lord, whom all Christian princes and men reverence and obey. The second, to look how this king speaks to all his people, saying: It is my will to conquer all the land of unbelievers. Therefore, whoever would like to come with me is to be content to eat as I, and also to drink and dress,
etc., as I: likewise he is to labor like me in the day and watch in the night, etc., that so afterwards he may have part with me in the victory, as he has had it in the labors". Third week, first day, fifth point: "The fifth to consider how the Divinity hides itself, that is,

how it could destroy its enemies and does not do it, and how it leaves the most sacred Humanity to suffer so very cruelly". In the bull: Unam Sanctam (by: Pope Boniface VIII, promulgated 18 Nov 1302) we see the Vatican's intention of using governments and their agencies to achieve Vatican goals: "We are informed by the texts of the gospels that in this church and in its power are two swords; namely the spiritual and the temporal. For when the Apostles say: "Behold here are two swords" [Lk 22:38] that is to say, in the church, since the Apostles were speaking, the Lord did not reply that there were too many, but sufficient. Certainly the one who denies that the temporal sword is in the power of Peter has not listened well to the word of the Lord commanding: "Put up thy sword into thy scabbard" [Mt 26:52]. Both, therefore, are in the power of the church, that is to say, the spiritual and the material sword, but the former is to be administered-for-the Church but the latter-by-the Church; the former in the hands of the priests; the latter in the hands of kings and soldiers, but at the will and sufferance of the priest": This self grandiosing butchery is espoused in more definitive terms, in the catholic publication: "Toward a Catholic Constitution", 1996, by: jesuit: Leonard Swidler (professor of catholic thought and interreligious dialogue, Temple University, Philadelphia Pa.): "One need look no further than the now accepted bulwark of orthodoxy (conformity to an established belief or doctrine), Thomas Aquinas, to seehow far the prevalent assumptions about the compenetration of religion and politics, with the presumed supremacy of the former, extended at that time. In treating of heretics Thomas views them as common criminals. Their crime is even greater than that of counterfeiters, for ""it is much more grievous to corrupt the faith . . . than to falsify money." Therefore, heretics "may not only be excommunicated, but may justly be killed." The church should properly tolerate their existence for a while, so long as there is some reasonable hope for conversion, but when that is gone, then heretics (anyone not catholic) must be cut out like rotten flesh," as Jerome says. They should be handed over "to the secular judge to be exterminated from the world through death." (pg. 58). This edict was included in cannon law until May of 1983: This should be sufficient to convince you of the

militancy of the Vatican, Illuminati and their method of administration [now we know what was behind the raid on Mount Carmel in Waco (pilot program to establish future policy)]. Nowhere is this policy more obvious than in the Balkans. The "Dogmatic Constitution on The Church" proclaimed by pope Paul VI, 21 Nov 1964: 20. Paragraph 3: "with priests and deacons as helpers, the bishops received the charge of the community, presiding in Gods stead over the flock, of which they are the shepherds in that they are teachers of doctrine, ministers of sacred worship and
holders of office in government." Ireland is a typical example of this policy.

"There is none that understandeth, there is none that seeketh after God. They are all gone out of the way, they are together become unprofitable; there is none that doeth good, no not one. There throat is become an open sepulchre; with their tongues they have used deceit; the poison of asps is under their lips: whose mouth is full of cursing and bitterness: their feet are swift to shed blood: destruction and misery are in their ways: and the way of peace have they not known: there is no fear of God before their eyes." Romans, 3:11-18.

Ironically the jesuits are feared (and highly disliked) among the Catholic clergy more than anywhere else (there is inner-clergy rivalry within the R.C. orders, not unlike that of the upper-echelon of the Third Reich: they know the jesuits for what they really are). Not too surprising since the jesuits answer only to the "Black Pope". The jesuits do for the Vatican what the, jesuit trained, S.S., S.D., S.A. and Gestapo did for the Third Reich.

Frans Von Papen, (jesuit) Hitlers mentor, chancellor of Germany (June 1932 - March 1933) and first vice-chancellor of the Third Reich, stated in his book: "My Conversations with Hitler": "Hitler has put into practice the high ideals of the papacy". This statement was made in reference to the death camps. As chancellor, von Papen's first act was to dissolve the Reichstag; his second was lifting the ban on Hitlers "Brown Shirts" (SA); he then

integrated Catholic youth groups into the
"Hitler Youth": setting up the civil and political base for Hitler and the Nazi Party to move into power. "Mein Kampf" was written for Hitler by jesuit Staempfle. As papal nuncio to Germany(1917-1929), Archbishop Eugenio Pacelli worked with Germany's Illuminati bankers (Chase Manhattan, in New York City, and Bank of England funneled money's through Credit Swiss (Vatican bank) to the Reich's Bank in Berlin) and industrialist's (I.G. Farben), establishing the financial and industrial base for the Third Reich: WW II was in its planning stage while WW I was still in full swing. Thousands of Jews were hidden in the Vatican, as a precaution, in case Hitler lost the war.

"Yea the time cometh when he that killeth you will think that he doeth God service." (John 16:2)

The fact that the Vatican entered into a concordat with Hitler [signed in the Vatican by Von Papen and Cardinal Secretary of State:
Eugenio Pacelli (later Pope Pius XII), 20 July 1933], as with Mussolini,
Franco and Salazar is no secret. But the Vatican's attempt to seduce
Hitler into extending the provisions of that concordat to include every
country he raped and pillaged is not so well known, but is recorded in
Hitler's own words in his book: "Hitler's Secret Conversations" i.e. "I
have shown myself unresponsive to the attempts of the Vatican toward the
extension of the provisions of the concordat to embrace the newly acquired territories of the Reich. The Saar, Sudetenland, Bohemia and
Moravia, the Reichsgau Danszig-East Prussia, the Warthegau, a large part
of Silesia and Alsace-Lorraine have, in fact, no relations with the Roman

Catholic Church which are supported by formal international agreement"
(page 516). "The attitude of the Bishop von Galen affords just one more
argument in favor of terminating the Concordat after the war, substituting for it regional regulations and immediately withholding from
the Church the financial support at present guaranteed to it by that
treaty" (page 520). Pacelli (negotiating the concordat provisions) insisted, the German State, within the dictates of the concordat, "be
bound by any and all proposals of the local bishop regarding teachers of
religion, and that the state would be obliged to fire such teachers if
the bishop so demanded; meanwhile, the state would be required to meet
all financial obligations, and at the same time, guarantee the application of cannon law to the faithful"; [Bill Bennett (and his,
Jesuit, brother Bob are KNOWN operatives in CIA/MK-Ultra/Project Monarch
http://www.iahf.com/readings/index.htmll -extreme trauma induced multiple
personality mind control sex deviates and pedophiles), self professed
Jesuit, Secretary of Education under Reagan, propagator of Outcome Based
Education (OBE), stated: "All children should be taught by Jesuits" (to
profligate Americas youth: Joan of Arc was burned at the stake, at age
sixteen, after signing her name to a document {unknowingly authorizing
her own execution} she could not read, and could only write her name:
being a product of a catholic parochial school)]. This makes me very

curious of the content of the concordat: "Conciliar Document on Religious
Freedom", being pushed in Washington by John Courtney Murray (R.C.
Priest).

It becomes apparent that a chain of events of influence by certain people directed R.C. Hitler's life. Leopold Poetsch, his (Catholic) teacher; Mein Kampf: written, for Hitler, by German Jesuit: Staempfle;
his "recruitment" into the Thule Society, 1919 (totally racial, religious, ethnic biased and anti-Semitic satanic cult. Read: "The Occult and The Third Reich"), as stated in (web page) "Illuminati Outline
of History". All of Hitler's "inner circle" were members e.g. Himmler

(Knight of Malta), Goebbels & Hoess etc. (and still good Catholics) which
were among the thousands of Nazi and Ustasha fascist war criminals
smuggled to Argentina, Bolivia, Paraguay, Australia, Canada and the U.S.
(with 10,000+) by the Vatican (using forged documents, with Vatican seal,
on stolen Red Cross travel cards with Vatican Visa's). The mass evacuation ("Ratlines") being coordinated by a small cabal of Vatican
officials ("Intermarium") such as the infamous Dr. Nix (CIA top secret
document: "Illegal Emigration Movements In and Through Italy", declassified: NND 760050: 11 April 90), Giovanni Montini (later Pope Paul
VI), Hubal and Dragonovic (worked with U.S. Army's Counter Intelligence
Corps (CIC) organizing the escape of Gestapo head and war criminal Klaus
Barbie to South America) under the direction of Pope Pius XII (1945-1950)

"Unholy Trinity" by: Mark Aarons and John Loftus); "Those who did rescue
the mass murderers from the hand of justice were not Peron (Argentine
populist dictator) and his secret police but the [Roman] Catholic Church
and its emissaries. It was the [Roman] Catholic Church, which, through
its priests, church's, monasteries and forged Vatican passports, managed
to foil the post-war hunt for Nazi war criminals. Nor was the church's
rescue operation inconsistent with the policy it had pursued throughout
the Nazi era. Henri du Lubac, a Jesuit theologian, filed a report in
1944, well before the end of the war, on the collaboration of the [Roman]
Catholic Church with the pro-Nazi Vichy (French) regime. Hidden until
this month, the report condemns what du Lubac calls the moral and
spiritual abdication of Roman Catholic Bishops under the Nazis. For

decade's French cardinals, monks and nuns had helped one of France's
worst war criminals, Paul Touvier, escape justice. It is difficult to
imagine that it was possible, in the monolithic [Roman] Catholic Church,
for so many to act so uniformly for so long without the knowledge and
approval of the highest levels of the Vatican. Israelis have found it
difficult to understand why the Vatican has refused to recognize the
State of Israel." Jerusalem Post, editorial: "Maxima Culpa" 14 Feb 92;
Adolf Eichmann was issued a Vatican visa and passport (in the name of
Ricardo Klement, dated: 14 July 1950) by Franciscan monks in a

Catholic
monastery in Italy, giving him free passage to Argentina. At his trial
in Jerusalem, raising his right hand in swearing to tell the truth, Adolf
Eichmann gave the hand sign of the Pope. Hitler was backed by Illuminati
bankers [the reason Rudolph Hess flew to Scotland (home of the Rothschild's)], in London, New York City and Berlin, after approval by
Ivy Lee (probably chosen due to his successful promotion of the image of
John D. Rockefeller), who was hired by I.G. Farben for that purpose

[I.G.Farben, who fed the notorious "Farben buna soup" to the inmates
working at "I.G. Auschwitz" factory (specially formulated to starve them
to death in three months) and invented Zyklon B, the poison used to gas
the Jews (according to Franciscan, Duns Scotus, Jews were slaves by
divine decree), Gypsies etc. and now controls the pharmaceutical industry
in the U.S., the A.M.A. and the F.D.A. That's why Laetrile (more recently
called Mega-zyme Forte) was outlawed without ever being tested and not
allowed to be tested. It's a food, (not a drug) vitamin B-17, derived

from apricot seeds (and other food sources), that has been proven to cure
three out of five "terminal" cancer patients. That's also why the F.D.A.
"requires" $210,000,000 and 10 years in research to put a vitamin on the
market (effectively stopping all new vitamin company manufacturing). It

really doesn't take much imagination to figure out the annual multi-million dollar income these pharmaceutical companies are raking in
($29 billion in 25 years) from federal grants, which would come to a
sudden stop if their were no more "cancer industry" (read: "World Without
Cancer", by: G. Edward Griffen): while treating a congressman, Dr. Francisco Contraras, and the congressman, discussed hopes for an eventual
cure: the doctor was told that if he took a total cure to the U.S. President tomorrow, he'd "Probably be killed!" "Cancer is such a big
business in the U.S.A." Now you can see why Dr. Burzynski is being

prosecuted in Texas for curing cancer patients. They will put him away
because he is too much of a threat to their mega-buck industry] (in 1996
over 600 hospitals merged with Catholic institutions in 19 states, from
Portland Maine to Oakland California).

Kernersville N.C : a cancer patient was told, by his doctor, he would have to have half his jaw removed to stop the cancer. The man went
to Tijuana(Dr. Ernesto Contreras: Contreras Oasis Hospital, Playas De
Tijuana, Mexico) and was treated with Laetrile and the cancer cleared up.
The man told his doctor about the treatment and the doctor (by federal
law) reported it to the American Medical Association: federal agents came
and searched the mans home for the Laetrile. In the U.S: I.G. Farben is
BASF, Bayer and Hoechst.

Tampa FL : Dr. James Kimball sentenced to thirteen years, by federal
mob, psychopath, judge: richard lazzara : Dr. Kimballs crime ?: curing
patients of degenerative diseases and slowing their aging processes,
using non-pharmaceutical medicines he invented, and the FDA not making
any profit from it : having a 100% success rate with his patients, he had
to be stopped. Federal vermin lazzara stated: "he does not have any
respect for authority or for the law". Are you starting to get the picture ?

Hitler stated in "Rise and Fall of the Third Reich", page 44:
"Regardless of how much room for criticism there was in any religious
denomination, a political party must never for a moment lose sight of the
fact that in all previous historical experience a purely political party
has never succeeded in producing a religious reformation." Compare this
with page 486-487: "These peoples looked longingly toward their "mother"
countries, Hungary, Russia and Germany respectively, though the
Sudeteners had never belonged to the German Reich (except as a part of
the loosely formed Holy Roman Empire) but only to Austria". Obviously
Hitler saw his Third Reich as a Roman Catholic enterprise ["Center
Party"(re-established as Christian Democratic Party" following WWII)
Catholic Church political party, who's president was Bishop Ludwig Kaas
{one of its political protégés is in Peru with the same name and for the

same purpose}), of Germany was disbanded by Cardinal Pacelli, throwing
all of its support behind Hitler]. Hitler was baptized in Linz, paid the
German state tax (Kirchensteuer) to the Vatican (still required of every
German citizen today), was in communion and carried out cannon law and
policy until his demise. With this in mind we can see why Hitler stayed
true to his other concordat allies: Franco (who was put into power and
assisted for his entire reign by Catholic order Opus Dei (founded by José
Maria Escriva), who also assisted the Ustasha in Croatia during WWII and
still assists Vatican mercenaries in Bosnia and Kosovo today; Sargent
Shriver (and his wife, Eunice Kennedy Shriver), who was George McGoverns
running mate in 1972, are Opus Dei (Pope's Mafia: Church and State
magazine); F.B.I. special agent: Robert P. Hanssen (spied for the
Russians for 15 years) and F.B.I. head: Louis Freeh are Opus Dei
supernumerary: keep this in mind when you learn the sole purpose of the
order is the subjugation of governments to the Vatican)
http://www.mond.at/opus.dei (an annual mass is celebrated in Hitler's
memory in Madrid) (the present day Irish Catholic press is full of
flattering illusions to Franco of Spain and Salazar of Portugal) and
Mussolini (who was put into power by the Vatican) while breaking every
other international treaty. It is significant that Germany, Italy and
Spain are together again as they were with Hitler, Mussolini and Franco
(with their "Pact of Steel", signed, 22 May 1933).

2 March 1939: Cardinal Secretary of State, Eugenio Pacelli was sworn in as Pope Pius XII; four days later (as Hitlers tanks are rolling
into Poland) Pacelli wrote to Hitler: "To the illustrious Herr Adolf Hitler, Fuhrer and chancellor of the German Reich! Here at the beginning
of our pontificate we wish to assure you that we remain devoted to the
spiritual welfare of the German people entrusted to your leadership.
During the many years we spent in Germany, we did all in our power to
establish harmonious relations between church and state. Now that the
responsibilities of our pastoral function have increased our opportunities, how much more ardently do we pray to reach that goal? May
the prosperity of the German people and their progress in every domain
come, with Gods help, to fruition!" Pacelli maintained a papal nuncio
(Archbishop Orsenigo) in Berlin throughout the Third Reich. At Pacelli's
express wish (20 April 1939) Orsenigo opened a gala reception for Hitler's 50th birthday, which became a tradition throughout the Third
Reich, along with Cardinal Bertram sending "warmest congratulations to
the Fuhrer in the name of the Bishops and dioceses in Germany", to which
he added "fervent prayers which the Catholics of Germany are sending to
heaven on their alters": "Hitlers Pope: The Secret History of Pius VII",
by, John Cornwell (all research for this book was done in the Vatican
Library).

Chick Publications (http://www.chick.com), in Ontario California, prints gospel tracts, storybooks and other Christian literature. This company is very important to this subject matter. As are the names of
Joseph McCabe (former Franciscan monk and internationally famous atheist
and author of almost 250 books); ex-Dominican monk: Walter M. Montano,
author of "Behind the Purple Curtain"; ex-priest: Bernard Fresenborg,
author of: "Thirty years in hell" and "Uncle Sams New Fiancee". Chick
Publications has, in print, the true story of a former Jesuit who, after
many years in the service of the Vatican, came out of the Church of Rome
and put his story into print, as did Montano, McCabe and Fresenborg.
This former Jesuit (Dr. Alberto Romero Rivera) and Jack Chick, of Chick
Publications, have had many attempts made on their lives for publishing
these materials, (Dr. Rivera, being poisoned by a dentist breaking off an
infected needle in his jaw and systematically fed poison for many years,
succumbed 20 June 1997) as have other authors and publishers of like
subject matter. The Vatican does not take lightly the exposing of its
practices, or of its secret books i.e."Secret book of instructions for the Jesuits", LCCN: BX3705.A2 M65; "Secret History of the Jesuits":

Edmond Paris 1975, LCCN: BX3706.2 .P3713 (these are two books you should
definitely read). They expose the unquestionable clear methods and
intentions of the Vatican for the future, (their own publications).

There are enough books, by such a variety of authors, from different time
periods, writing from first hand knowledge, saying the same thing that
the evidence is inescapable. Denial of this information can only be done
with total bigotry and abstinence of logic, and therefore can only be
construed as complicity with its intentions (yes it does bear repeating).
It is very true that tyranny can only prevail when good men do nothing
e.g. Chamberlain and the Treaty of Versailles.

"Romes responsibility for the assassination of Abraham Lincoln" (LCCN: E457.5.H316), author: Thomas M. Harris, Late Brigadier General
U.S.V. (and a presiding judge over the trial of the conspirators), "The
assassination of Abraham Lincoln" (LCCN: E457.5.H28 or .H31), also by
Thomas M. Harris; "Behind the Purple Curtain" (LCCN: BX1773.M6); "The
Great Conspiracy"; "The suppressed truth about the assassination of
Abraham Lincoln" (LCCN: E457.5.M27) by Burke Mccarty; "Fifty years in the
Church of Rome" by Charles Chiniquy, ex-catholic priest; "Treason History
of the Order of the Sons of Liberty"(LCCN: E458.8.S85) by Felix G. Stidger; "Washington in the Lap of Rome" (LCCN: BXI770.F9) by Justin D.
Fulton; "History of the Plots and Crimes of the Great Conspiracy to overthrow Liberty in America" (LCCN: E310.D983) by John Smith Dye; "The
Trial of John Surratt" by: John Surratt [John Surratt hid in Catholic monasteries in Canada (6 weeks); England (4 weeks); became member of

Zuaves (personal body guard to the Pope, in the Vatican) before, eventually, being extradited back to Washington D.C. to stand trial where
(full row of Jesuits paraded, in file, up to John Surratt, at every break
in the trial, to shake his hand and making sure the jury saw them) he got
off on a hung jury. John Surratt and John Wilkes Booth studied for the
catholic priesthood at the Sulpician Monastery, outside Baltimore].

"Official Records of the Union and Confederate Navies" series II volume
III (federal depository library) shows the Vaticans involvement, on the
side of the Confederacy, its complicity in the assassination of Abraham
Lincoln and attempted assassination of Secretary of State William H.
Seward. As well as their planned control of politics, education [Bill Bennett (and his, Jesuit, brother Bob are KNOWN operatives in CIA/MK-Ultra/Project Monarch-extreme trauma induced mind control sex
deviates and pedophiliacs), self professed Jesuit, Secretary of Education, under Reagan (Reagan was shot, 30 March 1981, after balking at
assigning Bill Bennett as Secretary of Education: In April of 1982, in a
speech made to the National Catholic Education Association: Reagan made
the incredible statement: "I am grateful for your help in shaping American policy to reflect God's will ....., And I will look forward to further guidance from His Holiness pope John Paul II during an audience I
will have with him in June.") propagator of Outcome Based Education
(OBE), stated: "All children should be taught by Jesuits"], immigration

[St. Leopold Foundation (Vatican spy network, founded: Vienna Austria,
1828)] and their already, at that time, control of much of the press

(which has grown to include every other mode of media communication):
"Liberty of the press, the most dangerous liberty, an execrable liberty,
which can never inspire sufficient horror, and which some men very
noisily and insistently demand to spread everywhere . . . ": Pope Gregory
XVI: (Toward a Catholic Constitution", pg. 59, by: Leonard Swidler.).
Pope Pius wrote a letter to Jefferson Davis, with the specific instruction to have it printed in the Richmond newspaper to get the Irish
Catholic's in the Union army to desert. He did and they did (144,000+
deserted in one week). When Lincoln initiated a draft for the Union

Army, in New York City(1864), Archbishop Hughes instigated the biggest
race riot in American history, including the burning to the ground of a
black orphanage and trampling to death of its occupants. We know the
Illuminati's international bankers supplied Jeff Davis (who's secretary
of state: Judah P. Benjamin, was a House of Rothschild agent), J.Wilkes
Booth, Sons of Liberty, Knights of The Golden Circle (J. Wilkes Booth was
seduced to assassinate Abraham Lincoln by a woman, Ella Turner, and
joined Knights of The Golden Circle for the sole purpose of obtaining
their assistance to that end; Leon Czolgosz joined Knights of The

Golden
Eagle and was seduced to assassinate president William Mckinley by the
infamous woman anarchist: Emma Goldman), Order of American Knights
(Copperhead organizations, still in existance today, with their own
quarterly newspaper: "Copperhead Chronicles", with their same old
anti-Lincoln and anti-Union rhetoric) etc. with money thru the Bank of
Ontario, Montreal Branch, thru Jeff Davis's "Canadian Cabinet", which was
planned in detail in the Vatican before Brig. Gen. Beauregard (Catholic)
fired on Fort Sumter: "We have the proof that the company which had been
selected and organized to murder me was led by a rabid Roman Catholic
named Byrne; it was almost entirely composed of Roman Catholics. More
than that, there were two disguised priests among them to lead and
encourage them. Professor Morse, the learned inventor of electric
telegraphy, tells me that recently, when he was in Rome, he found the
proofs of a most formidable conspiracy against this country and all its
institutions. It is evident that it is to the intrigues and emissaries
of the Pope we owe, in great part, the horrible civil war which is
threatening to cover the country with blood and ruin." (Abraham Lincoln).
All this is in the Library of Congress, along with U.S. State Department
record of the U.S. Government breaking off diplomatic relations with the
Vatican on 30 June 1867 (for refusing to allow non-catholic religious
worship inside the walls of Rome: which should tell you how TBN

now has
an international religious TV broadcasting station in Rome), which was
re-established by Ronald Reagan, 10 Jan 1984. Reagan only authorized the
raid on Moammar Gahdafi after Gahdafi's terrorists set off bombs in Rome,
even though he knew about Gahdafi's terrorism and counterfeiting

activities for years.
http://www.mosquitonet.com/~prewett/holyalliance1of2.html

According to Alberto Rivera there have been over two thousand Jesuits in U.S. government positions prior to 1980. If this is true, and
all indications appear so, there's no telling how many there are now, and
how much power they wield in Washington and state governments. The
Jesuits control the entire CIA/MK-Ultra/Project Monarch (extreme trauma
induced multiple personality: Jesuit/Vatican mind control) program.

These robotic victims are used as sex slaves and to deliver drugs/money
and/or encrypted messages to heads of state and/or their drug operatives
all over the world. They are also used to carry out murders [Eric Harris
and Dyland Klebold (Al Gore and his goons wore black trench coats while
he made his speech at Columbine High School: identifying with the "Trench
Coat Mafia")].

Operatives within Project Monarch program: Gerald Ford, Ronald Reagan, George Bush, George Bush Jr., Bill & Hillary Clinton, Robert C.

Bird, Alan Cranston, Madeleine Albright, Dick Cheney, Bob (drug czar) &
Bill Bennett (Jesuits), Alan Simpson, Arlen Spector, Jim Traficant, Governor Mellon, Bob Clement, Pierre Trudeau (Canadian President/Jesuit),
De la Madrid (Mexico), Michigan governor: Blanchard, Prince Bandar Ben
Sultan, Dr. Steve Hassen, Dr. Louis Jolyon West (psychiatrist who experimented with LSD for the CIA, and former head of Neurosychiatric
Institute UCLA, Los Angeles), Civia Tamarkin, Sue Carper, Joe Casey,
William Casey, Dennis Delaney, William Dieh, Lloyd Lindroth, Jimmy
Buffett, Rev. Billy Roy Moore, Larry Flint, Charlie Pride, Jack Greene.
Country music operatives: Alex Houston, Lee Atwater, Boxcar Willy, Jimmy
Dean, Jimmy Walker, Tommy Overstreet, Lee Greenwood, Jerry Reed, Kris
Kristopherson, Wayne Cox, Ken Riley, R.C. Bannon. Baseball operatives:
Tommy Lasorda, Nolan Ryan. Others: Don & Guy Vanderjagt, Bud Crammer,
Steve Hassen, J. Bennett Johnston (Louisiana Senator), David Rorick,
Patrick Leahy, George Lucas, Michael Dante' (Michael Viti), Reggie "Mac"
McLaughlin, Hal Meadows, Dick Thornburgh, Mickey Miller, Ray & Regina
Myers, Scott Rosenburg, Carlos Salinas de Gortari, H.R. Gibson, Gary
Ackerman, Lamar Alexander (ritual Satanist), General Cedras, Lt. Col.
Michael Aquino, Jack Valenti, Jose Busto (Puerto Rican drug lord).
Catholic priest operatives: Vesbit, father Don, James Thaylen.
Centers
for mind control experimentation: Branson Missouri Country Music

Center,
Lords Chapel Church (Brentwood Tenn.), St. Frances of Assisi Catholic
Church/Catholic Center high school (Muskegon, Michigan), Jesuit College
(Wheeling W.V.), Boys Town Orphanage ({incorporated} Omaha Neb.), Mormon
Church (Salt Lake City, Utah), Church of Scientology, Andrews A.F. Base,
Barksdale A.F. Base, McDill A.F. Base, Offutt A.F. Base, Plattsburgh A.F.
Base, Tinker A.F. Base, Warner-Robbins A.F. Base, Ft. McLelland, Ft.
George Meade, Ft. Campbell, NASA: Cape Canaveral; Washington D.C.;
Marshall Space Flight Center, Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville Al., Harvard
University ("Trance-Formation of America", by Cathy O'Brian and Mark
Phillips). Advocacy Committee for Human Experimentation Survivors-Mind
Control (ACHES-MC) is an organization dealing with survivor/victims of
this heinous Jesuit/CIA program.

Linda Wegen's case is typical: (a loving mother simply trying to raise
her children) after finding out her children were victims of SRA
(satanic ritual abuse: by her ex-husband and the local day care center),
Linda took her children to a psychiatric hospital who (after their
examination) told Linda of her children's SRA condition. The federal
authorities then confiscated everything Linda owned (home, bank accounts,
car, clothing; literally, everything except the clothes she was
wearing!): simply because she was trying to help her children: her
children were given to her satanic ex-husband: even her children

from her
former marriage which he had not adopted. Satanic ritual abuse of

children through day care centers is very prevalent, especially in Connecticut.

The "Finders" in New York City, is a CIA front organization dealing in
kidnapping; international trafficking of children, pedophilia and drugs.

The Vatican bought 26.8 million dollars in gold from the U.S. reserves, at a dollar an ounce under market value ($34 an ounce) and sold
it back to the Government at market value [$35 an ounce (United Nations
World Magazine, Dec.1952)]; With 5500+ schools, colleges and universities
(Clinton was educated at Jesuit Georgetown University, where he and Fidel
Castro were trained in communist philosophies; Jefferson Davis at St.
Thomas Catholic college in Kentucky) all receiving government subsidies.
Marymount College in Boca Raton, Florida is typical: Founded in 1963 and
having a student body of 350 in 1968, it received, from the government,
two million in housing grants, a $55,000 anti-poverty grant to study
migrant children and a $10,000 education grant; In 1965 in Mississippi a
$7,000,000 government-sponsored program for the retraining of unemployed
was run by an agency organized by a Roman Catholic Diocese, the

$7,000,000 was financed by the government via the Hillburton Act

(1954,
1964). From 1947 to 1964 7,372 such projects were approved; HUD
condemning public lands and giving it to the Catholic Church, along with
protestant tax money to develop the land [build Catholic schools or
hospitals (since 1961 the Catholic Church has been receiving $83,000+ a
day for such projects)]. HEW should be renamed CPA (Catholic Property
Acquisition); Jesuit Fordham University acquired a valuable piece of
Lincoln Square in New York City for a fraction of its worth, then got

federal aid to develop the property; Manhattan College, famous for its
wines and brandy, obtained a large factory and financial assistance from
New York's Dormitory Authority; In Chicago HEW donated a sixty-acre, 4.5
million dollar tract at the Hines Veterans Administration Hospital to

Jesuit Loyola University. When V.A. general councilman Fred B. Rhodes
Jr. balked at the giveaway a devout Catholic replaced him. New York
State banking records shows us that in 1962 the Catholic Church owns
stocks and bonds in hundreds of corporations. Among others: Baltimore &
Ohio R.R., Rock Island, Erie, Sea Board, Missouri Pacific, Pere
Marquette, Goodyear Tire & Rubber, Firestone, Fisk, U.S. American

Smelting, Commonwealth Edison, Brooklyn Edison, N.Y. Edison, Pacific Gas
& Electric, West Penn Power, American Commonwealth Power, Texas Electric,

Atlantic City Convention Hall, Louisiana Hotel Company, Squire Building,
Lane Bryant, Fox Playhouses, Fox Theater (St. Louis), Denver Joint Stock
Land Bank, Savoy Plaza Hotel, National Dairy, Thermoid, Washington Silk,
Pillsbury Flour, Yankee Stadium, Watergate, General Motors, General
Electric, Shell Oil, Bethlehem Steel, Gulf Oil, IBM, Boeing, Lockheed,
Douglas, Curtis Wright, Phillips Oil Co., Creole Petroleum Co. And all
tax-free.

In Massachusetts its hard to distinguish between the Catholic Church and the state government, every time a public school closes the
Catholic Church buys it for a dollar; The Catholic Church never loses a
"sealed" bid on public land auctions. This is done via an open and devious by-passing of the U.S.Constitution, by the hidden Catholic pressure group within the U.S. Government, state and local, by the legal,
semi-legal and dubious politico-financial manipulations of sly Catholic
dealers relentlessly operating in the legislative, financial and real estate fields on behalf of the Catholic Church. At one time the Catholic
Church owned more land area than the entire state of Connecticut. The
Catholic Church has been "buying" land and constructing buildings in New
York City at a rate second only to the city itself (being all tax exempt:
care to take a guess why New York City went bankrupt twice when George
"Mr. Cocaine" Bush was in the Whitehouse?) and all thanks to Cardinal

Francis "burn-em alive" Spellman ("The Vatican Billions" by Avro Manhattan); [The Popes banker, Michele Sindona, was a very busy boy before being sent to federal prison in New York State for causing the default of Franklin National Bank. It appears he was not only the Popes banker but also the banker for: IOR [Vatican bank (Societa General Immobilaire), Italian Masonic Lodge, P2(Italian Masonic Lodge political arm), Vatican political party, Sicilian mafia and Lacosa Nostra (Sindona set up the system for Sicilian mafia and Lacosa Nostra to launder their drug money thru Vatican owned banks, Credit Swiss, in Switzerland). He, in all likelihood, set up the program of $900,000,000 in counterfeit bonds for the Vatican, to be pushed in this country. When it was discovered that the Vatican Bank (IOR) and Cardinal Tisserant (second only to the Pope) were the main offenders, and an investigation was certain to follow, Tisserant conveniently died, requesting before his death that his diaries be removed from the Vatican.]; The I.R.S. classifying people who stand up for their rights as nonfilers, knowing full well there is no I.R.S. code or law requiring anyone to assess themselves for any tax (article five of the Bill of Rights) and then turning them over to the B.A.T.F. who (by computer program automatically) classifies them as drug smugglers with their semi-secret "T" code system["T5"(I.R.S.6209 manual)], to give them the appearance of legality, to confiscate properties [If you go to court (Title 4(annotated) U.S. Code, Chapter 1: u.S. flags in federal court rooms are military

Ceremonial/Parade Flags (gold fringe), AR840-10(b-1): under which the
u.S. Constitution is suspended {two gold-knotted-tasseled ropes are
admiralty flag: again the u.S. Constitution is suspended}) with the I.R.S. they ask you about your U.S. citizenship and if you don't answer
just right they classify you as a citizen of Puerto Rico, Guam, Samoa or
the U.S. Virgin Island's [the "other" united states that are owned by the
District of Columbia (acquired from the Spanish American War) and run by
the Catholic Church]. Care to guess why? (Because that's the only place
the I.R.S. & B.A.T.F. have "legal" jurisdiction). The I.R.S. is a department of the Treasury of Puerto Rico. The title heading of the

I.R.S. reads: "Internal Revenue Service a Department of The Treasury", it
does not tell whose treasury it's a department of. Look in 31 USC under
Dept. of U.S. Treasury and look for the agencies they authorize: guess
who is missing, that's right, the B.A.T.F. & I.R.S. Why do you make checks payable to the I.R.S. and not the U.S. Treasury? That's how the
I.R.S. diverts your money to the international bankers (IMF)]; The names
of every member of the jury pool, in a tax case, is given to the I.R.S.
by the U.S. Attorney prosecuting the case: securing a partial jury for
the I.R.S. If you, or any one you know, is having problems with the I.R.S. contact, tax expert, Ben Houck Ph:(614)532-9669 or Fax: (614)532-3570. Ben knows more about income tax codes than the I.R.S.

The jesuits own fifty one percent of Bank of America (largest bank in the U.S.) the Vatican owns stock in hundreds of banks all over the
world; Banca Nazionale de Lavoro (the Pope owns controlling interest),
through its branch in Atlanta Georgia, laundered over $5 billion of American taxpayers money from the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture to pay for
Saddam Hussein's war machine, including his "super gun"; Railroading Rep.
George Hansen (R-Id.) into prison and physical torture ("Diesel Therapy",
that left his wrist's crippled for life) by judge Edward Lodge, after Hansen called for a congressional investigation of the Justice Department
and wrote the book: "To Harass Our People, The IRS and Government Abuse
of Power" (assault on religion) [In this book Representative Hansen

exposes the I.R.S.'s practice of selectively prosecuting church's for

income tax evasion (Sun Yung Moon,1981, for not reporting interest on
112,000 in Chase Manhattan bank. Do not be deluded that this has

anything to do with a zeal for collecting tax's for the United States Treasury. The tax, fine and penalties combined do not amount to a

fraction of what the I.R.S. spent in prosecuting the Moon case. Not three miles from the court house where he was prosecuted, Roman Catholic
Archbishop of New York: Terence Cooke, held personally in his own name,
assets worth a thousand times that which Moon was charged with evading.
In fact Catholic church law requires the reigning ordinary own its property in his own name: known in law as: "corporate sole", for which,

of all the hundreds of Catholic Archdioceses reigning Cardinals and

Bishops in the U.S., not one has ever been looked at by the I.R.S., much
less brought up on any charge, showing conclusively, who the I.R.S.
selects and why)]: In the trial of F. Tupper Saussy,
http://www.patshannan.bizland.com/rulerintro.html prosecuted by (self
professing jesuit) John MaCcoon, exculpatory evidence ignored or
suppressed, prosecutorial improprieties excused, attempts to avoid
consequences of improprieties bring punishment: This
prosecutorial/judicial steamrolling people into prison (Saussy with 14
months) is not unique to tax court cases. It is the typical judicial MO
of state courts railroading parents (of phony child sex abuse cases) into
prison: Kern County (Bakersfield) California is typical (see the
docudrama: "Just Ask My Children"); Ruining Rep. Joseph Montoya (D-N.M.)
for pushing for reforms of I.R.S. agency crimes exposed by him in Senate
hearings in the 1970's; F.D.A., H.R.S. etc. arresting people, ransacking
health food stores, confiscating property, killing animals, destroying
property, and all without a court order and in deliberate violation of
article five of the Bill of Rights, throwing peoples right of habeas
corpus (assumed innocent until proven guilty) out the window; with
immigration (totally controlled by Jesuits) bringing in Hispanics (all

Catholic) by the boat loads (legally and illegally) to feed off our
welfare system and further deplete the economy [which explains the

planned failure of Nafta and Gat (sending companies and jobs to dominant
Catholic countries) thru Vatican controlled Mexico] and influence federal, state, county and city legislation and elections [the unofficial
private corporation: News Election Service (NES) has sole physical control of the counting and dissemination of every vote, in our national
elections, and does not allow the public to know how it is done] and will
be the only ones to receive unending welfare when welfare "officially"
comes to an end. At or near every immigration office in the U.S. is a
Catholic law firm to give free legal assistance to any Catholic immigrant
entering this country. Anyone doubting this should read: "Illegal Emigration movements In And Through Italy", by: Vincent La Vista,

declassification no. NND 760050, 4-11-90, National Archives Record Group
No. 59, Center For Legislative Archives, Washington D.C: Why else would
we have immigration offices in Rome and Mexico City? (It is no coincedence that the only other foreign office of U.S. Department of
Immigration is in the worlds second highest volume route for heroine:
Bangkok Thailand).

P.S. United States Constitution, Article I, Sec. 9, paragraph 4: No capitation, or other direct tax shall be laid unless in proportion to the
census or enumeration herein before directed to be taken.

Article XVI: The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on
incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment

among the
several States, and without regard to any census or enumeration.

Ronald Reagan and Richard Nixon used the WWII fascist war criminals
smuggled into this country(Nazi war criminal, Walter Dohrnberger,

sentenced to be hung at Nuremberg, is head of Bell Aerospace Corp. R & D
dept.) thru the Vatican "Ratlines" to get the ethnic votes that put them
in the Whitehouse. Ronald Reagan, while governor of California, set a
day of recognition for the Ustasha of Yugoslavia until the Yugoslavian
government protested; on his visit to a concentration camp in Europe:
Reagan laid a wreath on the grave of an S.S. officer. "The Church can
dispense from a promissory oath. This power belongs to the Pope and
Bishops, who exercise it either by themselves or by their delegates."
p.203; "The civil laws (of Christendom) are binding in conscience so long
as they are conformable to the rights of the Catholic Church."p.278
"Abridged Course of Religious Instruction for the Use of Colleges and
Schools" by: Rev. F.X. Schouppe (Jesuit); federal judges controlling the
juries to the extent that they have no choice but to rule the way they
say, or overruling them and passing his own judgement on the defendants
(which moves sovereignty from the people to the courts), e.g. Walter
Smith Jr.(Judge Roy Bean) of Waco Texas. The list of federal

agency
violations of "citizens rights" is a bottomless pit. Like the threat made on myself by an I.R.S. special agent from Pikeville Ky. in the I.R.S. office in Ashland Ky.(28 April 1995) when he laid a loaded snub
nose cal.38 revolver on the desk in front of me, with the barrel pointed
at me, while being witnessed by collections agent John T. Yewell.

Then there's Mt. Carmel, with its trumped up charge of a methamphetamine laboratory (never mentioned again after the raid started)
to give assistant U.S. attorney Bill Johnston an excuse to get his warrant and special forces training for his B.A.T.F. goons; and with the
B.A.T.F. agent Robert Rodriguez having been in Mount Carmel (day before
the raid) where he inspected all the firearms and knew what was and was
not there, and with further inspection of the weapons "requested" of the
B.A.T.F. by David Koresh and "refused" by the B.A.T.F.(which would have
left them without an excuse for their murder and mayhem). Five FBI
agents rented a house across the road from Mt. Carmel: two of those
agents attended bible study classes with David Koresh for a month before
they started their slaughter: at the same time other FBI agents were
busy printing and handing out leaflets in Waco, degrading the Branch
Davidians. I'm sure Bill Johnston was expecting a turkey shoot, and all
with 100% support from the controlled (Skull & Bones) media, before,
during and ever since ("The Massacre of The Branch Davidians" by

Carol
Moore, 28 Jan 1994). All of this is a precursor of what is to come.

Ever notice how the media never misses an opportunity to jump on
preachers who make statements concerning government policy, screaming:
"separation of church and state"? And when the Pope comes to this country
making speeches on the same issues their silence is deafening! The only
person to voice a negative response to the Popes statements to abortion
was Madelin Murrey O'Hair, whose statements have not been published
since. Just before O'Hair, and her family, was to go to New York, to
protest the Pope, she and her family disappeared without a trace. Again
the media silence was deafening. Ever notice the A.C.L.U. in all of its
rantings about separation of church and state is stone silent about

government (protestant taxpayer) moneys going to Catholic schools?
(Federal grants and loans to Jesuit colleges, universities and their
programs are in the billions). At the turn of the century Pope Leo XIII
was raking in over one and a half million dollars annually from: (1)
Peter's pence contribution (over $415,000); (2) Apostolic Chancellery
aggregate ($520,000); (3) Interest of the vast sum left by Pius IX in the
Pontifical treasury, invested chiefly in English Consols ($625,000).
Notice the Pope trusted the securities of a Protestant nation and not a
Catholic as France, Spain or Mexico. These figures would hardly make a
dent in those of today.

The Jesuits rake in over $280 million annually. The assets of Grey Nuns of Charity $3.5 million; Sisters of the Immaculate Heart $7.5 million; Sisters of Saint Joseph of Newark $17,899,384; Little Sisters of
the Poor $25 million; Sisters of Mercy $39,754,132; Sisters of Charity
$66,533,833; Sisters of Charity of Providence $90,187,000; Sisters of the
Sorrowful Mother $93,636,516; Sisters of the Holy Cross $110,892,759.
There are 539 such orders of nuns and monks. All tax-free! AND THE
I.R.S. NEVER LOOKS AT!! "Praise The Lord For Tax Exemption", by: Stanley Lowell and Martin Larson.

If you think my thesis untenable then please prove me wrong. Simply run a background check (personnel file) to see which (if any)
Jesuit schools were attended by (or: Knights of Columbus; Knights of
Malta; Opus Dei): publishers of public school history books; federal

judges: Joyce Hens Green, Manuel L. Real, Tanner, Hodges, Thomas P.
Griesa, Milton Pollack, John W. Walker Jr., Kimba Wood, Clifford Weckstein, Richard A. Posner, Richard Maich, Ranck, James F. McClure and
definitely Walter "Roy Bean" Smith Jr. of Waco; Assistant U.S. Attorney's
Bill Johnston, John Maisto (U.S. diplomat), Leslie Brydon, Herschel Lock,
Jeffery Mench, [the previous three, along with Tom Bowman (personal aid
to Skull & Bones Senator Arlen Spector), Richard Medellin, Judges: Rank
and James F. Mcclure being instrumental in the kidnapping (the children

were taken without the parents being present or even notified when the
charges were presented in court) of the children of Steven and Dawn Ames
in the court of common plea's of Northumberland County Pennsylvania. The
sole charges being: teaching their children the Bible and the U.S.
Constitution], and B.A.T.F's: John Magaw, Davey Aguilera and Lloyd
"Bilderberg" Bentsen [who was C.F.R. (Illuminati)]; Peter Galbraith: U.S.
Ambassador in Zagreb; Bob Bartel of "The Spotlight" newspaper (his
political tabloid is anti-Semitic and denies the Holocaust, which was
orchestrated in the Vatican); Tom Metzger, who's skinhead organization
uses R.C. symbology [the mirror image dragon/bird, used by the Skinheads,
is identical to that used by the Nazi's and Albanian K.L.A. (Stash)] and
doctrine (with the names changed) and has direct links with skin
head/nazi organizations in Germany; US Magistrate: Richard Kop, US
Attorney Thomas Taken, FBI head: Nicholas O'Hara, Mickey Mott (the last
four being Satan worshiping pedophiliac of Omaha Nebraska); Frank Liberto
(New Orleans godfather/close associate to J. Edgar Hoover (Knight of
Malta) and key figure in the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr.).
Known Knights of Malta members are: William Casey (CIA head), Jeremiah
Denton (Alabama Senator), Pete Domenici (New Mexico Senator), Alexander
Haig, William Simon (Treasury Secretary), William F. Buckley, Lee Iacocca.

Every Government official, especially C.I.A., graduate from Yale University is Skull & Bones (which had its origin in the University of

Berlin. Founded at Yale by Alphonso Taft and William H. Russell and
exists only at Yale University). Some members being: Arlen Spector,
Prescott Bush (and other Skull & Bones members robbed the grave of
Geronimo in Oklahoma taking the body back to the "Tomb" on the Yale
campus), George Bush, George Bush Jr., Franklin Roosevelt, Kellogg,
Dupont, Richard Bissell Jr. etc.

Since the Pope has set down, in document, his clear intentions it would be prudent to do a background check on Robert L. Sumner (who
spreads lies about fundamental preachers): "Against an elder receive not
an accusation, but before two or three witnesses." (I Timothy 5:19), Jim
Bakker, Pat Robertson [J. Peter Grace, top man in Knights of Malta (a
secret Catholic quasi-religious on the surface/anti-religious at the core
organization that dates back to the Crusaders), is godfather to his children], Paul Crouch, Benny Hinn, Billy Graham and Jack Van Impe.
These are T.V. preachers pushing the ecumenical whitewash of the "Mother
of harlots and the abominations of the earth" (Rev.17:5). "For such are
false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the

apostles of Christ. And no marvel for Satan himself is transformed into

an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be
according to their works" (II Corinthians 11:13-15). The Jesuits have
been in control of the National Council of Church's and World Council of
Church's for over thirty years.

It is very relevant to remember that the Vatican [Vatican is from the classical Latin root word: Vaticanus, which by definition means:
"house of divination" (dwelling of demons); standing in the center of St.
Peters Square is an obelisk (symbol of male sex organ in Satan worship)
from Egypt] is an independent country with its own dogmatic constitution.
With the Pope (vicar of Christ) being the head of that state. Therefore
for a practicing R.C. "not to" adhere to the dictates of the Pope and his
encyclicals is to go against God. This is Roman Catholic cannon law:
"Abridged Course of Religious Instruction for the Use of Colleges and
Schools," by Rev. Father F.X. Schouppe (Jesuit) p.203: "The Church can
dispense from a promissory oath. This power belongs to the Pope and
bishops, who exercise it either by themselves or by their delegates."
p.278: "The civil laws (of Christendom) are binding in conscience so long
as they are conformable to the rights of the Catholic Church." (Whats
this tell you about the U.S. Supreme Court of which at least four are

R.C. and ruled to keep the Bible out [while allowing two dirty books: "Of
Mice and Men" and "Catcher In The Rye" (of which John Lennons killer
{Mark David Chapman} was a student) to be required reading in every high
school in the country] of Public schools and to murder the unborn and
calls pornography: "freedom of speech"?). ("The kings of the earth set
themselves, and the rulers take counsel together against the LORD, and
against his anointed, saying, let us break their bands asunder, and cast
away their cords from us. He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh:
the Lord shall have them in derision. Then shall he speak unto them in
his wrath, and vex them in his sore displeasure." (Psalm, 2:2-5.) This
is very important to remember also when reviewing the events leading up
to and during the Vietnam War i.e. secret meetings in the early fifties
of certain democratic senators (including John Kennedy) concerning
Vietnam; placing R.C. Ngo Dihn Diem in the presidency [after being
schooled in the U.S., by Cardinal Francis "Burn-em Alive" Spellman (key
figure behind William J. Donovan (Knight of Malta), creator of the
O.S.S., forerunner to the CIA; close associate of Dwight D. Eisenhower
{who directed American B-17 bombers to avoid I.G. Farben headquarters in
Berlin and cut off the gas supply for General Pattons tanks to slow him
down, thus extending the war), who's only answer to communism

was to burn
them alive, and Robert Strange Mcnamara thru the CIA (Catholic Illuminati
Assassin's)] in deliberate violation of a legally constituted (U.N. sanctioned and observed) election, which communism won; Diem's systematic
"ethnic cleansing" of all who would not recant of their religion and embrace Catholicism; creating a tax structure that was so oppressive that
non-Catholics were starved out, and so favorable to Catholics that it
caused a mass exodus of north Vietnamese Catholics to the south and south
Vietnamese Buddhists to the north, which was more than even John Kennedy
could tolerate, so he had Diem killed (2Nov63), and twenty days later
Kennedy was shot by three hit men (Oswald look-alike: William Seymour-school book depository; Manuel Gonzales-Dal-Tex building; Emilio
Santana-open manhole on street curb: last two picked from, FBI Division
5's thirty professional assassins kept on retainer in Mexico City) 22Nov63 (planned and supervised by, two sex deviates: Clay Shaw (Knight
of Malta), Solidarist-Catholic priest: David Ferrie and William Seymour:
employees of Defense Industrial Security Command (DISC) which is a joint
venture of FBI's Division 5 and Defense Intelligence Agency of the Pentagon). Almost all of the orchestration operatives were WWII Nazi's
smuggled into this country 1945-1950 on Vatican visa's e.g. Werner von
Braun and Walter Dohrnberger. Ferenc Nagy: high level boss in FBI

Division 5 and president of Permindex Corp. (money laundering liaison for

FBI Division 5 and DISC operatives) was the "umbrella man" in Deily Plaza
who opened his umbrella (sunny day) signaling the start of the shooting
(closing his umbrella signaled the stop).

Top planners in the assassination cabal: Lyndon Johnson, J. Edgar Hoover,
L.M. Bloomfield, Ferenc Nagy, John DeMenil, Carlos Prio Socarras, Walter
Jenkins, H.L. Hunt [American Council of Christian Churches (ACCC)], Bobby
Baker, Clifford Jones, L.J. McWillie, Werner Von Braun, Roy Cohn, Fred
Korth, John Connally and Clint Murchison.

L.M. Bloomfield (answering only to Hoover and Johnson) was in overall charge of the supervisory and working assignment group: Walter
Dohrnberger, Guy Bannister, Albert Osborne (ACCC), E.E. Bradley (ACCC),
Morris Dalitz (Las Vegas), Major General John B. Medaris, Robert McKeown,
Igor Voshinin, George Bouhe, Peter Gregory, Maurice Gatlin, Sergio

Arcacha Smith, Lee Harvey Oswald, William Seymour, David Ferrie (Catholic
priest), T. Gonzales, Manuel Garcia Gonzales, Layton Martens, Gordon
Novel, Walter Sheridan, William Dalzell, Paul Raigorodsky, Joe Bonnano,
Dimitri Royster (ACCC), Alex Carlson, George Mandel, Breck Wall, Clay
Shaw, Joe Cody, Jake Kosloff, Mike McLaney, Ruth and Mike Payne, Igor
Vogonov, Jack Bowen, Mike Ryan, Tammie True, Max Cherry, Patrick Hoy,
David Hoy, James Powell and many others with lesser

assignments.
(Nomenclature of An Assassination Cabal, by: William Torbitt { pseudonym}). This document previously quoted: "Plausible Denial" by,
Mark Lane. However, due to more recent revelation, it is obvious that
publication is without merit [Mark Lane (personal friend and confidant to
the infamous Jesuit: Jim Jones), as attorney for James Earl Ray, sent his
key witness to Jonestown the day before the Jonestown massacre. Fortunately, for her, she didn't go].

The Vietnam "genocide" was given a fresh shot in the arm in the 1967 C.I.A: "Operation Phoenix", implemented by, sovereign Knight of
Malta: William Casey [known MK-Ultra/Project Monarch pedophile operative
(CIA's Laos & Vietnam station chief, egregious mass murderer, Theodore
Shackley being the key operative in the assassination cabal, under George
Bush)]. General Westmoreland became a Catholic while in command in
Vietnam. Ross Perot wasn't able to contact any POW, MIA's because the
C.I.A. kept getting in the way, with their operation of taking over the
opium smuggling trade, for themselves and the Sicilian Mafia, out of the
"Golden Triangle" [largest buyers of opium in the world are well known
top officials in the C.I.A. & D.O.D. (Richard Armatage, no.2 man in the
Department of Defense (DOD); Theodore Shackley, no.2 man in the CIA,
under George Bush. Bush is on the board of directors of Eli Lilly: the

company that produces the precursor chemicals to produce cocaine, heroine
and the company that first synthesized LSD for the CIA)]. The U.S. government transports over half the illegal narcotics into the U.S., mostly on military transport, tons at a time with no customs check, then
flown on commercial airliners, in cargo containers, to organized crime
families, then distributed in cities street gangs, Contra's and motorcycle gangs: http://www.ciadrugs.com

Nixon got us out of Vietnam without winning and the Vatican getting
control of the southern government, for which he was watergated (the
Watergate office building complex was built and is owned by the Vatican).
Read: "Vietnam, why did we go?" by: Avro Manhattan. Ten days before his
assassination John Kennedy stated in a speech at Columbia University:
"The high office of president has been used to foment a plot to destroy
the Americans freedom, and before I leave office I must inform the

citizen of his plight". There is evidence of Fidel Castro's involvement
in the conspiracy but not conclusively. However Dr. Alberto Rivera's
testimony of knowing of Castro's presence in the Vatican while he, and
the infamous Jim Jones, were there in school (being trained to destroy
church's), and Soldier of Fortune magazine's article on two Jesuits kidnapping Honduran peasant farmers and sending them to Cuba, into forced
guerilla warfare training, along with John Kennedy stopping the B-26

bombers from taking off in support of the freedom fighters during the Bay
of Pigs invasion, which they were totally dependant on; leaving Castro's
planes to strafe and bomb the raiders {its obvious the raid was designed
to fail: all aspects were controlled by the CIA; troop transport deck
machine guns malfunctioned, mounts rusted thru, four of six landing craft
sink before their even boarded; using Bay of Pigs [which the Cuban
Revolutionary Council would never approve (reefs, surrounded by swamp,
radio station nearby) so Kennedy has them flown, under false pretences,
to a blacked out airfield at Opa-Locka Fla., where their held in
isolation, against their will, under armed military guard: Only then do
they learn, via AM radio, that the raid has been moved up (putting the
initial air strike, using six B-26's, not all fourteen, securing minimal

damage and giving Castro ample warning of an impending attack) just
before the UN meeting knowing the US would be blamed, giving Kennedy his
excuse to ground the bombers (but not the paratroop planes taking off
from the same field in Nicaragua) and, had not only already started, but
already past the point of no return] guaranteeing the failure of the raid
and effectively ending all organized effort to remove Castro}. "This was
how the whole thing was going from the beginning", General Lemnitzer:
Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff ("Bay of Pigs, The Untold Story" by Peter

Wyden), certainly lends credibility to the assertion that Castro is a Jesuit and was involved in Kennedy's assassination. We do know Castro is
Illuminati and indoctrinated in communism at Georgetown (jesuit) University (as was Bill Clinton). Kennedy had stopped borrowing money
from the IMF: had issued and signed executive order 11110, abolishing
the FED, and had new inflationary money printed at the US Treasury (the
bills you see with red serial numbers) which secured the orchestration of
his assassination by the Illuminati, Bilderberg's (modern day version of:
"Congress of Vienna" who wrote the "Secret Treaty of Verona" 1814-1815:
the execution of this edict was assigned to the re-established Jesuits
1814) and Trilateral Commission; he made it known he was going to
dissolve the CIA, thereby securing Skull & Bones organizations co-operation; having Ngo Dihn Deim killed, plan of pulling U.S. troops
out of Vietnam without getting control of Vietnam for the Vatican secured
the co-operation of the Jesuits and the Vatican. Though J. Edgar Hoover
set the procedural orchestration in motion, John Connolly, Lyndon Johnson
and Louis M. Bloomfield (Canadian lawyer who did the contract operative
hiring for FBI's Division 5), the planning of the assassination itself was done by E. Howard Hunt (also an operative in the "watergating" of
Richard Nixon), William Seymour, Clay Shaw and David Ferrie (Catholic
priest). Financing the entire assassination operation was Vatican banks:

De Famaco Astalde Vaduz (Swiss), Leichtenstein (Swiss), Seligman Bank
(Swiss), Banca Nazionale de Lavoro (Rome) [this bank, owned by the Pope,
through its branch in Atlanta Georgia, laundered $5 billion from the U.S.
Department of Agriculture to Saddam Hussein's war machine, including his
"Super Gun"]. Lyndon Johnson sent the USS Maddox and USS C.Turner Joy
into North Vietnamese territorial waters (2&4 Aug 64) to draw fire from
North Vietnam (the radio message the USS Maddox received, ordering it,
and USS C.Turner Joy, into North Vietnamese territorial waters came
direct from the Whitehouse). When the plan failed to bring the desired
results (knowing why they were there: Maddox and C. Turner Joy fired on
each other in the night out of shear paranoia) Johnson lied to Congress
and the nation, saying the North Vietnamese fired on an American "peace
keeping" ship in international waters: giving him his excuse to commit
U.S. troops into armed conflict with North Vietnam. Later Johnson
realizing "his" war is a non winner and his bombing escalation's bring
protest's and riots and not able to back out either (he didn't want to
take a ride through Dealy Plaza): simply elected not to run for "another
term as your president", thereby escaping: "the poisonous cup"; "the
strangulation cord"; "the steel of the poiniard"; "the leaden bullet".

The blanket of corporate stonewalling (by every department of

legislative, executive and judicial branches of government, including the
media, to all subsequent investigations into the murders of John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King and Robert F. Kennedy, extending from the
local levels all the way to the U.S. Presidency and Supreme Court) culminates into a preponderance of evidence of, not only a cover-up, but
complicity in the carrying out of these atrocities ("The Truth behind The
Murder of Martin Luther King, Orders To Kill" by, William F. Pepper).
The one common element connecting John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King
Jr., Robert F. Kennedy: all three were working to get us out of Vietnam
(militarily) when they met their untimely demise: The executive order
President Kennedy issued: ordering all American troops back home from
Vietnam, was reversed by Johnson, before Kennedy's body was in the
ground. Cardinal Francis "Burn-em Alive" Spellman (trainer of Ngo Dihn
Diem, president and butcher of South Vietnamese Buddhists) was the one
person the F.B.I. consulted to persuade the Pope not to have a meeting
with Martin Luther King Jr., and Spellman concurred. Project Monarch
operative: Gerald Ford was the only member of the congressional investigative committee to say that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone in the
assassination of John Kennedy (and the only one in the committee to make
president: and he made it by appointment). Dorothy Kilgallon ("Whats My
Line" game show panelist): upon leaving Jack Ruby's cell, stated: "I

'm
going to blow this thing wide open": two days later she was dead: another jesuit/Illuminati convenient "suicide": after this, Louis Jolyon
West (psychiatrist and Project Monarch mind control operative) was
assigned to "take care" of Jack Ruby.

By LAPD's own SUS file: Sirhan Sirhan was hypno-programmed using
hypnotic drugs and torture (by, DISC operative: reverend Jerry Owen, CIA
mind-control specialist: William Bryan and others) producing MPD (Multiple Personality Disorder mind control, a product of CIA's MK-Ultra-Project Monarch) at the horse stable, in Santa Anita, where he
worked with Thomas Bremer (the brother of Arthur Bremer: who shot George
Wallace in 1972). Sirhan Sirhan was used to distract attention while
CIA's Thane Eugene Cesar (in the employ of the LAPD) shot Robert F.
Kennedy behind the right ear. John Hinckley Jr's (shot Ronald Reagan)
brother was George Bush's golf partner. John Hinckley Sr.(owner of

Vanderbilt Oil Co.) has been George Bush's neighbor in Texas for years,
and contributed to his campaign when he first ran for congress.

John Maisto (U.S. Diplomat to the Philippines) came to Panama to train the "Civic Crusade" (C.I.A. operatives {many of which are trained
in the infamous terrorist-revolutionary "School of the America's" at Fort
Benning, Ga.}): organizing street campaigns, burn tires, protest march's
etc. Archbishop Marcos Mcgrath, Monsignor Jose' Sebastion Laboa,

Priest
Javier Villanueva being among the operatives in the destabilization

campaign. A repeat of Manila, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Grenada and Haiti's
Francois "Papa Doc" Duvalier. Archbishop Mcgrath and his priests ran
their own operation of ballot box confiscation and vote counting (having
no connection with the Panamanian Voting Commission) in deliberate
violation of Panamanian law (what's this tell you about NES, News
Election Service, giving the established press total control of counting
and dissemination of national voting and does not allow the public to
know how it is done?! What's this tell you about John Kennedy winning the
election when Richard Nixon won the vote?). Archbishop McGrath later
became guarantor of transition of the Panamanian Government from military
to civilian control (the Vatican has $1.4 billion invested in sundry
Panamanian and other "shell" companies) after he, along with Laboa and
Villanueva, tricked Noriega into the Vatican Embassy with the promise of
diplomatic immunity, then once in the embassy giving him the choice of
surrendering to the U.S. Army or they would let the army in to capture
(kill) him. The Panamanian raid was concocted by Senator Alan Simpson
(R) Wyoming. This is a direct continuance of: "Secret Treaty of Verona"
policy of extermination of all representative governments as recorded in
the: U.S. Congressional Record-Senate of the 64th Congress, 1st

session
volume 53, part 7, page 6781, 25 April 1916 and in the: American Diplomatic Code, 1778-1884, vol. 2; Elliott, p.179.

With main accusers like Jorge Canalias, Floyd Carlton Caceres, Cesar Rodriguez, Teofilo Watson (pilots who flew arms to the Contra's and
cocaine to the U.S.) and paid convicts (recruited from American prisons)
as key witnesses in conjunction with the Classified Information Procedures Act (CIPA, U.S. Code 22) Noriega's fate was sealed as soon as
he entered the Vatican Embassy (With legal procedures like this you could
convict John Kennedy of assassinating Lee Harvey Oswald). William
Kunstler was planning to defend Manuel Noriega in Miami federal court
before his(convenient) death prevented his representation.

Now with no Panamanian National Guard [many of which were shot(at
Balboa High School concentration camp) with their hands zip tied behind
their backs, by U.S. marines (journalist Julio Guerra was murdered by
U.S. marines for photographing the bodies)], Panama is now a major
stopover point on the opium trail from south and Central America to the
U.S. Cocaine is rampant now in George "Mr. Cocaine" Bush's (who's
cocaine running boats from his oil well rigs go unchecked by the coast
guard) Panamanian narcodemocracy. With drug money's being laundered thru
Panamanian banks, e.g. Interbanko, First Inter America's Bank (owned by

drug cartel boss Gilberts Rodriguez Orejuela) ("The Memoirs of Manuel
Noriega, America's Prisoner" by: Manuel Noriega and Peter Eisner). Now
with, RC Priest, Jean-Bertrand Aristide, back in power in Haiti the cocaine trail is complete.

We know from WW II that the Vatican had a highly militant influence
in Yugoslavia. War records show the existence of a Vatican organization
called "Ustasha" (from the verb: ustati, meaning "to rise up"). The reputation of the Ustasha throughout Yugoslavia is far worse than that of
the S.S., S.D., S.A. and Gestapo combined: being created by Ante Pavelic,
the head of the Ustasha state of Croatia. Pavelic held many and frequent
meetings with Bishops and Archbishops of Croatia and Pope Pius XII.
Pavelic was to Yugoslavia what Hitler was to Europe. Pope Pius XII's
statement to a group of Croats, on a pilgrimage to the Vatican (lead by
Archbishop Aljzije Stepinac), Nov 1939, outlined the Vatican orchestrated
atrocities from 1941-1945 and 1991-1995: "The hope of a better future
seems to be smiling on you, a future in which the relations between
church and state in your country will be regulated in harmonious action
to the advantage of both." Catholic priests like Stane Kukavica (Franciscan monk), changed their robes for the uniforms of the dreaded
Ustasha killer squads and led the most barbaric, brutal raids upon those
people and practiced satanic torture never before known in this

century.
The entire genocide operations were overseen by the military vicar
Archbishop Alojzije Stepinac ("Jasenovac" was the main center for extermination, being the third largest concentration camp in WWII where
over 800,000 Serbs, Jews and Gypsies were systematically butchered)
working directly with the Nazi's, helping to create the state of Croatia.
(read: "Smokescreens" by: Jack Chick; "The Yugoslav Auschwitz and the
Vatican" by: Vladimir Dedijer; "Blowback" by: Christopher Simpson). The
gold from Holocaust victims jewelry and teeth (what was not used to
smuggle WW II war criminals out of Europe) is today held in Vatican
banks: for which there is an ongoing lawsuit by the law firms of Easton &
Levy, at: http://www.remnantofgod.org/Ustashe.htm
http://www.skolnicksreport.com/vaticanbank.html ;
http://www.priestofdarkness.com/gold.html ;
http://www.vaticanbankclaims.com/press.html . In Bosnia the revived
Ustasha movement is called "Croatian Peoples Party" (HSP) and its army,
the "Croation Defence Force" (HOS). Dobroslav Paraga led HSP. HOS units
(Black Legion) comprised of Belgian, Austrian, British and Canadian
mercenaries, as well as units from the French mercenary unit: "Nouvelle
Resistance". After HOS was dissolved in 1993, by its mentor president
Franjo Tudjman (for fear of being exposed for his Vatican connection as
too politically obvious units), members were absorbed into other

units,
later amalgamated into the 108th Bosnian Bregade or the International
Bregade. "Front National", "Croatie Libre", and "Slavonie Libre" run by
Faci, are also Vatican organizations fronted as Humanitarian Aid. MPRI
(shadowy mercenary organization based in Virginia) contracted by the
Pentagon to train Ustasha in Croatia, Bosnia and now Kosovo. Who but the
Vatican has the power and/or influence to coordinate both sides in a
military conflict to annihilate thousands of peoples at a time i.e. using
U.S. troops to control Serbs in a (so called) fire free zone while
Fascist Croats murder them, while the U.S. troops keep the Serbs
defenseless. This policy will continue throughout the Balkans.

Hitler presented the Independent State of Croatia (NDH) to Pavelic,
10 April 1941, as Greater Croatia which lasted from 1941 to 1945. The
original plan was to annihilate one third of the population, force a
third to migrate out of the country and force a third to convert to
Catholicism. The process was to take ten years. The second half of the
plan was completed 1991-1995. U.S. troops now enforce that Croatian
state, against the displaced Orthodox Serbs. Today Franjo Tudjman is
doing everything to have Greater Croatia presented by Germany (today if
you publish anything in the German press about the Vatican Nazi concordat
the state prosecutes you) the United States and the Vatican,
reconstructed from Bosnia and Serbian lands. While a concerted
propaganda campaign is being mounted to frighten small Balkan

countries
with the spectre of "Greater Serbia" and are being offered a protective
"umbrella", behind the smokescreen of the Washington Agreement from 1994
that inaugurated Greater Croatia in which the Muslims are a majority,
while the 1995 Split Agreement consolidated the military alliance between
Tudjman and Izetbegovi} and was given the blessings by the United States
Ambassador in Zagreb: Peter Galbraith. Both of them have been sent teams
of U.S. military planners and experts and they have been rendered

military and financial assistance. The United States has unilaterally

discontinued the control of the arms embargo against Muslims and Croats
in violation of the Security Council arms embargo resolution in whose
adoption it participated. It is only too obvious that the Vatican plans
to continue the Serbian genocide throughout Yugoslavia only now the
genocide will be financed by the United States with military assistance,
while we can trust the national and international media to continue its
lies about Orthodox Serbs murdering Muslims. The Vatican has established
its own international court in Rome to try anyone it so chooses with
total disregard to the sovereignty and/or autonomy of the country under
who's authority the person had acted.

The theme here is unification, the same kind of unification that,

Roman Catholic Ngo Dihn Diem so ruthlessly administered in South Vietnam,
under the protection of the C.I.A. (and US Army "advisors") using civilian internment camps probably similar to those built by Trudeau
(Jesuit) of Canada, see "Civilian Internment Camps", Toronto Sun, 4 March
1982. And with the sole theme of the popes 5 May 95 encyclical: "That
They May Be One", being "unification"; Thru Netscape-AltaVista: word-search I.G.Farben, click on "The Rockefellers mass murder and the
U.N."), leaves no doubt whatsoever, in my mind, what the Vatican, in
coordination with the Illuminati, has in store for this country. With the literacy rate in the U.S. being below 50% in 1986 and getting lower
every year (Virginia Department of Motor Vehicles), [20% in Ireland
(Ireland has no comprehensive public school system)] knowing you can't
control an educated populace, and 88%+ in Vietnam (Groliers Encyclopedia): Who are we trying to kid? Remember Joan of Arc: who
signed her name to the document, unknowingly, authorizing her execution
(burned at the stake) at age sixteen. Being a product of a catholic perochial school: she was illiterate.

"Sex": There is enough real evidence (past and present) of the Roman Catholic Churches "practice" of child sex abuse that it is without
precedence: http://www.thelinkup.org : this is worldwide. Sodom and
Gomorra could have taken lessons from the Catholic Church. I seriously
doubt there is enough paper to record all of the victims (over 400 priests in North America from 1984-1992 and that's just the ones

that
were reported). Ask any insurance company that sells liability coverage
to Catholic Church's and/or orphanages (if you can find one) why they
charge the high premium rates. Ask any fourteen year old Catholic girl
of the explicit questions about every form of sex imaginable the (so
called) celibate priest puts to her ear (by cannon law) every time she
enters the confessional, then watch the expression on her face when she
says she is not allowed to say under pain of excommunication: How
convenient! Ask a Catholic priest what a "Blessed Creature" is, then
watch the expression on his face. Read: "Why Priests should Wed" by:
Justin D. Fulton, 1888 (not on a full stomach) and, March 2001 issue of
Newsweek magazine: You should have no difficulty seeing the correlation
between the rate of illegitimacy and crime with the Catholic population
in any given area. Typical examples of this priestly practice are:
priest James Porter, who molested hundreds of boys and girls in who knows
how many diocese: for which the Catholic Church did nothing but move him
to another diocese (standard church policy) until even the Catholic

Church patronizing news media had enough. No formal charges were made
until the media made his practices public, for which the presiding
cardinal over the diocese blasted the journalist's from his pulpit. This
same cardinal was later promoted; Priest O'connel of New

Hampshire:
"ditto"; Priest Brendan Smyth, who's case brought down the Irish government: "ditto" (the Catholic Church now files lawsuits against those
exposing the church's child sex abuse, regardless of the accuracy of the
charges). Pedophiling priest: Marcial Maciel Degollado, founder of Legionaries of Christ, molested his students in Spain and Italy with full
approval from Pope Pius XII; Catholic orphanages are run by pedophiling
priests, these sadistic practices being protected by local and provincial
governments: Mt. Cashel orphanage in Newfoundland, where 20 priest's
molested young boy's for over 15 years (for which a book was written and
a movie made) is typical; See the docudrama: "Where The Spirit Lives" to
see the sexual abuse of Indian Children, by catholic priests and nunns,
after being kidnapped from their parents, the Canadian Government has
subscribed to for over a hundred years:
http://www.vheadline.com/readnews.asp?id=14522-59k ; see the docudrama
"Rabbit Proof Fence" for accounts of the same abuse's in Australia; Boys
Town (incorporated) of Omaha Nebraska sells children into child prostitution and demonic occult ritual sacrifice: the key operative being Larry King (who sang the National Anthem at the 1984 & 88 Republican National Convention's) of Omaha. King's practice of Reagan,
Bush, Contra drug money laundering (used in Nicaragua & Haiti) thru
Franklin Community Federal Credit Union (banking system made possible by
Nebraska Senator Bob Kerry) and selling children and drugs at his

political parties (George Bush was a regular customer) held in his apartment in Omaha, his Southfork Ranch outside Dallas Texas and his
$5000 a month rented house on California Ave. off "Embassy Row" in
Washington D.C. (where he secretly videotaped politicians sexual acts
with young boys he brought in from Boys Town Catholic orphanage, to be
blackmailed later) are well documented in: "The Franklin Cover-Up: Child
Abuse, Satanism and Murder in Nebraska", author: Senator John DeCamp.
Monsignor Robert Hupp, head of Boys Town, verified every detail of the
authors investigation of the Franklin Cover-up and told of the priest who
kidnapped a boy in Elkhorn, Nebraska, raped and murdered him and was
immediately transferred to another diocese (probably out of the country)
by the head of the Omaha diocese and provided guidance on where to look
to develop further proof of the children's stories of abuse by this
nations wealthy and powerful (who have been adopting children in

Paraguay, bringing them back to the US before sacrificing them to Satan,
http://www.radioliberty.com/stones.htm . This is acknowledged by the
Paraguayan government). Monsignor Hupp was later, unceremoniously,
retired from his position as head of Boys Town. The pedophiling group:
North American Man-Boy Love Association (who's members buy kidnapped
children at auctions held at a ranch outside of Las Vegas Nevada) has

been given official status by the United Nations. CIA head, William Colbey, was found dead in a river after telling Senator DeCamp he was
going to give him information to help his investigation. "Professing

themselves to be wise, they became fools, and changed the glory of the
uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to
birds, and four-footed beasts, and creeping things. Wherefore God also
gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to
dishonour their own bodies between themselves: who changed the truth of
God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the
Creator, who is blessed for ever. Amen. For this cause God gave them up
unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use
into that which is against nature: and likewise also the men, leaving the
natural use of the woman, burned in their lusts one toward another; men
with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that
recompence of their error which was meet. And even as they did not like
to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate
mind, to do those things which are not convenient; being filled with all
unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness;
full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, backbiters,
haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things,

disobedient to parents, without understanding, covenantbreakers, without
natural affection, implacable, unmerciful: who knowing the judgment of
God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do
the same, but have pleasure in them that do them." Romans, 2: 22-32.

Another demonic practice, of the Catholic church, is convents. Held
within these walls are thousands of young women enticed, while still
children, with the belief of living a life of Holiness and purity in
service for Christ, for those lost souls (anyone not catholic). What
these little girls never learn (until it is too late) is that they are
there for the sole purpose of satisfying the sexual apetites of priest's
(drunk most of the time). These girls are fed a cup of black coffee and a
slice of bread in the morning and a bowl of soup in the evening (no

lunch) 365 days a year. And doing laborious work all day long. It's
certainly no wonder why catholics, who give their daughters over to this
sadistic vermin, never see their little girls again (one can only imagine
their emaciated bodies). In the cellar of every convent is a lime pit,

where the bodies are thrown. Sometimes their thrown in alive, with their
hands and feet bound: if the priest, or mother superior, deems their
offense bad enough. For lesser offenses they can be subjected to a

dungeon cell chained to a wall, where their bodies decompose hanging from
the wall. These are by no means the only forms of torture (they

can be
strung out on racks for days, hung by their thumbs for days etc.) If you
find this too offensive to believe, simply ask the Mexican government why
there are no more convents in Mexico. William Casey [Cardinal Spellman
protege, sovereign Knight of Malta, George Bush's campaign manager and
"authorized" Clintons bid for the White House (being fully aware of

Clintons background of a bank robbing sister, drug trafficing brother and
murdering mother; his receipt of a bucket of cocaine a month for Reagan's
Iran/Contra-weapons/cocaine smuggling/money laundering($100 million a
month) operation out of the Mena Arkansas airfield (Iran/Contra was the
brain child of William Casey and Oliver North] was named in a class
action law suit in Southern California (case no.99-02603) along with
Robert Gates, John Deutch, George Tenet, estate of William French Smith,
Edwin Meese, Richard Thornburgh, Janet Reno and others; CIA and US
Department of Justice] responsible for the 1980's crack cocaine epidemic
and resulting social and economic devastation of inner city communities.

The Jesuits have orchestrated the deaths of presidents, heads of state and dignitaries of countries all over the world i.e. C.I.A. [French
king: Henry IV issued: "Edict of Nantes", giving freedom of religion for
all French citizens, for which he was assassinated (14May1610), by

Jesuit: Ravaillac; by the "leaden bullet": Presidents: James A. Garfield
(2 July 1881), William McKinley (6 Sept 1901), Abraham Lincoln(14 April
1865), John F. Kennedy (22 Nov 1993); "by the poison cup": presidents:
William Henry Harrison (4 April 1841), Zachary Taylor (5 July 1850);
attempted assassination of James Buchanan by poisoning the tea at the
National Hotel, Washington D.C., (Feb 1857) where 50 were affected and 38
died, Andrew Jackson: shot for refusing loans from international banks;
Franklin D. Roosevelt: injected with strychnine [(for refusing to acknowledge the newly created catholic state of Croatia) the medical
examiners report, of Roosevelts body, disappeared from the safe in the
Bethesda Naval Medical Center (only three doctors had key) the day after
the examination] as was WWII General: George Patton. Jesuitism was born
in Spain, 1534 (for the express purpose of exterminating the reformation); reared in France; developed in Papal Rome; sanctioned by
Pope Paul III, 1540. Expelled from: England,1581; France,1594;
Portugal,1598; England again, 1604; France again,1606; Russia,1717;
Portugal again,1759; France again, 1762-3; Spain, Genoa, Venice and
Sicily,1767; Naples, Malta and Parma,1768. All, except England and
Russia, being strictly Roman Catholic states. The order was suppressed 21
July 1773 by Pope Clement IV (for which he was promptly poisoned);

continued to exist under other names i.e. "Brothers of the Faith"; re-established by Pope Pius VII, 1814 (to carry out the dictates of the "Secret Treaty of Verona"): In the words of Abraham Lincoln: "If the Protestants of the North and the South could learn what the priests, nuns, and monks, who daily land on our shores, under the pretext of preaching their religion, were doing in our schools and hospitals, as emissaries of the Pope and the other despots of Europe, to undermine our institutions and alienate the hearts of our people from our Constitution and our laws, and prepare a reign of anarchy here, as they have done in Ireland, Mexico, Spain, and wherever there are people that wish to be free, they would unite in taking power out of their hands". "The Jesuits never forsake." (Washington in the lap of Rome, Justin D. Fulton; Fifty Years in the Church of Rome, by: Charles Chiniquy LCCN: BX1770.F9).

Phyllis Schlafly, Reed Irvine, Dusty Rhodes (of National Review), Brent Bozell, Edwin Fulner, Robert Godwin, Richard Viguerie, Sommers White, Foster Friess, Oliver North, Coors family, Roland Hinz, Rush Lembaugh, Tim La Haye, Pat Robertson, Jack Kemp, George Will, Jean Kirkpatrick, William Bennet (Jesuit), Robert Krieble, Burton Yale Pines, Gary Hofmeister, Clarence Thomas, Ed Meese and Charles Colson are pseudo conservatives who's puppet strings are pulled by Jesuits, Skull & Bones,

Council on Foreign Relations or directly from the Illuminati.

"I am not happy about the rebirth of the Jesuits. Swarms of them will present themselves under more disguises ever taken by even a chief
of the Bohemians, as printers, writers, publishers, school teachers, etc.
If ever an association of people deserved eternal damnation, on this
earth and in hell, it is this society of Loyola." (John Adams, 1816).

"I will shew unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters. With whom the kings of the earth have committed
fornication, and the inhabitants of the earth have been made drunk with
the wine of her fornication. So he carried me away in the spirit into the
wilderness: and I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet colored beast, full of
names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten horns. And the woman was
arrayed in purple and scarlet color, and decked with gold and precious
stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations
and filthiness of her fornication: And upon her forehead a name written,
MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE
EARTH. And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints, and with
the blood of the martyrs of Jesus. And here is the mind which hath wisdom. The seven heads are seven mountains, on which the woman sitteth.
And he sayeth unto me, the waters which thou sawest, where the whore
sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues.

And the
woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings
of the earth. And he cried mightily with a strong voice, saying, Babylon
the great is fallen, and is become the habitation of devils, and the hold
of every foul spirit, and a cage of every unclean and hateful bird. For
all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, and
the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her, and the
merchants of the earth are waxed rich through the abundance of her
delicacies. And the kings of the earth, who have committed fornication
and have lived deliciously with her, shall bewail her and lament for her,
when they shall see the smoke of her burning, standing afar off for the
fear of her torment, saying, alas, alas, that great city of Babylon, that
mighty city! For in one hour is thy judgment come. And the merchants of
the earth shall weep and mourn over her; for no man buyeth their
merchandise and more: The merchandise of gold and silver and precious
stones, and of pearls, and fine linen, and purple, and silk, and scarlet,
and all thyine wood, and of brass, and iron, and marble, and cinnamon,
and odours, and ointments, and frankincense, and wine, and oil, and fine
flour, and wheat, and beasts, and sheep, and horses, and chariots, and
slaves, and souls of man." (Rev. 17:1b-6a, 9, 15, 18 & chapter 18:2-3,

9-13)
This hardly scratch's the surface of info on the Jesuits and Popery as a whole. If you refuse to see and believe the pattern that is set forth here, you wouldn't believe the rest either.

The Treaty of Verona as set forth in the Congessional Record

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE.
64th CONGRESS, 1st SESSION
VOLUME 53, PART 7
Page 6781

25 April 1916

I wish to put in the RECORD the secret treaty of Verona of November 22, 1822, showing what this ancient conflict is between the rule
of the few and the rule of the many. I wish to call the attention of the Senate to this treaty because it is the threat of this treaty which
was the basis of the Monroe doctrine. It throws a powerful white light
upon the conflict between monarchial government and government by the
people. The Holy Alliance under the influence of Metternich, the Premier of Austria, in 1822, issued this remarkable secret document :

[American Diplomatic Code, 1778 - 1884, vol. 2 ; Elliott, p. 179.]

SECRET TREATY OF VERONA

The undersigned, specially authorized to make some additions to the treaty of the Holy Alliance, after having exchanged their respective credentials, have agreed as follows :

ARTICLE 1. The high contracting powers being convinced that the system of representative govern- ment is egually as incompatible with the monarchial principles as the maxim of the sovereignty of the people with the high devine right, engage mutually in the most solemn manner, to use all their efforts to put an end to the system of representative governments, in whatever country it may exhist in Europe, and to prevent its being introduced in those countries where it is not yet known.

ART. 2. As it can not be doubted that the liberty of the press is the most powerful means used by the pretended supporters of the rights of nations to the detrement of those princes, the high contracting parties promise reciprocally to adopt all proper measures to suppress it, not only in their own states but also in the rest of Europe.

ART. 3. Convinced that the principles of religion contribute most powerfully to keep nations in the state of passive obedience which they owe to their princes, the high contracting parties declare it to be their intention to sustain in their respective States those measures which the clergy may adopt, with the aim of ameliorating their own interests, so intimately connected with the preservation of the authority of the princes ; and the contracting powers join in offering their thanks to the Pope for what he has already done for them, and solicit his constant cooperation in their views of submitting the nations.

ART. 4. The situation of Spain and Portugal unite unhappily all the circumstances to which this treaty has particular reference. The high contracting parties, in confiding to France the care of putting an end to them, engaged to assist her in the manner which may the least compromit them with their own people and the people of France by means of a subsidy on the part of the two empires of 20,000,000 of francs every year from the date of the signature of this treaty to the end of the war.

ART. 5. In order to establish in the Peninsula the order of things which exhisted before the revolution of Cadiz, and to insure the entire execution of the articles of the present treaty, the high contracting parties give to each other the reciprocal assurance that as long as their views are not fulfilled, rejecting all other ideas of utility or other measure to be taken, they will address themselves with the shortest possible delay to all the authorities exhisting in their States and to all their agents in foreign countries, with the view to establish connections tending toward the accomplishment of the objects proposed by this treaty.

ART. 6. This treaty shall be renewed with such changes as new circumstances may give occasion for, either at a new congress or at the court of one of the contracting parties, as soon as the war with Spain shall be terminated.

ART. 7. The present treaty shall be ratified and the ratifications exchanged at Paris within the space of six months.

Made at Verona the 22d November, 1822.

For Austria
:----------METTERNICH.

For France
:----------CHATEAUBRIAND.

For Prussia
:----------BERNSTET.

For Russia
:----------NESSELRODE.

I ask to have printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD this secret treaty, because I think it ought to be called now to the attention of the
people of the United States and of the world. This evidence of the conflict between the rule of the few verses popular government should be
emphasized on the minds of the people of the United States, that the
conflict now waging throughout the world may be more clearly understood,
for after all said the great pending war springs from the weakness and
frailty of government by the few, where human error is far more probable
than the error of the many where aggressive war is only permitted upon
the authorizing vote of those whose lives are jeopardized in the trenches
of modern war.

Mr. SHAFROTH. Mr. President, I should like to have the senator state whether in that treaty there was not a coalition formed between the
powerful countries of Europe to reestablish the sovereignty of Spain in
the Republics of South and Central America?

Mr. OWEN. I was just going to comment upon that, and I am going to take but a few moments to do so because I realize the preasure of
other matters. This Holy Alliance, having put a Bourbon prince upon

the throne of France by force, then used France to suppress the constitution of Spain immediately afterwards, and by this very treaty
gave her a subsidy of 20,000,000 francs annually to enable her to wage
war upon the people of Spain and to prevent their exercise of any measure
of the right of self-government. The Holy Alliance immediately did the
same thing in Italy, by sending Austrian troops to Italy, where the people there attempted to exercise a like measure of liberal constitutional self-government ; and it was not until the printing press, which the Holy Alliance so stoutly opposed, taught the people of
Europe the value of liberty that finally one country after another seized
a greater and greater right of self government, until now it may be

fairly said that nearly all the nations of Europe have a very large measure of self government. However, I wish to call the attention of
the Senate and the country to this important history in the growth of
constitutional popular self-government. The Holy Alliance made its

powers felt by the wholesale drastic suppression of the press in Europe,
by universal censorship, by killing free speech and all ideas of popular
rights, and by the complete suppression of popular government. The
Holy Alliance having destroyed popular government in Spain and in Italy,
had well-laid plans also to destroy popular government in the American
colonies which had revolted from Spain and Portugal in Central and South
America under the influence of the successful example of the

United
States. It was because of this conspiracy against the American Republics by the Europian monarchies that the great English statesman,
Canning, called the attention of our government to it, and our statesmen
then, including Thomas Jefferson, took an active part to bring about the
declaration by President Monroe in his next annual message to the

Congress of the United States that the United States should regard it as
an act of hostility to the government of the United States and an unfriendly act if this coalition or if any power of Europe ever undertook
to establish upon the American Continent any control of any American
Republic or to acquire any territorial rights. This is the so-called Monroe doctrine. The threat under the secret treaty of Verona to suppress popular governments in the American Republics is the basis of
the Monroe doctrine. This secret treaty sets forth clearly the conflict between monarchial government and popular government and the
government of the few as against the government of the many. It is a
part, in reality, of developing popular sovereignty when we demand for
women equal rights to life, to liberty, to the possession of property, to
an equal voice in the making of the laws and the administration of the
laws. This demand on the part of the women is made by men, and it
ought to be made by men as well as by thinking, progressive women, as it
will promote human liberty and human happiness. I sympathize with it,

and I hope that all parties will in the national conventions give their
approval to this larger measure of liberty to the better half of the human race.

6. The experience we have had, has made us acquainted with the many
advantages that have been taken by the Society of its intervention in the
marriages of the House of Austria, and of those which have been effected
in other kingdoms, France, Poland, and in various duchies. Forasmuch
assembling, proposing with prudence, selecting choice persons who may be
friends and families of the relatives, and of the friends of the Society.
7. It will be easy to gain the princesses, making use of their valets; by that coming to feed and nourish with relations of friendship,
by being located at the entrance in all parts, and thus become acquainted with the most intimate secrets of the familiars. Secret Instructions of The Company of Jesus: Chapter II.

This is the html version of the file http://university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf.
Google automatically generates html versions of documents as we crawl the web.

The Kings, Popes and Parasites in Early American History
Interrogatories about the Constitution and American Law
By R.E. Sutherland, M.Ed./sciences

OBJECTIVE: If you do not know where you came from, then you certainly cannot
know where you are going. It is time to review history and pull together some of the

lesser known facts for edification and purification of what America was, is and
chooses to become. Seek the Truth, and then you will become aware of the shackles
on your ankles and the blinders on your eyes.
NOTE: This author has chosen to use well known academic sources for the concepts
commonly taught at the high school level. As the answers become more complicated,
the more analytical and legal sources will be used. This is an attempt to keep a
difficult subject as simple as possible.
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
QUOTE: "The reason why [deception cannot be forced on an Individual] is because
deception has to be first created, then conveyed, and then accepted by others – then
only can deception succeed. Deception can only find fertility in a human mind to the
extent that mind is receptive to it; similarly, in a sense, it actually takes two people to
manufacture a successful lie: the first to utter the lie, and the second to accept it as
such." –1985, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier.
1. Who funded the grants for the land development in the original 13
Colonies?
ANSWER: There were several entities involved in exploring America, but the King of
England was the point of contact. Other countries said that they had claim to lands;
however, they were not clever enough to get the paperwork straight, nor were they
strong enough to defend their legal Claim; hence, they lost both the legal and
physical battle for occupation of America.
2. What were the names, founding dates, and connections to the King of

England by the original 13 colonies?
[SOURCE: World Book Encyclopedia (WBE)]
ANSWER:
1067-Virginia - Charter by King to the Virginia Company of London
1620-Massachusetts - Charter granted by the King to the Puritans
1623-New Hampshire - King appointed Council of New England for settlement
1624-New York - Charter by King to Duke of York

1622-Connecticut - Charter by King to John Winthrop
1634-Maryland - Charter by King to Lord Baltimore
1636-Rhode Island - King granted "Charter of Rhode Island & Providence
Plantations"
1638-Delaware - Charter by King to Duke of York
1643-Pennsylvania - Grant by King to William Penn
1653-North Carolina - Grant by King to Sir Robert Heath
1660-New Jersey - Grant by King to Duke of York
1670-South Carolina - Grant by King to Eight "Lords Proprietors"
1733-Georgia - Grant by King to a Corporation entitled: "Trustees for Establishing
the Colony of Georgia in America
3. Who owned the colonies?
ANSWER: The legal contracting documents for the colonies were of three types, but
all of them were under the direction of the King of England:
(a) royal - under the direct control of the King
(b) proprietary-under the control of a Proprietor, an appointed by the King
(c) corporate-under a charter obtained from the King of England by a company with
stockholders. [SOURCE: WBE]
4. Did each colony have its own form of government?

ANSWER: Each colony had a governor and a legislature; however, the King of England appointed the governor over the royal colonies. In proprietary colonies, the King appointed the Proprietor, who appointed the governor. In Connecticut and Rhode Island the people elected the governor; however, Connecticut was under the Fundamental Orders until it received a royal charter in 1662 and Rhode Island was under the English charter of 1663, which served as its constitution. [SOURCE: WBE]

5. Did the colonies have laws?

ANSWER: The laws that were passed by any of the colonial legislatures had to be approved by the English government. Governors appointed by the King had the responsibility of carrying out his orders. The King expected them to enforce the laws of England, especially acts of Parliament that regulated colonial trade. [SOURCE: WBE]

6. Did Christopher Columbus discover and claim any of the original 13 colonies for Spain or Portugal?

ANSWER: No. Columbus traveled around the areas of Jamaica, Costa Rica, Panama, etc. [SOURCE: WBE]

7. What is a Commodity Exchange?

ANSWER: "Commodity exchanges are voluntary trade associations. They are called organized markets, because all members must follow certain trading rules. All

business, for example, must be conducted on the trading floor within certain hours.
Rules set the commission (fee) that may be charged in a transaction, and the time
within which payment must be made." [SOURCE: WBE]

8. Did the colonies have connections to a Commodity Exchange in England?

ANSWER: Yes, It was called the Board of Trade (1621-1970)
QUOTE:
URL: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/
"The1621 Privy Council, directed by the King, 'to take into their consideration, the
true causes of the decay of trade and scarcity of coin within the Kingdom and to
consult the means for the removing of these inconveniences.' As a result a committee
of inquiry was set up named 'The Committee of Privy Council for Trade and Foreign
Plantations' (this is still the formal title of the 'Board of Trade') and this committee
can be regarded as the germ of the Board of Trade."
"Throughout the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, trade matters remained the
responsibility of Privy Council Committees. In 1696 William III set up a body of
eight paid Commissioners 'for promoting the trade of our Kingdom and for
inspecting and improving our plantations in America and elsewhere.'"

9. Does the word "plantation" mean a large farming enterprise?

ANSWER: No. The definition found in Burke on Conciliation of the Colonies stated,
"Plantations–colonies; the plantings of a new society or race. The term is regularly
so used in Acts and Charters, and has no reference whatever to cultivation of the

soil."

10. Did the King of England operate on his own as a free agent in the creation of the colonies?

ANSWER: No. The King of England was bound to the Treaty of 1213. The following brief history explains who was actually in charge of the colonies.

QUOTE:

[INTRO: The King refused to accept Stephen Langton as the Archbishop of Canterbury by Pope Innocent III in 1208, and the King was excommunicated from the Catholic Church by the Pope for his disobedience to contractual agreements to the Crown. The Pope and the King owed money to the Crown bankers, so the Pope had to reign in a naughty King in order to avoid default to The Crown.]

"Faced with defeat by the forces aligned against him by the Vatican, King John ran for cover, and sought to regain the support of the Pope. He returned the title to his kingdoms of England and Ireland to the Pope, as vassals, swore submission and loyalty to him, accepted Langton as Archbishop of Canterbury, and offered the Pope a vassal's bond of fealty and homage, an annual tribute of 1,000 marks (equivalent to a bit more than 666 pounds sterling) and the return of the Church property he had seized when he had rebelled against it.

"Two months later, in July 1213, King John was: absolved of excommunication, at Winchester, by the return Arch Bishop of Canterbury Langton.

"Three months later, on October 3, 1213, King John ratified his surrender of his kingdoms to the Pope, who by virtue of his position as Vicar of Christ claims ownership of everything and everyone, on earth in the tradition of the Nazarene-Communist supercapitalist superdictatorship that is true fundamentalist Christianity.

"On April 21, 1214, the Pope, in Rome, formally accepted King John's surrender of his kingdoms and his pledge of vassal (together with the moneys paid in tribute); and three months later, in July 1214, Pope Innocent III raised the interdict against the English.

"Thus the Pope assured the English of 'access to Heaven,' from which they had been 'barred' by their king's opposition to the church's Nazarene, or Communist, totalitarianism and denial of civil rights to mankind."

[SOURCE: British Museum Publication G. R. C. Davis, entitled Magna Carta (211), and American Counsel of Christian Laymen: How Red is The Federal Counsel of Churches.]

11. Did the Treaty of 1213 actually affect the ownership of the colonies?

ANSWER: The Vatican owned the colonies, but let the King serve as the manager for the enterprise. The Vatican was busy fighting Crusades and expanding The Kingdom.

12. What did the Treaty of 1213 actually say?

ANSWER: The original Treaty of 1213 is located in the London Archives and is available to Ph.D.s; however, a copy of a translation has been made available. It

remains in power to this day. It states:
QUOTE: "The King's Concessions of May 15, 1213 to the Pope–"We wish it to be
known to all of you, through this our charter, furnished with our seal, that inasmuch
as we had offended in many ways God and our mother the holy church, and in
consequence are known to have very much needed the divine mercy, and can not

---

offer anything worthy for making due satisfaction to God and to the church unless we
humiliate ourselves and our kingdoms: we, wishing to humiliate ourselves for Him
who humiliated Himself for us unto death, the grace of the Holy Spirit inspiring, not
induced by force or compelled by fear, but of our own good and spontaneous will and
by the common counsel of our barons, do offer and freely concede to God and His
holy apostles Peter and Paul and to our mother the holy Roman church, and to our
lord pope Innocent and to his Catholic successors, the whole kingdom of England
and the whole kingdom Ireland, with all their rights and appurtenances, for the
remission of our sins and of those of our whole race as well for the living as for the
dead; and now receiving and holding them, as it were a vassal, from God and the
Roman church, in the presence of that prudent man Pandulph, subdeacon and of the
household of the lord pope Innocent, and his catholic successors and the Roman

church, according to the form appended; and in the presence of the lord pope, if we
shall be able to come before him, we shall do liege homage to him; binding our
successors aid our heirs by our wife forever, in similar manner to perform fealty and
show homage to him who shall be chief pontiff at that time, and to the Roman church
without demur. Concessions of May 15, 1213 to the Pope." [END QUOTE]

13. Who were the members of the British Board of Trade?

ANSWER: The Board included agents of the King of England, members of the Privy
Council (i.e., legislative bodies), and the Archbishop of Canterbury who represented
the Church of England. [SOURCE: World Book Encyclopedia (WBE)]

14. Were Jews allowed on the Board of trade?

ANSWER: No. The Board refused to allow either the Lombards or the Jewish
moneylenders onto their Board. They were segregated because their religious rules
made them useful for the Board. The following quote is an excellent explanation.

QUOTE: The Federal Reserve Conspiracy and Rockefeller (1952)
By Emanuel Josephson

"Since commerce and money are the livelihoods of nations and their peoples, the
control of money is the obvious key to the control of nations and the world. ...Rome's
successor the Holy Roman Empire dissimulated its interest in money and its power.
This was in accord with its professed tenets of Nazarene, theistic Communism.
"Under ecclesiastic Canon Law, even profits in business transactions were decreed to
be the cardinal sin and capital offense of 'usury' As late as the sixteenth century, one

hundred businessmen were burned at the stake in Geneva, as a penalty under Church
law, for making profits in their business transactions. Title to all wealth, as well as to
the person and lives of all the earth, are claimed by the Church, on the ground that
their ownership is divinely vested in the Pope as the Vicar of Jesus Christ on earth.

"Thus theistic, Nazarene Communism, and the 'modern' religion that goes by the
name of Communism and is supposedly atheist, both are basically supercapitalist
and both mask their grab for money and wealth.

"Title to all wealth was vested in the Church and in its champion 'knights,' who at the
same time assumed the role of so-called 'protectors,' much like the present day labor
leaders of their vassals whom they mercilessly enslaved and looted.

"Both Churchmen and lay knights used the despised Jews for the conduct of their
usurious financial operations, in order to avoid 'sinning' and the death penalty that it
involved. The Jews proved very useful and handy for that purpose. Their use was
justified by their 'CHRISTIAN' masters in a manner that they were taught by their
faith was incontrovertible. Jews were damned and doomed by their faith and their
failure to accept the divinity of Jesus and the perversion of His teachings by the
Jewish merchant, Saul of Tarsus, alias St. Paul, opined the Churchmen; and
therefore, it was 'good work' to hasten them to damnation.

"This they did by forcing their Jewish serfs to engage, as their pawns, in the 'sin' and
'crime' of 'usury' by which was meant the charging of interest as well as loan sharking
and engaging in profitable commerce, for their Christian, ecclesiastical bosses.
"Often the Churchmen barred the Jews, by their orders and laws, from engaging in
any other vocation than those to which the stigma of usury was attached, especially
loan-sharking, as their agents. This was a particular advantageous set up for the
Churchmen. For if the Jew was merciful and failed to extract from the victims
everything that they possessed (i.e., the last drop of blood), he was burned at the
stake as a 'heretic.'
"On the other hand, if the Jew mercilessly followed orders of his priestly boss, was
honest with his boss and amassed a fortune for him and for himself, there was
nothing to bar his Christian master from exercising his cupidity and robbing his
faithful loan-shark by charging him with the 'sin' of usury, confiscating the fortune he
had made in his service, and with great hypocritic show of 'piety,' burn him at the
stake—'to ensure his salvation.'
"The victorious Lombard invaders of the Holy Roman Empire changed the financial
situation in much the same manner as have the latter day Mafia extortioners and
blackmailers. Seizing control of the Church, they gave themselves 'dispensation' to
disregard the Canon Law on usury. They openly engaged in it from the very steps of
the Vatican.

"Dispensation from the Canon on Usury was subsequently granted by the Vatican, in
the 15th century, to the German Fuggers, the Rockefellers of that era. Their profits
from commerce, usury and the sale of papal dispensations, as agents of the Vatican,
grew rapidly, as did their 'payoff' to the church. They were heaped with Papal
honors. Both their grasping greed and merciless loan-sharking earned for them

---

distrust and terror. When one of their number was elevated to the rank of Cardinal,
the Churchmen feared that the Fuggers would reach out and steal the Vatican itself.
They then decided that their Jewish pawn were more completely at their mercy,
more amenable and safer.
"Trusteeship of the fortune of one of the wealthiest Christian rulers of Europe, whose
confidence had been earned by honest and trustworthy dealings during the
Napoleonic wars, is the source of the wealth and influence that the Rothschilds
acquired in the first decades of the 19th century.
"Subsequently, after making a large loan to the hard pressed Vatican, that no
Christian would consider making, they became the fiscal agents of the Vatican,
received Papal decorations and preferments, and enforced the policies dictated by
the Church. It was largely in this sense that they were 'international bankers.' And
the policies dictated by them were in effect the policies dictated by the Church. They

enforced those policies through their establishments in many lands.
"An amusing story is told of the earliest relations of the Rothschilds with the Vatican.
The Vatican found itself short of ready cash after almost half a century of war waged
on it for the Jesuit Order by one of its unordained members, Adam Weishaupt, to
avenge its abolition, in 1773, as 'immoral and a menace to the Church and the Faith'
by short lived Pope Clement XIV in his Papal breve Dominus Ac Redemptor.
"Weishaupt and his fellow Jesuits cut off the income to the Vatican by launching and
leading the French Revolution; by directing Napoleon's conquest of Catholic Europe;
by the revolt against the Church led by such priests as Father Hidalgo, in Mexico and
Latin America; by eventually having napoleon throw Pope Pius Vii in jail at Avignon
until he agreed, as the price for his release, to reestablish the Jesuit Order. This
Jesuit war on the Vatican was terminated by the Congress of Vienna and by the
secret, 1822 Treaty of Verona. . .
"The Rothschilds sought to extend their financial and political dominion to the
United States, for themselves primarily to serve their Vatican masters. The Vatican's
interest in the U.S. Republic was clearly revealed in the Treaty of Verona, in which
the Jesuit Order pledged itself, as the price of reestablishment, to destroy 'the works
of Satan' that it had accomplished in setting up, by revolts, representative
governments such as republics and so called 'democracies.'
"Senator Robert Owen pointed out, in the Senate, that the prime target to which the

Vatican and the 'Holy Alliance' directed the subversive and destructive activities of
the Society of Jesus is the United States, [See Congressional Record, April 25, 1916],
as well as other republics in the Western Hemisphere. This plot, he related, was the
target at which the Monroe Doctrine was directed.
"The Rothschild-Vatican cabal unsuccessfully attempted to gain control over the
power of the purse in the U.S. through the First and Second Bank of the United

---

States. They were established under the emergency powers granted the President by
the Constitution, as temporary institutions to tide the country through the periods of
financial stress occasioned by the Revolutionary and 1812 Wars." [END QUOTE]

15. Did the original 13 colonies have a court system?

ANSWER: Yes.
QUOTE: "Encyclopedia of American History - "in 1697 the British Board of Trade,
under the Navigation Act, established vice-admiralty courts in all the colonies. These
courts had jurisdiction over Trade, ordinary maritime cases as well as prize. It even
granted jurisdiction by the Act of 1722 over infringements concerning timber. These
Admiralty courts, set up under the Townshend Acts, centered final control in
America." [Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 4]

**16. What were the types of jurisdiction assigned to the courts in the
colonies?**

ANSWER: Admiralty and maritime.
QUOTE: "Admiralty, by Benedict, 1850:
"Its necessary effect [the Act] was, however, to start the courts on that system of
practice, and really to impose upon them, in admiralty and maritime cases, the civil
law practice, as that under which they must continue to administer justice, even after
the expiration of that act, until further provision could be made."
"Section 105–The Purpose of the Constitutional Grant–The Essential Harmony of
the Maritime Law. The grand purpose of the Constitution was to unify the several
states , the whole people, in their national, international, and interstate relations and
all other purposes were subordinate and ancillary to this.
"Section 123 – The commission to the Governor as Vice-Admiral was very full,
granting, in language so clear that it cannot be misunderstood, an admiralty
jurisdiction as wide and beneficial as the most zealous supporters of the English
Admiralty ever claimed for it."

17. What is the legal meaning of the word: "federal"?

ANSWER: The word "federal" simply put means "contract."
QUOTES: From The American College Dictionary, 1947:
"Federal – 1. Of or pertaining to a compact or a league, esp. a league between
nations or states."
"Compact–an agreement between parties; a covenant; a contract."

NOTE: The more modern dictionaries are missing the original definitions as the

university professors began to reshape society by gradually changing the definitions
of words our students learn and use.

18. Did Commercial Contracts in the United States evolve from
something else?

ANSWER: Yes.
QUOTE from Section 065, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier:
"Here in the United States, in a Commercial contract factual setting, the word
'covenant' is an Old English Law Merchant origin, and now means only a few clauses
within a larger contract..."

19. Is there a difference between Government commerce and Private
commerce in law?

ANSWER: Yes.
QUOTE from Section 387, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier:
"Admiralty Jurisdiction is the KING'S COMMERCE of the High Seas . .. But as for
that slice of Commerce going out on the High Seas without the King as a party, that
Commerce is called Maritime Jurisdiction, and so Maritime is the private Commerce
that transpires in a marine environment. At least, that distinction between
Admiralty and Maritime is the way things once were, but no more."

20. Do Admiralty courts still exist today?

ANSWER: Yes, it is the United States Federal Court system.
QUOTE:
"This is the type of court that exists today and why we cannot bring a pure Article of
the Bill of Rights argument into a contract [i.e., federal] court of the Law-Merchant

in their civil law. As Benedict states at Section 5," ... The civil law was held to be the
law of admiralty, and the course of proceedings in admiralty, closely resembled the
civil law practice." All maritime revenue cases, whether State or United States, deals
in contract. ...
[Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 5.]
QUOTE from Section 049, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier.
"In such administrative enforcement proceedings under grievances arising out of
privileges and contracts that Congress created, Federal Judges are acting
MINISTERIALLY as Legislative Court, functioning as an extension of the agency for
the King, and not Judicially as an Article III Court acting like neutral and
disinterested referees calling the shots as umpires between adversaries; and so some
steps taken by the Judge acting MINISTERIALLY, to shorten the proceedings or
otherwise silence the Defendant when irrelevant subject matter is being discussed,
are largely non-reversible on appeal. In Northern Pipeline vs Marathon Pipe Line
]458 U.S. 50 (1982)], the Supreme Court ruled that Congress can create non-Article

---

III LEGISLATIVE COURTS in three areas: Territorial Courts, Military Courts
Martial, and in disputes involving privileges that Congress created in the first place
[MARATHON, id., at pages 64 et seq.]. Participating in that closed private domain of

King's [government] Commerce is very much accepting and benefiting from a
privilege created by Congress.
QUOTE:
"A case in admiralty does not, in fact, arise under the Constitution or Laws of the
United States."
American Ins. Co. V Canter, 1 Pet. 511, 545 (1828).
QUOTE: "We don't use the word constitution in this court," said the Aiken Federal
Judge during a hearing for a Freedom of Information Act violation in the City of
Aiken. This author was the Plaintiff, and was awarded damages for the failure of the
city to give information per the FOIA, but no discussion about the constitutional
merits of the case were allowed to be discussed..
**21. The U.S. Constitution states in Article I, Section 8, "The Congress
shall have Power . .. To borrow Money on the credit of the United States;
. .. To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin and fix
the Standard of Weights and Measures". So, why is there also paper
money if it is not constitutional?**
ANSWER: It all began in 1751 with the English Parliament.
QUOTE from Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 7:
"In March of 1751, the British Board of Trade presented Parliament with a
Restraining Act, which barred the Colonies, by law, from issuing paper money and
letters of Credit. This gave the King's orders the validity of formal law. The Colonies
didn't buy it, for it destroyed their control of the trade. You see, there was no gold or

silver being mined in America. They had to rely on gold and silver from other
countries. England had most of the gold.
"On July 10, 1754, the Confederacy was born because of this, so they could issue
paper money, only on their joint order. Ben Franklin had long advocated this.
"In March of 1775, the Pennsylvania Assembly borrowed money and issued bills of
Credit without authorization of either King of Governor. The Board of Trade tried
another ploy and said that Gold and Silver have intrinsic value, and therefore, should
be used by the Colonies. Because of 'them' issuing 'paper money' it 'ruins the
Colonies,' so said the Tories.
"Now get this people, Franklin replied to the contrary saying that paper money
served as a medium of exchange and credit had made possible the growth of the
Colonies and their trade. He told the Board of Trade that the Tories argued that the
paper money issued by the colonies was a dilution of their control of wealth.

"This explains why the federal government is denied the power to issue currency
other than coin or to set up or charter banks. But they do it under 'emergency
power.' This is why the present day private Federal Reserve System, counterpart of
the British Board of Trade, runs this country today.
"Now you know why the Crown initiated the coin only clause in the Constitution, so

the private bankers could control the paper credit. Paper is NOT money."
QUOTE from Section 390, "Invisible Contracts,' by George Mercier:
"However, today in the United States, all Commercial contracts that private parties
enter into with each other that are under Maritime Jurisdiction, are now also under
Admiralty: Reason: the beneficial use and reticulation of Federal Reserve Notes
makes the King [government] an automatic silent Equity third party to the
arrangements."

22. What other names were given to the British International Bankers in history?

ANSWER: They were called Fruggers, Knights Templar, Gisors, Tuscans, etc., and
today they are called The Crown. [The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Page
6]

23. Legally DEFINE: Contract, Charter, Compact and Constitution?

ANSWER:
Contract: "An agreement between two or more persons which creates an obligation
to do or not to do a particular thing... A legal relationship consisting of the rights and
duties of the contracting parties; a promise or set of promises constituting an
agreement between the parties that gives each a legal duty to the other and also the
right to seek a remedy for the breach of those duties. [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th
Edition]
Charter: "An instrument emanating from the sovereign power, in the nature of a

grant, either to the whole nation, or to a class or portion of the people, to a
corporation, or to a colony or dependency, assuring to them certain rights, liberties,
or powers ... A charter differs from a constitution, in that the former is granted by the
sovereign, while the later is established by the people themselves. [Black's Law
Dictionary, 6th Edition]
Compact: "...A contract between parties, which creates obligations and rights capable
of being enforced and contemplated as such between the parties, in their distinct and
independent characters..." [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]
Constitution: " ... A charter of government deriving its whole authority from the
governed. The written instrument agreed upon by the people of the Union, or a
particular states, ... In a more general sense, any fundamental or important law or

---

edict; as the Novel Constitutions of Justinian; the Constitutions of Clarendon."
[Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

**24. Was the United States Constitution a charter, compact, constitution
or contract?**

ANSWER: It was a compact between the Vatican, who controlled the King of
England, and the aristocrats of the thirteen colonies. [The New History of America,
by The Informer, Page 20]
QUOTE: John C. Calhoun, in 1831 said, "The Constitution of the United States is, in

fact, a compact, to which each State is a party." [SOURCE: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 20.]

QUOTE: Thomas Jefferson in 1789 stated, "To this compact each State acceded as a State, and is an integral party, its co-states forming, as to itself, the other party."

QUOTE: "Patrick Henry said he was ‘no longer a Virginia, but an American.' He did not say he was an American citizen, because the compact merged all confederate states as if one, and you couldn't tell the difference." [SOURCE: The New History of America, by The Informer, Page 20]

QUOTE: "United States is a place within America and it is not a country. Also, what you were not told were the framers signed the Constitution as witnesses only. In law, that is an impossibility to witness a document no one signed … The Constitution was not only never signed by anybody, but it was never delivered by anybody, or to anybody's agent or attorney. It can therefore be of no more validity as a contract, than can any other instrument that was never signed or delivered … On general principles of law and reason, the oaths which these pretended agents of the people take ‘to support the Constitution,' are of no validity or obligation. And why? For this, if for no other reason, viz., that they are given to nobody. There is no privity (as the lawyers say) –that is, no mutual recognition, consent, and agreement—between those who take these oaths, and any other persons." [SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, pages 10-13]

**25. In legal terminology, is there a difference between "We, the People"
and "We, the people?"**
ANSWER: Yes. In the phrase, "We, the People" the capitalized word makes it a
proper noun, which means that "the People" was a specific group (i.e., the
aristocrats). In the phrase, "We, the people" the common noun indicates that the
phrase refers to people in a general sense. [The Myth and The Reality, by The
Informer, Pages 25-26]
**26. In 1776, who was "We, the People" referring to in the U.S.
Constitution?**

ANSWER: The "People" referenced by the Constitution were the wealthy aristocrats.
All of the men held Grants and Charters with the King. They owed him, as well as
The Crown, interest on the credit extended to them for planting the new society.
They profited very well from their exports all over the world. [The Myth and The
Reality, by The Informer, Page 23]
QUOTE: Patrick Henry said, " ... But, Sir, give me leave to demand, what right had
they to say, ‘We, the People? If the States be not the agents of this compact, it must
be one great consolidated National Government of the people of all the states."
[SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, page25.]
27. Why did the aristocrats meet in secret to discuss the Constitution?

ANSWER: The Founding Fathers were in very big trouble. They were wealthy men,
who had credit with the King via The Crown. They owed contractual debts, which the
King expected them to pay. The Crown fronted the money for the King's enterprise,
so the International Bankers would hold the King responsible for that debt, if the
colonists refused to pay their debts. All were obligated to the King with written and
signed contracts. The leaders in the colonies were held responsible for the rebellion
(i.e., Revolutionary War). They were wealthy aristocrats, who also had large parcels
of land, huge estates, and other revenue producing businesses back in the old
country. The Vatican controlled King placed the wealthy aristocrats into a political
‘checkmate'. The King sent them a choice. They could lose everything they owned in
Europe, or they could quietly go along with a form of government that would allow
the King to manipulate the future, on behalf of The Crown, for profit, and the
aristocrats would go along with a lie to the people, which was to tell them they won
the war. The wealthy men chose to deceive the public. They were told to Witness
their agreement on the compact document to pledge that they would cooperate with
the King. The compact was called "The Constitution for the United States", which is
duly stated in paragraph number one of the document. [SOURCE: The Myth and
The Reality, by The Informer, pages 22-24]
NOTICE the words "for the United, because these men did something on behalf of

unsuspecting fellow countrymen. The public school system and elected officials have
created a wonderful myth for us to believe about the derivation of the Constitution,
but it was not an honorable meeting.

28. Who actually wrote the Constitution?

ANSWER: The Vatican along with The Crown drafted the constitution, and the
King's agents delivered it to the aristocrats in America for witnessing. [SOURCE:
The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Pages 22-27.]

**29. How did the Constitution protect The Crown's investments in
America?**

ANSWER: Article VI of the Constitution states: "All Debts contracted and
Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid
against the United States under this Constitution as under the Confederation... "

30. When did the United States actually come into existence?

ANSWER: The website for the Central Intelligence Agency states: "Britain's
American colonies broke with the mother country in 1776 and were recognized as the
new nation of the United States of America following the Treaty of Paris in 1783."
NOTICE: The USA was not official for seven years after the announced "victory" of
the Revolutionary War.

31. What were the terms of the Treaty of Paris in 1783?

QUOTE:
The Ten Articles of the Treaty of 1783

Courtesy of the National Archives and Records Administration.
Preface. Declares the treaty to be "in the name of the most holy and undivided
Trinity," states the bona fides of the signatories, and declares the intention of both
parties to "forget all past misunderstandings and differences" and "secure to both
perpetual peace and harmony."
Acknowledging the Thirteen Colonies to be free, sovereign and independent States,
and that the British Crown and all heirs and successors relinquish claims to the
Government, propriety, and territorial rights of the same, and every part thereof;[2]
Establishing the boundaries between the United States and British North America
(for an account of two strange anomalies resulting from this part of the Treaty, based
on inaccuracies in the Mitchell Map—see Northwest Angle and the Republic of
Indian Stream);
Granting fishing rights to United States fishermen in the Grand Banks, off the coast
of Newfoundland and in the Gulf of Saint Lawrence;
Recognizing the lawful contracted debts to be paid to creditors on either side;
The Congress of the Confederation will "earnestly recommend" to state legislatures
to recognize the rightful owners of all confiscated lands "provide for the restitution of
all estates, rights, and properties, which have been confiscated belonging to real
British subjects [Loyalists]";
United States will prevent future confiscations of the property of Loyalists;
Prisoners of war on both sides are to be released and all property left by the British
army in the United States unmolested (including slaves);

Great Britain and the United States were each to be given perpetual access to the
Mississippi River;
Territories captured by Americans subsequent to treaty will be returned without
compensation;

Ratification of the treaty was to occur within six months from the signing by the
contracting parties.
Spain received East and West Florida under the separate Anglo-Spanish peace
agreement
[SOURCE: Wikipedia.com]

**32. Who was the "most holy and undivided Trinity" that is mentioned in
the declaration paragraph of the Treaty of 1783?**

ANSWER: The Vatican, the King of England, and The Crown (i.e., international
bankers). [SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Page 100]

33. What is the legal definition of the word "church"?

ANSWER: A simple definition of church would be that it is a business.
QUOTE: "Church--In its most general sense, the religious society founded and
established by Jesus Christ, to receive, preserve, and propagate His doctrines and
ordinances. It may also mean a body of communicants gathered into church order;
body or community of Christians, united under one form of government by the
profession of the same faith and observance of the same ritual and ceremonies; place

where persons regularly assemble for worship; congregation; organization for
religious purposes; religious society or body; the clergy or officialdom of a religious
body." [Black's Law Dictionary]

34. What is the legal definition of the word "business"?

QUOTE: "... Enterprise in which person engaged shows willingness to invest time
and capital on future outcome. Doggett v Burnet, 62 App.D.C. 103, 65 F.2d 191,
194." [Black's Law Dictionary]

**35. Is the United States actually a church organization, an extension of
the Vatican?**

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE: "If North Carolina is only a geographical place in America in which the State
resides along with you, who is supreme? Is not the State a corporate religion? Is the
Lord a religion? I think not. Are there many religions in the State? To be recognized
as a religion do not those religions have to register with the IRS/FED/STATE team to
get a 501c-3 exemption? This goes against what the "government" preaches, that
being, church and State separation. Government drones are hypocrites, because to
be a church you must be controlled by the very State that boasts that church and
State must not mix. This is where logical minds do not prevail in the masses and
they have no reasoning or common sense. Who then is the master, if the State will
not recognize the religion, if not licensed? So one religion controls all others through

license. Shades of merry ole England and the Crown that controlled all religions
before the what, revolutionary war? But what if you are under another "church"
called government? The Lord said he set His Church upon this Rock, meaning he set
His GOVERNMENT upon this earth, NOT some church building or religion ... you
can see why the State is telling you that they can't mix the Church (Government) of
the Lord and the State's government (church?). How fatuous to believe we are free
people and can worship the Almighty and follow His laws without the Crown
interfering; paying taxes to a rogue IRS that cannot be proven to be created by the
legislature and which operates through fear, extortion, threats, killings, jailing,
seizures, suicides and the like to keep everyone in bondage to pay a tribute to the
elite integrationists using England as a front since it too went bankrupt before the
United States did in 1861. . . [The New History of America, by The Informer, Pages
16-17]

36. Was the U.S. Constitution "ratified" or "adopted"?

ANSWER: It was adopted.

QUOTE: [Preamble to the Bill of Rights – "THE Conventions of a number of the
States, having at the time of their adopting the Constitution ..."

37. What is the difference between "ratified" and "adopted" in legal terminology?

ANSWER:

"Adopt. To accept, appropriate, choose, or select. To make that one's own (property

or act) which was not so originally. To accept, consent to, and put into effective
operation; as in the case of a constitution, constitutional amendment, ordinance,
court rule, or by-law."
"Ratify. To approve and sanction; to make valid; to confirm; to give sanction to. To
authorize or otherwise approve, retroactively, an agreement or conduct either,
expressly or by implication."
[SOURCE: Black's Law Dictionary]

38. Why did the wealthy aristocrats choose to adopt the compact called
"The Constitution for the United States", which was sent to them by the
Vatican via the King of England on behalf of The Crown?

ANSWER: The King had the leaders of the colonies in a 'checkmate.' They owed him
money.

QUOTE: "In March of 1775 the Pennsylvania Assembly borrowed money and issued
bills of Credit without authorization of either King or [appointed] Governor." [The
New History of America by The Informer, Page 7]

QUOTE from Our Enemy the State, by Albert J. Nock:
" ... More than half the delegates to the constitutional convention in 1787 were either
investors or speculators in the public funds. Probably sixty percent of the values
represented by these securities were fictitious, and were so regarded even by their
holders.

QUOTE from The New History of America, by The Informer, Pages 31-33:

"They also had many land holdings and businesses in Europe ... Well, they won independence from the King until the King wanted all his money he invested in his British colonies, now called the confederate states. If the British Board of Trade was concerned in 1700 about losing wealth, then this was the time for them to take control of the situation. After all, paper money was being printed in just about every confederate state, thereby wiping out the Bank of England's control of the wealth.

The Treaty of 1606 still existed, (see James Montgomery's work) so the King gave the ultimatum to the 'men.' ...

"America had no navy to defend the waters. It was dependent on the trading with foreign countries of Europe using British trading ships. America was not yet self sufficient. The King knowing this said to the men, I will seize all your property and business in England, under escheat. I will run a blockade on the ocean and allow no trading to be carried on. I will have total control in the amount of time your stores run out due to lack of trade. They knew it was just a matter of time for this to happen, so, they agreed to cut a deal.

"This deal was to make the confederation appear to be very frail so they could draft up a compact. This compact would suck in all the states in which the states would be forbidden to use their own paper money. The corporate States, which you did not create, were bound to pay their debts in silver, Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1. But, it cannot extend to the people, they can use anything they want. Now you can

understand a little better what I stated near the beginning about the British Board of
Trade controlling the whole works... As Patrick Henry stated, are you starting to
'Smell a Rat?'
" Is it any wonder why the 'We, the People' rushed to seal the deal between the King
and themselves, leaving us the little people in the dark? This would allow the British
Board of Trade to use its international banking cartel to again control the trade
through the use of its paper notes. In exchange the King would solidify, by two more
treaties, under the compact/agreement of the new Constitution, his hold on his
property in America. England was very near bankruptcy and had to hold onto its
holdings in America. Being business men, the 'We's' jumped at the offer and a 'new

---

constitution' was formed. It was formed by "WE the People." Was the We the People
the 75% of the people in America? No! The "We the People" were only those that
drafted the Constitution, therefore the need for the capital P in People. ...
"So after all the smoke cleared the people had a new King and some vice-admirals
called governors of each of the political subdivision. Those in power still ruled the
75% of the masses that didn't give a darn."

39. What are the divisions of American Jurisprudence?

ANSWER: There are two major divisions: Tort Law and Contract Law. [Invisible
Contracts, by George Mercier. Section 013.]

QUOTE: In Section 018, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
"In general terms, both American Jurisprudence and Nature that it is modeled after
are divided into actions that fall generally under Tort Law and Contract Law.... For a
presentation of the history of the bifurcation of Law into Tort and Contract going
back into 1200 A.D., see C.H.S. Fifoot in HISTORY AND SOURCES OF THE
COMMON LAW, TORT AND CONTRACT; [Stevens and Sons, London (1949)].

40. What is the difference between Tort Law and Contract Law?

QUOTE: In Sections 018-021 , Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
"Very simply, Contract Law applies to govern a settlement of a grievance whenever a
contract is in effect. This means that only certain types of very narrow arguments are
allowed to be plead in Contract Law grievances, since only the content of the contract
is of any relevance in the grievance settlement ..."Commercial contracts are born, live
and then die, in their own strata, without the Constitution offering any significant
restrainment on Legislative intervention ...In contrast ... we have Tort Law. Think of
Tort Law as being a Judgment Law to settle grievances between persons where there
are damages, but without any contract in effect between the parties."
EXAMPLES of Contract Law: (1) Securities law, (2) Estate Inheritance law, (3)
Quasi-Contracts, etc.
EXAMPLES of Tort Law: (1) Civil Rights, (2) Wrongful Death, (3) Product Liability,

(4) Aviation law, (5) Personal Injury, (6) Accident Recovery, (7) Professional
Malpractice, (8) Unfair Competition, (9) Admiralty and Maritime Torts, (10) Fraud
and Anti-Trust actions, etc.
QUOTE: Wigmore, Select Cases on the Law of Torts, page vii (1912 states:
"Never did a Name so obstruct a true understanding of the Thing. To such a plight
has it brought us that a favorite mode of defining a Tort is to declare merely that it is

---

not a Contract. As if a man were to define Chemistry by pointing out that it is not
Physics or Mathematics."

41. What are the three main parts of a binding contractual agreement?

ANSWER: The three parts of a binding contract are: Offer, Acceptance, and
Consideration.
Explanation: (1) An Offer must be made to someone else, (2) .the Offer must be
voluntarily Accepted, and (3) if both parties "voluntarily give, exchange, perform, or
promise one another something of value, then you've got Consideration. [SOURCE:
Law for Dummies, by John Ventura, JD, Page 16]
QUOTE: In Section 013 of, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
" ... A perfect, well-rounded contract requires not only a promise and a
Consideration, but a participation by each party in both of these elements ..." –
Edward Bennett in Considerations Moving From Third Persons in 9 Harvard law

Review 233, at 233 (1895).
QUOTE: In Section 001 of, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
"Whenever there is an exchange of benefits and there remains some lingering
expectations of some duty between two parties, then an actual INVISIBLE
CONTRACT is in effect ... as it is said that the duty owed back to the party initially
transferring the benefits is RECIPROCAL in nature."

42. Is there a legal difference between "signing" and "witnessing" a document?

ANSWER: Yes.
QUOTE: "Sign –To affix one's name to a writing or instrument, for the purpose of
authenticating or executing it, or to give it effect as one's act... To make any mark, as
upon a document, in token of knowledge, approval acceptance, or obligation."
QUOTE: "Witness - In general, one who, being present, personally sees or perceives a
thing; a beholder, spectator, or eyewitness... One who testifies to what he has seen,
heard, or otherwise observed... A person attesting genuiness of signature to
document by adding his signature... One who is called upon to be present at a
transaction, or the making of a will... " [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

43. Was The United States Constitution "signed" or was it "witnessed?"

ANSWER: Read the document. It states, "Done in Convention by the Unanimous
Consent of the States present the Seventeenth Day of September in the Year of our

Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty seven and of the Independence of the
United States of America the Twelfth in Witness whereof We have hereunto
subscribed our Names, ..."
NOTE: Remember in Part 3, Item 25, one learned the difference between "We, the
People" and "We, the people." The constitution was created for and witnessed by a
specific body of men, and it did not apply to the more general population, which is
clearly noted in the way it uses capital letters.

**44. Did the men who "witnessed" The United States Constitution
participating in the beginning of a "con job" for the colonists which
continues today?**

ANSWER: Yes.
QUOTE from Edmond Burke in March 22, 1775 with his Speech on Conciliation with
America:
"... Let the colonies always keep the idea of their civil rights associated with your
government–they will cling and grapple to you, and no force under heaven will be of
power to tear them from their allegiance. But let it be once understood that your
government may be one thing and their privileges another that these two things may
exist without any mutual relation–the cement is gone, the cohesion is loosened and
everything hastens to decay and dissolution. As long as you have the wisdom to keep
the sovereign authority of this country as the sanctuary of liberty, the sacred temple

consecrated to our common faith, wherever the chosen race and sons of England
worship freedom, they will turn their faces toward you. The more they multiply, the
more friends you will have, the more ardently they love liberty, the more perfect will
be their obedience. Slavery they can have; tey can have it from Spain; they may have
it from Prussia. But until you become lost to all feeling of your true interest and your
natural dignity, freedom they can have from none but you. This commodity of price,
of which you have the monopoly. This is the true Act of Navigation, which binds to
you the commerce of the colonies, and through them secures to you the wealth of the
world. Deny them this participation of freedom, and you break that sole bond which
originally made, and must still preserve, the unity of the empire... Let us get an
American revenue as we have got an American empire. English privileges have made
it all that it is; English privileges alone will make it all it can be."

45. What are the legal jurisdictions mentioned by the United States
Constitution and what is involved in each?

ANSWER: Common Law, Equity Law, and Admiralty/Maritime Law. [Source: UCC
Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 5]
Common Law. "In general, it is a body of law that develops and derives through
judicial decisions, as distinguished from legislative enactments. The 'common law' is

all the statutory and case law background of England and the American colonies
before the American revolution." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]
LAYMEN definition: There is no Compelled Law. Covers a damages. This is Criminal
law.
Equity Jurisdiction. "In a general sense, the jurisdiction belonging to a court of
equity.." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]
LAYMEN definition: One is compelled to perform to the letter of any contract. This is
CIVIL law.
Admiralty law and Maritime Law. Involves commerce on the High Seas and
International Contracts. Involves Compelled Performance with Criminal Penalties.

46. Is there a difference between Admiralty Law and Maritime Law?

ANSWER: Yes.
(1) Admiralty Law. Commerce on the high seas that involves the King (i.e.,
government).
QUOTE: Admiralty is a subdivision of King's Commerce such that all of King's
Commerce that takes place over waterways and the High Seas ... Is assigned to be
governed by a special set of grievance settlement and evidentiary rules, just custom
tailored to Commerce of that nature ... at least that was the case in the old days when
Admiralty was once restricted to govern legitimate business transactions with the
King out on the High Seas.... On land, assigning fault and making partial recovery by
the responsible party is quite common, but not so out on the High Seas. So this
special marine jurisdiction (and 'jurisdiction' meaning here is simply a special set of

rules) was developed organically, piece by piece and sometimes Case by Case ... Also,
some of the other special rules applicable to grievances brought into a Court of
Admiralty are that there is no jury in Admiralty–NEVER– everything is handled
summarily before a Judge in chronologically compressed proceedings. Also, there are
no fixed rules of law or evidence (meaning that it is somewhat like an Administrative
Proceeding in the sense that it is a gree-wheeling evidentiary jurisdiction–anything
goes). SOURCE: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 383]
(2) Law Merchant. "Commerce on the high seas that does not involve the King (i.e.
government)."
QUOTE: "The system of law which particularly relates to marine commerce and
navigation, to business transacted at sea or relating to navigation, to ships and
shipping, to seamen, to the transportation of persons and property by sea, and to
marine affairs generally. " [Black's Law Dictionary]

**47. How did Admiralty Law become the jurisdiction in the Federal
Courts?**
ANSWER: Federal Reserve Notes
QUOTE: "Up until the mid-1800s here in the United States, very frequently
merchants paid off each other in gold coins and company notes ... It was infrequent
that the King had an involvement with private Maritime Commerce. And there was

an easy-to-see distinction in effect back then between Maritime Jurisdiction
contracts that involved private parties ... and Admiralty Jurisdiction, which applied
to Commercial contracts where the King was a party.... However, today in the United
States, all Commercial contracts that private parties enter into with each other that
are under Maritime Jurisdiction, are now also under Admiralty: Reason: The
beneficial use and recirculation of Federal Reserve Notes makes the King an
automatic silent Equity third party to the arrangements." [Source: Invisible
Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 390]
QUOTE: "This concept of using Admiralty as a slick tool for Revenue Raising is an
important concept to understand, as this procedure to raise revenue through an
invisible Admiralty Contract is now surfacing in the United States in the very last
place where anyone would think a marine based jurisdictional environment belongs:
On your Internal Revenue Service's 1040 form... " [Source: Invisible Contracts, by
George Mercier, Section 396]

48. How does one become financially entangled in the Admiralty Law system in the USA?

ANSWER: The Birth Certificate combined with the adult who performs Acceptance
of Benefits.
QUOTE: "But later through a Federal Judge, I realized that there are special financial
benefits that persons documented as being politically enfranchised at birth,
experience later on as adults, when they are being shaken down for a smooth Federal

looting; and it is this Acceptance of Benefits as adults, in the context of reciprocity
being expected back in return, that attaches contract tax liability, and not the
existence of a Birth Certificate document itself... As a point of beginning, one person
cannot bind another. But most importantly, all the Birth Certificate and correlative
documents in the world will not separate a dime in taxation from you until such time
as you, individually, and personally, have started to accept juristic benefits." [Source:
Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 411]
QUOTE: "Remember that when benefits are being accepted in the context of
reciprocity being expected back in return, then there lies a good tight contract."
{Source: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 412]

49. What is Statutory Law?

ANSWER: Codified Merchant Law.
QUOTE: Statutory Law. "That body of law created by acts of the legislature in
contrast to constitutional law and law generated by decisions of courts and
administrative bodies." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]
QUOTE: "The word "colorable" means something that appears to be genuine, but is
not. Maybe it looks like a dollar, and maybe it spends like a dollar, but if it is not
redeemable for lawful money (silver or gold) it is "colorable." If a Federal Reserve
Note is used in a contract, then the contract becomes a "colorable" contract. And

"colorable" contracts must be enforced under a "colorable jurisdiction." So by creating Federal Reserve Notes, the government had to create a jurisdiction to cover the kinds of contracts, which use them. We now have what is called Statutory Jurisdiction, which is not a genuine Admiralty jurisdiction. It is "colorable" Admiralty Jurisdiction the judges are enforcing because we are using "colorable money." Colorable Admiralty is now known as Statutory Jurisdiction." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 6]

50. What happened in 1938 that revolutionized American jurisprudence?

QUOTE from a judge to an attorney: "Name any decision of the Supreme Court after 1938 and I'll honor it, but all the decisions you read were prior to 1938, and I don't honor those decisions. Prior to 1938, the Supreme Court was dealing with Public Law; since 1938, the Supreme Court has dealt with Public Policy...." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 3]

QUOTE by the attorney: "I found that 1938 was the year of the Erie Railroad v. Tompkins case of the Supreme Court. It was also the year the courts claim they blended Law with Equity. I read the Erie Railroad case ... The district court had decided on the basis of Commercial (Negotiable Instruments) Law: That this man was not under any contract with the Erie Railroad, and therefore he had no standing to sue the company ... This overturned a standing decision of over one hundred years ... In the Erie Railroad case, the Supreme Court ruled that all federal cases would be

judged under the Negotiable Instruments Law. There would be no more decisions
based on the Common Law at the federal level ... All our courts since 1938 were
merchant Law courts and not Common Law courts." [UCC Connection, by Howard
Freeman, page 4]

51. Why did the USA judges abandon Public Law and switch to Public
Policy for decisions?

QUOTE from a Judge: "In 1938, all the higher judges, the top attorneys and the U.S.
attorneys were called into a secret meeting and this is what we were told: America is
a bankrupt nation–it is owned completely by its creditors. The creditors own the
Congress, they own the Executive, they own the Judiciary and they own all the state

---

governments. Take a silent judicial notice of this fact, but never reveal it openly. Your
court is operating in an Admiralty Jurisdiction–call it anything you want, but do not
call it Admiralty." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 4]

QUOTE from a Judge: "The reason they cannot call it Admiralty Jurisdiction is that
your defense would be quite different in Admiralty Jurisdiction from your defense
under the Common Law. In Admiralty, there is no court, which has jurisdiction
unless there is a valid international contract in dispute. If you know it is Admiralty
Jurisdiction, and they have admitted on the record that you are in an Admiralty

Court, you can demand that the international maritime contract, to which you are supposedly a party, and which you supposedly have breached, be placed into evidence No court has Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction unless there is a valid international maritime contract that has been breached. So you say, just innocently like a lamb, 'Well, I never knew that I got involved with an international maritime contract, so I deny that such a contract exists. If this court is taking jurisdiction in Admiralty, then place the contract in evidence, so that I may challenge the validity of the contract. What they would have to do is place the national debt into evidence.
They would have to admit that the international bankers own the whole nation, and that we are their slaves." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 5]

52. For what are the international bankers waiting if the nation is bankrupted?

QUOTE by an attorney: "But the bankers said it is not expedient at this time (i.e., 1980s) to admit that they own everything and could foreclose on every nation of the world. The reason they don't want to tell everyone that they own everything is that there are still too many privately owned guns. There are uncooperative armies and other military forces. So until they can gradually consolidate all armies into a World Army and all courts into a single World Court, it is not expedient to admit the jurisdiction the courts are operating under..." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 5]

http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:0-d2cEeg7QAJ:university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us

http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:0-d2cEeg7QAJ:university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us

This is the html version of the file http://university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf.
Google automatically generates html versions of documents as we crawl the web.

The Kings, Popes and Parasites in Early American History
Interrogatories about the Constitution and American Law
By R.E. Sutherland, M.Ed./sciences

OBJECTIVE: If you do not know where you came from, then you certainly cannot know where you are going. It is time to review history and pull together some of the lesser known facts for edification and purification of what America was, is and chooses to become. Seek the Truth, and then you will become aware of the shackles on your ankles and the blinders on your eyes.

NOTE: This author has chosen to use well known academic sources for the concepts commonly taught at the high school level. As the answers become more complicated,

the more analytical and legal sources will be used. This is an attempt to keep a
difficult subject as simple as possible.
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
QUOTE: "The reason why [deception cannot be forced on an Individual] is because
deception has to be first created, then conveyed, and then accepted by others – then
only can deception succeed. Deception can only find fertility in a human mind to the
extent that mind is receptive to it; similarly, in a sense, it actually takes two people to
manufacture a successful lie: the first to utter the lie, and the second to accept it as
such." –1985, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier.

**1. Who funded the grants for the land development in the original 13
Colonies?**

ANSWER: There were several entities involved in exploring America, but the King of
England was the point of contact. Other countries said that they had claim to lands;
however, they were not clever enough to get the paperwork straight, nor were they
strong enough to defend their legal Claim; hence, they lost both the legal and
physical battle for occupation of America.

**2. What were the names, founding dates, and connections to the King of
England by the original 13 colonies?**

[SOURCE: World Book Encyclopedia (WBE)]
ANSWER:
1067-Virginia - Charter by King to the Virginia Company of London
1620-Massachusetts - Charter granted by the King to the Puritans
1623-New Hampshire - King appointed Council of New England for settlement
1624-New York - Charter by King to Duke of York

1622-Connecticut - Charter by King to John Winthrop
1634-Maryland - Charter by King to Lord Baltimore
1636-Rhode Island - King granted "Charter of Rhode Island & Providence
Plantations"
1638-Delaware - Charter by King to Duke of York
1643-Pennsylvania - Grant by King to William Penn
1653-North Carolina - Grant by King to Sir Robert Heath
1660-New Jersey - Grant by King to Duke of York
1670-South Carolina - Grant by King to Eight "Lords Proprietors"
1733-Georgia - Grant by King to a Corporation entitled: "Trustees for Establishing
the Colony of Georgia in America

3. Who owned the colonies?

ANSWER: The legal contracting documents for the colonies were of three types, but
all of them were under the direction of the King of England:
(a) royal - under the direct control of the King
(b) proprietary-under the control of a Proprietor, an appointed by the King
(c) corporate-under a charter obtained from the King of England by a company with
stockholders. [SOURCE: WBE]

4. Did each colony have its own form of government?

ANSWER: Each colony had a governor and a legislature; however, the King of
England appointed the governor over the royal colonies. In proprietary colonies, the
King appointed the Proprietor, who appointed the governor. In Connecticut and
Rhode Island the people elected the governor; however, Connecticut was under the
Fundamental Orders until it received a royal charter in 1662 and Rhode Island was

under the English charter of 1663, which served as its constitution. [SOURCE: WBE]

5. Did the colonies have laws?

ANSWER: The laws that were passed by any of the colonial legislatures had to be approved by the English government. Governors appointed by the King had the responsibility of carrying out his orders. The King expected them to enforce the laws of England, especially acts of Parliament that regulated colonial trade. [SOURCE: WBE]

6. Did Christopher Columbus discover and claim any of the original 13 colonies for Spain or Portugal?

ANSWER: No. Columbus traveled around the areas of Jamaica, Costa Rica, Panama, etc. [SOURCE: WBE]

7. What is a Commodity Exchange?

ANSWER: "Commodity exchanges are voluntary trade associations. They are called organized markets, because all members must follow certain trading rules. All business, for example, must be conducted on the trading floor within certain hours. Rules set the commission (fee) that may be charged in a transaction, and the time within which payment must be made." [SOURCE: WBE]

8. Did the colonies have connections to a Commodity Exchange in England?

ANSWER: Yes, It was called the Board of Trade (1621-1970)
QUOTE:
URL: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/

"The1621 Privy Council, directed by the King, 'to take into their consideration, the
true causes of the decay of trade and scarcity of coin within the Kingdom and to
consult the means for the removing of these inconveniences.' As a result a committee
of inquiry was set up named 'The Committee of Privy Council for Trade and Foreign
Plantations' (this is still the formal title of the 'Board of Trade') and this committee
can be regarded as the germ of the Board of Trade."
"Throughout the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, trade matters remained the
responsibility of Privy Council Committees. In 1696 William III set up a body of
eight paid Commissioners 'for promoting the trade of our Kingdom and for
inspecting and improving our plantations in America and elsewhere.'"

9. Does the word "plantation" mean a large farming enterprise?

ANSWER: No. The definition found in Burke on Conciliation of the Colonies stated,
"Plantations–colonies; the plantings of a new society or race. The term is regularly
so used in Acts and Charters, and has no reference whatever to cultivation of the
soil."

**10. Did the King of England operate on his own as a free agent in the
creation of the colonies?**

ANSWER: No. The King of England was bound to the Treaty of 1213. The following
brief history explains who was actually in charge of the colonies.
QUOTE:
[INTRO: The King refused to accept Stephen Langton as the Archbishop of

Canterbury by Pope Innocent III in 1208, and the King was excommunicated from
the Catholic Church by the Pope for his disobedience to contractual agreements to
the Crown. The Pope and the King owed money to the Crown bankers, so the Pope
had to reign in a naughty King in order to avoid default to The Crown.]

"Faced with defeat by the forces aligned against him by the Vatican, King John ran
for cover, and sought to regain the support of the Pope. He returned the title to his
kingdoms of England and Ireland to the Pope, as vassals, swore submission and
loyalty to him, accepted Langton as Archbishop of Canterbury, and offered the Pope
a vassal's bond of fealty and homage, an annual tribute of 1,000 marks (equivalent to
a bit more than 666 pounds sterling) and the return of the Church property he had
seized when he had rebelled against it.

"Two months later, in July 1213, King John was: absolved of excommunication, at
Winchester, by the return Arch Bishop of Canterbury Langton.

"Three months later, on October 3, 1213, King John ratified his surrender of his
kingdoms to the Pope, who by virtue of his position as Vicar of Christ claims
ownership of everything and everyone, on earth in the tradition of the Nazarene-
Communist supercapitalist superdictatorship that is true fundamentalist
Christianity.

"On April 21, 1214, the Pope, in Rome, formally accepted King John's surrender of
his kingdoms and his pledge of vassal (together with the moneys paid in tribute); and
three months later, in July 1214, Pope Innocent III raised the interdict against the
English.
"Thus the Pope assured the English of 'access to Heaven,' from which they had been
'barred' by their king's opposition to the church's Nazarene, or Communist,
totalitarianism and denial of civil rights to mankind."
[SOURCE: British Museum Publication G. R. C. Davis, entitled Magna Carta (211),
and American Counsel of Christian Laymen: How Red is The Federal Counsel of
Churches.]

11. Did the Treaty of 1213 actually affect the ownership of the colonies?

ANSWER: The Vatican owned the colonies, but let the King serve as the manager for
the enterprise. The Vatican was busy fighting Crusades and expanding The
Kingdom.

12. What did the Treaty of 1213 actually say?

ANSWER: The original Treaty of 1213 is located in the London Archives and is
available to Ph.D.s; however, a copy of a translation has been made available. It
remains in power to this day. It states:
QUOTE: "The King's Concessions of May 15, 1213 to the Pope–"We wish it to be
known to all of you, through this our charter, furnished with our seal, that inasmuch
as we had offended in many ways God and our mother the holy church, and in
consequence are known to have very much needed the divine mercy, and can not

offer anything worthy for making due satisfaction to God and to the church unless we
humiliate ourselves and our kingdoms: we, wishing to humiliate ourselves for Him
who humiliated Himself for us unto death, the grace of the Holy Spirit inspiring, not
induced by force or compelled by fear, but of our own good and spontaneous will and
by the common counsel of our barons, do offer and freely concede to God and His
holy apostles Peter and Paul and to our mother the holy Roman church, and to our
lord pope Innocent and to his Catholic successors, the whole kingdom of England
and the whole kingdom Ireland, with all their rights and appurtenances, for the
remission of our sins and of those of our whole race as well for the living as for the
dead; and now receiving and holding them, as it were a vassal, from God and the
Roman church, in the presence of that prudent man Pandulph, subdeacon and of the
household of the lord pope Innocent, and his catholic successors and the Roman
church, according to the form appended; and in the presence of the lord pope, if we
shall be able to come before him, we shall do liege homage to him; binding our
successors aid our heirs by our wife forever, in similar manner to perform fealty and
show homage to him who shall be chief pontiff at that time, and to the Roman church
without demur. Concessions of May 15, 1213 to the Pope." [END QUOTE]

13. Who were the members of the British Board of Trade?
ANSWER: The Board included agents of the King of England, members of the Privy
Council (i.e., legislative bodies), and the Archbishop of Canterbury who represented
the Church of England. [SOURCE: World Book Encyclopedia (WBE)]
14. Were Jews allowed on the Board of trade?
ANSWER: No. The Board refused to allow either the Lombards or the Jewish
moneylenders onto their Board. They were segregated because their religious rules
made them useful for the Board. The following quote is an excellent explanation.
QUOTE: The Federal Reserve Conspiracy and Rockefeller (1952)
By Emanuel Josephson
"Since commerce and money are the livelihoods of nations and their peoples, the
control of money is the obvious key to the control of nations and the world. ...Rome's
successor the Holy Roman Empire dissimulated its interest in money and its power.
This was in accord with its professed tenets of Nazarene, theistic Communism.
"Under ecclesiastic Canon Law, even profits in business transactions were decreed to
be the cardinal sin and capital offense of 'usury' As late as the sixteenth century, one
hundred businessmen were burned at the stake in Geneva, as a penalty under Church
law, for making profits in their business transactions. Title to all wealth, as well as to
the person and lives of all the earth, are claimed by the Church, on the ground that
their ownership is divinely vested in the Pope as the Vicar of Jesus Christ on earth.

"Thus theistic, Nazarene Communism, and the 'modern' religion that goes by the name of Communism and is supposedly atheist, both are basically supercapitalist and both mask their grab for money and wealth.
"Title to all wealth was vested in the Church and in its champion 'knights,' who at the same time assumed the role of so-called 'protectors,' much like the present day labor leaders of their vassals whom they mercilessly enslaved and looted.
"Both Churchmen and lay knights used the despised Jews for the conduct of their usurious financial operations, in order to avoid 'sinning' and the death penalty that it involved. The Jews proved very useful and handy for that purpose. Their use was justified by their 'CHRISTIAN' masters in a manner that they were taught by their faith was incontrovertible. Jews were damned and doomed by their faith and their failure to accept the divinity of Jesus and the perversion of His teachings by the Jewish merchant, Saul of Tarsus, alias St. Paul, opined the Churchmen; and therefore, it was 'good work' to hasten them to damnation.
"This they did by forcing their Jewish serfs to engage, as their pawns, in the 'sin' and 'crime' of 'usury' by which was meant the charging of interest as well as loan sharking and engaging in profitable commerce, for their Christian, ecclesiastical bosses.
"Often the Churchmen barred the Jews, by their orders and laws, from engaging in any other vocation than those to which the stigma of usury was attached, especially

loan-sharking, as their agents. This was a particular advantageous set up for the Churchmen. For if the Jew was merciful and failed to extract from the victims everything that they possessed (i.e., the last drop of blood), he was burned at the stake as a 'heretic.'

"On the other hand, if the Jew mercilessly followed orders of his priestly boss, was honest with his boss and amassed a fortune for him and for himself, there was nothing to bar his Christian master from exercising his cupidity and robbing his faithful loan-shark by charging him with the 'sin' of usury, confiscating the fortune he had made in his service, and with great hypocritic show of 'piety,' burn him at the stake—'to ensure his salvation.'

"The victorious Lombard invaders of the Holy Roman Empire changed the financial situation in much the same manner as have the latter day Mafia extortioners and blackmailers. Seizing control of the Church, they gave themselves 'dispensation' to disregard the Canon Law on usury. They openly engaged in it from the very steps of the Vatican.

"Dispensation from the Canon on Usury was subsequently granted by the Vatican, in the 15th century, to the German Fuggers, the Rockefellers of that era. Their profits from commerce, usury and the sale of papal dispensations, as agents of the Vatican, grew rapidly, as did their 'payoff' to the church. They were heaped with Papal honors. Both their grasping greed and merciless loan-sharking earned for them

distrust and terror. When one of their number was elevated to the rank of Cardinal,
the Churchmen feared that the Fuggers would reach out and steal the Vatican itself.
They then decided that their Jewish pawn were more completely at their mercy,
more amenable and safer.
"Trusteeship of the fortune of one of the wealthiest Christian rulers of Europe, whose
confidence had been earned by honest and trustworthy dealings during the
Napoleonic wars, is the source of the wealth and influence that the Rothschilds
acquired in the first decades of the 19th century.
"Subsequently, after making a large loan to the hard pressed Vatican, that no
Christian would consider making, they became the fiscal agents of the Vatican,
received Papal decorations and preferments, and enforced the policies dictated by
the Church. It was largely in this sense that they were 'international bankers.' And
the policies dictated by them were in effect the policies dictated by the Church. They
enforced those policies through their establishments in many lands.
"An amusing story is told of the earliest relations of the Rothschilds with the Vatican.
The Vatican found itself short of ready cash after almost half a century of war waged
on it for the Jesuit Order by one of its unordained members, Adam Weishaupt, to
avenge its abolition, in 1773, as 'immoral and a menace to the Church and the Faith'

by short lived Pope Clement XIV in his Papal breve Dominus Ac Redemptor.

"Weishaupt and his fellow Jesuits cut off the income to the Vatican by launching and leading the French Revolution; by directing Napoleon's conquest of Catholic Europe; by the revolt against the Church led by such priests as Father Hidalgo, in Mexico and Latin America; by eventually having napoleon throw Pope Pius Vii in jail at Avignon until he agreed, as the price for his release, to reestablish the Jesuit Order. This Jesuit war on the Vatican was terminated by the Congress of Vienna and by the secret, 1822 Treaty of Verona. . .

"The Rothschilds sought to extend their financial and political dominion to the United States, for themselves primarily to serve their Vatican masters. The Vatican's interest in the U.S. Republic was clearly revealed in the Treaty of Verona, in which the Jesuit Order pledged itself, as the price of reestablishment, to destroy 'the works of Satan' that it had accomplished in setting up, by revolts, representative governments such as republics and so called 'democracies.'

"Senator Robert Owen pointed out, in the Senate, that the prime target to which the Vatican and the 'Holy Alliance' directed the subversive and destructive activities of the Society of Jesus is the United States, [See Congressional Record, April 25, 1916], as well as other republics in the Western Hemisphere. This plot, he related, was the target at which the Monroe Doctrine was directed.

"The Rothschild-Vatican cabal unsuccessfully attempted to gain control over the

power of the purse in the U.S. through the First and Second Bank of the United

States. They were established under the emergency powers granted the President by
the Constitution, as temporary institutions to tide the country through the periods of
financial stress occasioned by the Revolutionary and 1812 Wars." [END QUOTE]

15. Did the original 13 colonies have a court system?

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE: "Encyclopedia of American History - "in 1697 the British Board of Trade,
under the Navigation Act, established vice-admiralty courts in all the colonies. These
courts had jurisdiction over Trade, ordinary maritime cases as well as prize. It even
granted jurisdiction by the Act of 1722 over infringements concerning timber. These
Admiralty courts, set up under the Townshend Acts, centered final control in
America." [Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 4]

**16. What were the types of jurisdiction assigned to the courts in the
colonies?**

ANSWER: Admiralty and maritime.

QUOTE: "Admiralty, by Benedict, 1850:
"Its necessary effect [the Act] was, however, to start the courts on that system of
practice, and really to impose upon them, in admiralty and maritime cases, the civil
law practice, as that under which they must continue to administer justice, even after
the expiration of that act, until further provision could be made."

"Section 105–The Purpose of the Constitutional Grant–The Essential Harmony of
the Maritime Law. The grand purpose of the Constitution was to unify the several
states , the whole people, in their national, international, and interstate relations and
all other purposes were subordinate and ancillary to this.
"Section 123 – The commission to the Governor as Vice-Admiral was very full,
granting, in language so clear that it cannot be misunderstood, an admiralty
jurisdiction as wide and beneficial as the most zealous supporters of the English
Admiralty ever claimed for it."

17. What is the legal meaning of the word: "federal"?

ANSWER: The word "federal" simply put means "contract."

QUOTES: From The American College Dictionary, 1947:

"Federal – 1. Of or pertaining to a compact or a league, esp. a league between
nations or states."

"Compact–an agreement between parties; a covenant; a contract."

NOTE: The more modern dictionaries are missing the original definitions as the
university professors began to reshape society by gradually changing the definitions
of words our students learn and use.

**18. Did Commercial Contracts in the United States evolve from
something else?**

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE from Section 065, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier:

"Here in the United States, in a Commercial contract factual setting, the word
'covenant' is an Old English Law Merchant origin, and now means only a few clauses
within a larger contract..."

**19. Is there a difference between Government commerce and Private
commerce in law?**

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE from Section 387, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier:

"Admiralty Jurisdiction is the KING'S COMMERCE of the High Seas . .. But as for
that slice of Commerce going out on the High Seas without the King as a party, that
Commerce is called Maritime Jurisdiction, and so Maritime is the private Commerce
that transpires in a marine environment. At least, that distinction between
Admiralty and Maritime is the way things once were, but no more."

20. Do Admiralty courts still exist today?

ANSWER: Yes, it is the United States Federal Court system.

QUOTE:

"This is the type of court that exists today and why we cannot bring a pure Article of
the Bill of Rights argument into a contract [i.e., federal] court of the Law-Merchant
in their civil law. As Benedict states at Section 5," ... The civil law was held to be the
law of admiralty, and the course of proceedings in admiralty, closely resembled the
civil law practice." All maritime revenue cases, whether State or United States, deals
in contract. ...

[Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 5.]

QUOTE from Section 049, "Invisible Contracts," by George Mercier.

"In such administrative enforcement proceedings under grievances arising out of
privileges and contracts that Congress created, Federal Judges are acting
MINISTERIALLY as Legislative Court, functioning as an extension of the agency for
the King, and not Judicially as an Article III Court acting like neutral and
disinterested referees calling the shots as umpires between adversaries; and so some
steps taken by the Judge acting MINISTERIALLY, to shorten the proceedings or
otherwise silence the Defendant when irrelevant subject matter is being discussed,
are largely non-reversible on appeal. In Northern Pipeline vs Marathon Pipe Line
]458 U.S. 50 (1982)], the Supreme Court ruled that Congress can create non-Article

---

III LEGISLATIVE COURTS in three areas: Territorial Courts, Military Courts
Martial, and in disputes involving privileges that Congress created in the first place
[MARATHON, id., at pages 64 et seq.]. Participating in that closed private domain of
King's [government] Commerce is very much accepting and benefiting from a
privilege created by Congress.
QUOTE:
"A case in admiralty does not, in fact, arise under the Constitution or Laws of the
United States."
American Ins. Co. V Canter, 1 Pet. 511, 545 (1828).
QUOTE: "We don't use the word constitution in this court," said the Aiken Federal

Judge during a hearing for a Freedom of Information Act violation in the City of
Aiken. This author was the Plaintiff, and was awarded damages for the failure of the
city to give information per the FOIA, but no discussion about the constitutional
merits of the case were allowed to be discussed..

**21. The U.S. Constitution states in Article I, Section 8, "The Congress
shall have Power . .. To borrow Money on the credit of the United States;
. .. To coin Money, regulate the Value thereof, and of foreign Coin and fix
the Standard of Weights and Measures". So, why is there also paper
money if it is not constitutional?**

ANSWER: It all began in 1751 with the English Parliament.
QUOTE from Source: The New History of America, by The Informer, page 7:
"In March of 1751, the British Board of Trade presented Parliament with a
Restraining Act, which barred the Colonies, by law, from issuing paper money and
letters of Credit. This gave the King's orders the validity of formal law. The Colonies
didn't buy it, for it destroyed their control of the trade. You see, there was no gold or
silver being mined in America. They had to rely on gold and silver from other
countries. England had most of the gold.
"On July 10, 1754, the Confederacy was born because of this, so they could issue
paper money, only on their joint order. Ben Franklin had long advocated this.
"In March of 1775, the Pennsylvania Assembly borrowed money and issued bills of
Credit without authorization of either King of Governor. The Board of Trade tried

another ploy and said that Gold and Silver have intrinsic value, and therefore, should
be used by the Colonies. Because of 'them' issuing 'paper money' it 'ruins the
Colonies,' so said the Tories.
"Now get this people, Franklin replied to the contrary saying that paper money
served as a medium of exchange and credit had made possible the growth of the
Colonies and their trade. He told the Board of Trade that the Tories argued that the
paper money issued by the colonies was a dilution of their control of wealth.

"This explains why the federal government is denied the power to issue currency
other than coin or to set up or charter banks. But they do it under 'emergency
power.' This is why the present day private Federal Reserve System, counterpart of
the British Board of Trade, runs this country today.
"Now you know why the Crown initiated the coin only clause in the Constitution, so
the private bankers could control the paper credit. Paper is NOT money."
QUOTE from Section 390, "Invisible Contracts,' by George Mercier:
"However, today in the United States, all Commercial contracts that private parties
enter into with each other that are under Maritime Jurisdiction, are now also under
Admiralty: Reason: the beneficial use and reticulation of Federal Reserve Notes
makes the King [government] an automatic silent Equity third party to the

arrangements."

22. What other names were given to the British International Bankers in history?

ANSWER: They were called Fruggers, Knights Templar, Gisors, Tuscans, etc., and today they are called The Crown. [The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Page 6]

23. Legally DEFINE: Contract, Charter, Compact and Constitution?

ANSWER:

Contract: "An agreement between two or more persons which creates an obligation to do or not to do a particular thing... A legal relationship consisting of the rights and duties of the contracting parties; a promise or set of promises constituting an agreement between the parties that gives each a legal duty to the other and also the right to seek a remedy for the breach of those duties. [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

Charter: "An instrument emanating from the sovereign power, in the nature of a grant, either to the whole nation, or to a class or portion of the people, to a corporation, or to a colony or dependency, assuring to them certain rights, liberties, or powers ... A charter differs from a constitution, in that the former is granted by the sovereign, while the later is established by the people themselves. [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

Compact: "...A contract between parties, which creates obligations and rights capable of being enforced and contemplated as such between the parties, in their distinct and

independent characters..." [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]
Constitution: " ... A charter of government deriving its whole authority from the
governed. The written instrument agreed upon by the people of the Union, or a
particular states, ... In a more general sense, any fundamental or important law or

---

edict; as the Novel Constitutions of Justinian; the Constitutions of Clarendon."
[Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

24. Was the United States Constitution a charter, compact, constitution or contract?

ANSWER: It was a compact between the Vatican, who controlled the King of
England, and the aristocrats of the thirteen colonies. [The New History of America,
by The Informer, Page 20]

QUOTE: John C. Calhoun, in 1831 said, "The Constitution of the United States is, in
fact, a compact, to which each State is a party." [SOURCE: The New History of
America, by The Informer, page 20.]

QUOTE: Thomas Jefferson in 1789 stated, "To this compact each State acceded as a
State, and is an integral party, its co-states forming, as to itself, the other party."

QUOTE: "Patrick Henry said he was ‘no longer a Virginia, but an American.' He did
not say he was an American citizen, because the compact merged all confederate
states as if one, and you couldn't tell the difference." [SOURCE: The New History of
America, by The Informer, Page 20]

QUOTE: "United States is a place within America and it is not a country. Also, what you were not told were the framers signed the Constitution as witnesses only. In law, that is an impossibility to witness a document no one signed ... The Constitution was not only never signed by anybody, but it was never delivered by anybody, or to anybody's agent or attorney. It can therefore be of no more validity as a contract, than can any other instrument that was never signed or delivered ... On general principles of law and reason, the oaths which these pretended agents of the people take 'to support the Constitution,' are of no validity or obligation. And why? For this, if for no other reason, viz., that they are given to nobody. There is no privity (as the lawyers say) –that is, no mutual recognition, consent, and agreement—between those who take these oaths, and any other persons." [SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, pages 10-13]

25. In legal terminology, is there a difference between "We, the People" and "We, the people?"

ANSWER: Yes. In the phrase, "We, the People" the capitalized word makes it a proper noun, which means that "the People" was a specific group (i.e., the aristocrats). In the phrase, "We, the people" the common noun indicates that the phrase refers to people in a general sense. [The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Pages 25-26]

26. In 1776, who was "We, the People" referring to in the U.S. Constitution?

ANSWER: The "People" referenced by the Constitution were the wealthy aristocrats.
All of the men held Grants and Charters with the King. They owed him, as well as
The Crown, interest on the credit extended to them for planting the new society.
They profited very well from their exports all over the world. [The Myth and The
Reality, by The Informer, Page 23]
QUOTE: Patrick Henry said, " ... But, Sir, give me leave to demand, what right had
they to say, ‘We, the People? If the States be not the agents of this compact, it must
be one great consolidated National Government of the people of all the states."
[SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, page25.]
27. Why did the aristocrats meet in secret to discuss the Constitution?
ANSWER: The Founding Fathers were in very big trouble. They were wealthy men,
who had credit with the King via The Crown. They owed contractual debts, which the
King expected them to pay. The Crown fronted the money for the King's enterprise,
so the International Bankers would hold the King responsible for that debt, if the
colonists refused to pay their debts. All were obligated to the King with written and
signed contracts. The leaders in the colonies were held responsible for the rebellion
(i.e., Revolutionary War). They were wealthy aristocrats, who also had large parcels
of land, huge estates, and other revenue producing businesses back in the old

country. The Vatican controlled King placed the wealthy aristocrats into a political
'checkmate'. The King sent them a choice. They could lose everything they owned in
Europe, or they could quietly go along with a form of government that would allow
the King to manipulate the future, on behalf of The Crown, for profit, and the
aristocrats would go along with a lie to the people, which was to tell them they won
the war. The wealthy men chose to deceive the public. They were told to Witness
their agreement on the compact document to pledge that they would cooperate with
the King. The compact was called "The Constitution for the United States", which is
duly stated in paragraph number one of the document. [SOURCE: The Myth and
The Reality, by The Informer, pages 22-24]
NOTICE the words "for the United, because these men did something on behalf of
unsuspecting fellow countrymen. The public school system and elected officials have
created a wonderful myth for us to believe about the derivation of the Constitution,
but it was not an honorable meeting.

28. Who actually wrote the Constitution?

ANSWER: The Vatican along with The Crown drafted the constitution, and the
King's agents delivered it to the aristocrats in America for witnessing. [SOURCE:
The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Pages 22-27.]

**29. How did the Constitution protect The Crown's investments in
America?**

ANSWER: Article VI of the Constitution states: "All Debts contracted and
Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid
against the United States under this Constitution as under the Confederation... "

30. When did the United States actually come into existence?

ANSWER: The website for the Central Intelligence Agency states: "Britain's
American colonies broke with the mother country in 1776 and were recognized as the
new nation of the United States of America following the Treaty of Paris in 1783."
NOTICE: The USA was not official for seven years after the announced "victory" of
the Revolutionary War.

31. What were the terms of the Treaty of Paris in 1783?

QUOTE:
The Ten Articles of the Treaty of 1783
Courtesy of the National Archives and Records Administration.
Preface. Declares the treaty to be "in the name of the most holy and undivided
Trinity," states the bona fides of the signatories, and declares the intention of both
parties to "forget all past misunderstandings and differences" and "secure to both
perpetual peace and harmony."
Acknowledging the Thirteen Colonies to be free, sovereign and independent States,
and that the British Crown and all heirs and successors relinquish claims to the
Government, propriety, and territorial rights of the same, and every part thereof;[2]
Establishing the boundaries between the United States and British North America

(for an account of two strange anomalies resulting from this part of the Treaty, based
on inaccuracies in the Mitchell Map—see Northwest Angle and the Republic of
Indian Stream);
Granting fishing rights to United States fishermen in the Grand Banks, off the coast
of Newfoundland and in the Gulf of Saint Lawrence;
Recognizing the lawful contracted debts to be paid to creditors on either side;
The Congress of the Confederation will "earnestly recommend" to state legislatures
to recognize the rightful owners of all confiscated lands "provide for the restitution of
all estates, rights, and properties, which have been confiscated belonging to real
British subjects [Loyalists]";
United States will prevent future confiscations of the property of Loyalists;
Prisoners of war on both sides are to be released and all property left by the British
army in the United States unmolested (including slaves);
Great Britain and the United States were each to be given perpetual access to the
Mississippi River;
Territories captured by Americans subsequent to treaty will be returned without
compensation;

Ratification of the treaty was to occur within six months from the signing by the
contracting parties.
Spain received East and West Florida under the separate Anglo-Spanish peace
agreement

[SOURCE: Wikipedia.com]

32. Who was the "most holy and undivided Trinity" that is mentioned in the declaration paragraph of the Treaty of 1783?

ANSWER: The Vatican, the King of England, and The Crown (i.e., international bankers). [SOURCE: The Myth and The Reality, by The Informer, Page 100]

33. What is the legal definition of the word "church"?

ANSWER: A simple definition of church would be that it is a business.

QUOTE: "Church--In its most general sense, the religious society founded and established by Jesus Christ, to receive, preserve, and propagate His doctrines and ordinances. It may also mean a body of communicants gathered into church order; body or community of Christians, united under one form of government by the profession of the same faith and observance of the same ritual and ceremonies; place where persons regularly assemble for worship; congregation; organization for religious purposes; religious society or body; the clergy or officialdom of a religious body." [Black's Law Dictionary]

34. What is the legal definition of the word "business"?

QUOTE: "... Enterprise in which person engaged shows willingness to invest time and capital on future outcome. Doggett v Burnet, 62 App.D.C. 103, 65 F.2d 191, 194." [Black's Law Dictionary]

35. Is the United States actually a church organization, an extension of the Vatican?

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE: "If North Carolina is only a geographical place in America in which the State

resides along with you, who is supreme? Is not the State a corporate religion? Is the
Lord a religion? I think not. Are there many religions in the State? To be recognized
as a religion do not those religions have to register with the IRS/FED/STATE team to
get a 501c-3 exemption? This goes against what the "government" preaches, that
being, church and State separation. Government drones are hypocrites, because to
be a church you must be controlled by the very State that boasts that church and
State must not mix. This is where logical minds do not prevail in the masses and
they have no reasoning or common sense. Who then is the master, if the State will
not recognize the religion, if not licensed? So one religion controls all others through

---

license. Shades of merry ole England and the Crown that controlled all religions
before the what, revolutionary war? But what if you are under another "church"
called government? The Lord said he set His Church upon this Rock, meaning he set
His GOVERNMENT upon this earth, NOT some church building or religion ... you
can see why the State is telling you that they can't mix the Church (Government) of
the Lord and the State's government (church?). How fatuous to believe we are free
people and can worship the Almighty and follow His laws without the Crown
interfering; paying taxes to a rogue IRS that cannot be proven to be created by the

legislature and which operates through fear, extortion, threats, killings, jailing,
seizures, suicides and the like to keep everyone in bondage to pay a tribute to the
elite integrationists using England as a front since it too went bankrupt before the
United States did in 1861. . . [The New History of America, by The Informer, Pages
16-17]

36. Was the U.S. Constitution "ratified" or "adopted"?

ANSWER: It was adopted.

QUOTE: [Preamble to the Bill of Rights – "THE Conventions of a number of the
States, having at the time of their adopting the Constitution …"

**37. What is the difference between "ratified" and "adopted" in legal
terminology?**

ANSWER:

"Adopt. To accept, appropriate, choose, or select. To make that one's own (property
or act) which was not so originally. To accept, consent to, and put into effective
operation; as in the case of a constitution, constitutional amendment, ordinance,
court rule, or by-law."

"Ratify. To approve and sanction; to make valid; to confirm; to give sanction to. To
authorize or otherwise approve, retroactively, an agreement or conduct either,
expressly or by implication."

[SOURCE: Black's Law Dictionary]

**38. Why did the wealthy aristocrats choose to adopt the compact called
"The Constitution for the United States", which was sent to them by the
Vatican via the King of England on behalf of The Crown?**

ANSWER: The King had the leaders of the colonies in a 'checkmate.' They owed him

money.

QUOTE: "In March of 1775 the Pennsylvania Assembly borrowed money and issued bills of Credit without authorization of either King or [appointed] Governor." [The New History of America by The Informer, Page 7]

QUOTE from Our Enemy the State, by Albert J. Nock:

" ... More than half the delegates to the constitutional convention in 1787 were either investors or speculators in the public funds. Probably sixty percent of the values represented by these securities were fictitious, and were so regarded even by their holders.

QUOTE from The New History of America, by The Informer, Pages 31-33:

"They also had many land holdings and businesses in Europe ... Well, they won independence from the King until the King wanted all his money he invested in his British colonies, now called the confederate states. If the British Board of Trade was concerned in 1700 about losing wealth, then this was the time for them to take control of the situation. After all, paper money was being printed in just about every confederate state, thereby wiping out the Bank of England's control of the wealth.

The Treaty of 1606 still existed, (see James Montgomery's work) so the King gave the ultimatum to the 'men.' ...

"America had no navy to defend the waters. It was dependent on the trading with

foreign countries of Europe using British trading ships. America was not yet self
sufficient. The King knowing this said to the men, I will seize all your property and
business in England, under escheat. I will run a blockade on the ocean and allow no
trading to be carried on. I will have total control in the amount of time your stores
run out due to lack of trade. They knew it was just a matter of time for this to
happen, so, they agreed to cut a deal.
"This deal was to make the confederation appear to be very frail so they could draft
up a compact. This compact would suck in all the states in which the states would be
forbidden to use their own paper money. The corporate States, which you did not
create, were bound to pay their debts in silver, Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1. But, it
cannot extend to the people, they can use anything they want. Now you can
understand a little better what I stated near the beginning about the British Board of
Trade controlling the whole works... As Patrick Henry stated, are you starting to
'Smell a Rat?'
" Is it any wonder why the 'We, the People' rushed to seal the deal between the King
and themselves, leaving us the little people in the dark? This would allow the British
Board of Trade to use its international banking cartel to again control the trade
through the use of its paper notes. In exchange the King would solidify, by two more
treaties, under the compact/agreement of the new Constitution, his hold on his
property in America. England was very near bankruptcy and had to hold onto its

holdings in America. Being business men, the 'We's' jumped at the offer and a 'new

constitution' was formed. It was formed by "WE the People." Was the We the People
the 75% of the people in America? No! The "We the People" were only those that
drafted the Constitution, therefore the need for the capital P in People. ...
"So after all the smoke cleared the people had a new King and some vice-admirals
called governors of each of the political subdivision. Those in power still ruled the
75% of the masses that didn't give a darn."

39. What are the divisions of American Jurisprudence?

ANSWER: There are two major divisions: Tort Law and Contract Law. [Invisible
Contracts, by George Mercier. Section 013.]
QUOTE: In Section 018, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
"In general terms, both American Jurisprudence and Nature that it is modeled after
are divided into actions that fall generally under Tort Law and Contract Law.... For a
presentation of the history of the bifurcation of Law into Tort and Contract going
back into 1200 A.D., see C.H.S. Fifoot in HISTORY AND SOURCES OF THE
COMMON LAW, TORT AND CONTRACT; [Stevens and Sons, London (1949)].

40. What is the difference between Tort Law and Contract Law?

QUOTE: In Sections 018-021 , Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:

"Very simply, Contract Law applies to govern a settlement of a grievance whenever a
contract is in effect. This means that only certain types of very narrow arguments are
allowed to be plead in Contract Law grievances, since only the content of the contract
is of any relevance in the grievance settlement ..."Commercial contracts are born, live
and then die, in their own strata, without the Constitution offering any significant
restrainment on Legislative intervention ...In contrast ... we have Tort Law. Think of
Tort Law as being a Judgment Law to settle grievances between persons where there
are damages, but without any contract in effect between the parties."
EXAMPLES of Contract Law: (1) Securities law, (2) Estate Inheritance law, (3)
Quasi-Contracts, etc.
EXAMPLES of Tort Law: (1) Civil Rights, (2) Wrongful Death, (3) Product Liability,
(4) Aviation law, (5) Personal Injury, (6) Accident Recovery, (7) Professional
Malpractice, (8) Unfair Competition, (9) Admiralty and Maritime Torts, (10) Fraud
and Anti-Trust actions, etc.
QUOTE: Wigmore, Select Cases on the Law of Torts, page vii (1912 states:
"Never did a Name so obstruct a true understanding of the Thing. To such a plight
has it brought us that a favorite mode of defining a Tort is to declare merely that it is

not a Contract. As if a man were to define Chemistry by pointing out that it is not

Physics or Mathematics."

41. What are the three main parts of a binding contractual agreement?

ANSWER: The three parts of a binding contract are: Offer, Acceptance, and
Consideration.

Explanation: (1) An Offer must be made to someone else, (2) .the Offer must be
voluntarily Accepted, and (3) if both parties "voluntarily give, exchange, perform, or
promise one another something of value, then you've got Consideration. [SOURCE:
Law for Dummies, by John Ventura, JD, Page 16]

QUOTE: In Section 013 of, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
" ... A perfect, well-rounded contract requires not only a promise and a
Consideration, but a participation by each party in both of these elements ..." –
Edward Bennett in Considerations Moving From Third Persons in 9 Harvard law
Review 233, at 233 (1895).

QUOTE: In Section 001 of, Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier states:
"Whenever there is an exchange of benefits and there remains some lingering
expectations of some duty between two parties, then an actual INVISIBLE
CONTRACT is in effect ... as it is said that the duty owed back to the party initially
transferring the benefits is RECIPROCAL in nature."

**42. Is there a legal difference between "signing" and "witnessing" a
document?**

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE: "Sign –To affix one's name to a writing or instrument, for the purpose of

authenticating or executing it, or to give it effect as one's act... To make any mark, as
upon a document, in token of knowledge, approval acceptance, or obligation."
QUOTE: "Witness - In general, one who, being present, personally sees or perceives a
thing; a beholder, spectator, or eyewitness... One who testifies to what he has seen,
heard, or otherwise observed... A person attesting genuiness of signature to
document by adding his signature... One who is called upon to be present at a
transaction, or the making of a will... " [Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Edition]

43. Was The United States Constitution "signed" or was it "witnessed?"

ANSWER: Read the document. It states, "Done in Convention by the Unanimous
Consent of the States present the Seventeenth Day of September in the Year of our

---

Lord one thousand seven hundred and Eighty seven and of the Independence of the
United States of America the Twelfth in Witness whereof We have hereunto
subscribed our Names, ..."

NOTE: Remember in Part 3, Item 25, one learned the difference between "We, the
People" and "We, the people." The constitution was created for and witnessed by a
specific body of men, and it did not apply to the more general population, which is
clearly noted in the way it uses capital letters.

44. Did the men who "witnessed" The United States Constitution

participating in the beginning of a "con job" for the colonists which continues today?

ANSWER: Yes.

QUOTE from Edmond Burke in March 22, 1775 with his Speech on Conciliation with America:

"... Let the colonies always keep the idea of their civil rights associated with your government–they will cling and grapple to you, and no force under heaven will be of power to tear them from their allegiance. But let it be once understood that your government may be one thing and their privileges another that these two things may exist without any mutual relation–the cement is gone, the cohesion is loosened and everything hastens to decay and dissolution. As long as you have the wisdom to keep the sovereign authority of this country as the sanctuary of liberty, the sacred temple consecrated to our common faith, wherever the chosen race and sons of England worship freedom, they will turn their faces toward you. The more they multiply, the more friends you will have, the more ardently they love liberty, the more perfect will be their obedience. Slavery they can have; tey can have it from Spain; they may have it from Prussia. But until you become lost to all feeling of your true interest and your natural dignity, freedom they can have from none but you. This commodity of price, of which you have the monopoly. This is the true Act of Navigation, which binds to you the commerce of the colonies, and through them secures to you the wealth of the

world. Deny them this participation of freedom, and you break that sole bond which
originally made, and must still preserve, the unity of the empire... Let us get an
American revenue as we have got an American empire. English privileges have made
it all that it is; English privileges alone will make it all it can be."

45. What are the legal jurisdictions mentioned by the United States
Constitution and what is involved in each?

ANSWER: Common Law, Equity Law, and Admiralty/Maritime Law. [Source: UCC
Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 5]

Common Law. "In general, it is a body of law that develops and derives through
judicial decisions, as distinguished from legislative enactments. The 'common law' is

---

all the statutory and case law background of England and the American colonies
before the American revolution." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]

LAYMEN definition: There is no Compelled Law. Covers a damages. This is Criminal
law.

Equity Jurisdiction. "In a general sense, the jurisdiction belonging to a court of
equity.." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]

LAYMEN definition: One is compelled to perform to the letter of any contract. This is
CIVIL law.

Admiralty law and Maritime Law. Involves commerce on the High Seas and
International Contracts. Involves Compelled Performance with Criminal Penalties.

46. Is there a difference between Admiralty Law and Maritime Law?
ANSWER: Yes.
(1) Admiralty Law. Commerce on the high seas that involves the King (i.e.,
government).
QUOTE: Admiralty is a subdivision of King's Commerce such that all of King's
Commerce that takes place over waterways and the High Seas ... Is assigned to be
governed by a special set of grievance settlement and evidentiary rules, just custom
tailored to Commerce of that nature ... at least that was the case in the old days when
Admiralty was once restricted to govern legitimate business transactions with the
King out on the High Seas.... On land, assigning fault and making partial recovery by
the responsible party is quite common, but not so out on the High Seas. So this
special marine jurisdiction (and 'jurisdiction' meaning here is simply a special set of
rules) was developed organically, piece by piece and sometimes Case by Case ... Also,
some of the other special rules applicable to grievances brought into a Court of
Admiralty are that there is no jury in Admiralty–NEVER–everything is handled
summarily before a Judge in chronologically compressed proceedings. Also, there are
no fixed rules of law or evidence (meaning that it is somewhat like an Administrative
Proceeding in the sense that it is a gree-wheeling evidentiary jurisdiction–anything
goes). SOURCE: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 383]
(2) Law Merchant. "Commerce on the high seas that does not involve the King (i.e.

government)."
QUOTE: "The system of law which particularly relates to marine commerce and
navigation, to business transacted at sea or relating to navigation, to ships and
shipping, to seamen, to the transportation of persons and property by sea, and to
marine affairs generally. " [Black's Law Dictionary]

**47. How did Admiralty Law become the jurisdiction in the Federal
Courts?**

ANSWER: Federal Reserve Notes
QUOTE: "Up until the mid-1800s here in the United States, very frequently
merchants paid off each other in gold coins and company notes ... It was infrequent
that the King had an involvement with private Maritime Commerce. And there was
an easy-to-see distinction in effect back then between Maritime Jurisdiction
contracts that involved private parties ... and Admiralty Jurisdiction, which applied
to Commercial contracts where the King was a party.... However, today in the United
States, all Commercial contracts that private parties enter into with each other that
are under Maritime Jurisdiction, are now also under Admiralty: Reason: The
beneficial use and recirculation of Federal Reserve Notes makes the King an
automatic silent Equity third party to the arrangements." [Source: Invisible
Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 390]

QUOTE: "This concept of using Admiralty as a slick tool for Revenue Raising is an important concept to understand, as this procedure to raise revenue through an invisible Admiralty Contract is now surfacing in the United States in the very last place where anyone would think a marine based jurisdictional environment belongs: On your Internal Revenue Service's 1040 form... " [Source: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 396]

48. How does one become financially entangled in the Admiralty Law system in the USA?

ANSWER: The Birth Certificate combined with the adult who performs Acceptance of Benefits.

QUOTE: "But later through a Federal Judge, I realized that there are special financial benefits that persons documented as being politically enfranchised at birth, experience later on as adults, when they are being shaken down for a smooth Federal looting; and it is this Acceptance of Benefits as adults, in the context of reciprocity being expected back in return, that attaches contract tax liability, and not the existence of a Birth Certificate document itself... As a point of beginning, one person cannot bind another. But most importantly, all the Birth Certificate and correlative documents in the world will not separate a dime in taxation from you until such time as you, individually, and personally, have started to accept juristic benefits." [Source: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 411]

QUOTE: "Remember that when benefits are being accepted in the context of

reciprocity being expected back in return, then there lies a good tight contract."
{Source: Invisible Contracts, by George Mercier, Section 412]

49. What is Statutory Law?

ANSWER: Codified Merchant Law.
QUOTE: Statutory Law. "That body of law created by acts of the legislature in contrast to constitutional law and law generated by decisions of courts and administrative bodies." [Source: Black's Law Dictionary]
QUOTE: "The word "colorable" means something that appears to be genuine, but is not. Maybe it looks like a dollar, and maybe it spends like a dollar, but if it is not redeemable for lawful money (silver or gold) it is "colorable." If a Federal Reserve Note is used in a contract, then the contract becomes a "colorable" contract. And "colorable" contracts must be enforced under a "colorable jurisdiction." So by creating Federal Reserve Notes, the government had to create a jurisdiction to cover the kinds of contracts, which use them. We now have what is called Statutory Jurisdiction, which is not a genuine Admiralty jurisdiction. It is "colorable" Admiralty Jurisdiction the judges are enforcing because we are using "colorable money." Colorable Admiralty is now known as Statutory Jurisdiction." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 6]

50. What happened in 1938 that revolutionized American jurisprudence?

QUOTE from a judge to an attorney: "Name any decision of the Supreme Court after 1938 and I'll honor it, but all the decisions you read were prior to 1938, and I don't honor those decisions. Prior to 1938, the Supreme Court was dealing with Public Law; since 1938, the Supreme Court has dealt with Public Policy...." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 3]

QUOTE by the attorney: "I found that 1938 was the year of the Erie Railroad v. Tompkins case of the Supreme Court. It was also the year the courts claim they blended Law with Equity. I read the Erie Railroad case ... The district court had decided on the basis of Commercial (Negotiable Instruments) Law: That this man was not under any contract with the Erie Railroad, and therefore he had no standing to sue the company ... This overturned a standing decision of over one hundred years ... In the Erie Railroad case, the Supreme Court ruled that all federal cases would be judged under the Negotiable Instruments Law. There would be no more decisions based on the Common Law at the federal level ... All our courts since 1938 were merchant Law courts and not Common Law courts." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 4]

51. Why did the USA judges abandon Public Law and switch to Public Policy for decisions?

QUOTE from a Judge: "In 1938, all the higher judges, the top attorneys and the U.S. attorneys were called into a secret meeting and this is what we were told: America is

a bankrupt nation–it is owned completely by its creditors. The creditors own the
Congress, they own the Executive, they own the Judiciary and they own all the state

governments. Take a silent judicial notice of this fact, but never reveal it openly. Your
court is operating in an Admiralty Jurisdiction–call it anything you want, but do not
call it Admiralty." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 4]
QUOTE from a Judge: "The reason they cannot call it Admiralty Jurisdiction is that
your defense would be quite different in Admiralty Jurisdiction from your defense
under the Common Law. In Admiralty, there is no court, which has jurisdiction
unless there is a valid international contract in dispute. If you know it is Admiralty
Jurisdiction, and they have admitted on the record that you are in an Admiralty
Court, you can demand that the international maritime contract, to which you are
supposedly a party, and which you supposedly have breached, be placed into
evidence No court has Admiralty/Maritime Jurisdiction unless there is a valid
international maritime contract that has been breached. So you say, just innocently
like a lamb, 'Well, I never knew that I got involved with an international maritime
contract, so I deny that such a contract exists. If this court is taking jurisdiction in
Admiralty, then place the contract in evidence, so that I may challenge the validity of

the contract. What they would have to do is place the national debt into evidence.
They would have to admit that the international bankers own the whole nation, and
that we are their slaves." [UCC Connection, by Howard Freeman, page 5]

52. For what are the international bankers waiting if the nation is bankrupted?

QUOTE by an attorney: "But the bankers said it is not expedient at this time (i.e.,
1980s) to admit that they own everything and could foreclose on every nation of the
world. The reason they don't want to tell everyone that they own everything is that
there are still too many privately owned guns. There are uncooperative armies and
other military forces. So until they can gradually consolidate all armies into a World
Army and all courts into a single World Court, it is not expedient to admit the
jurisdiction the courts are operating under..." [UCC Connection, by Howard
Freeman, page 5]

http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:0-d2cEeg7QAJ:university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us

http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:0-d2cEeg7QAJ:university.ucadia.info/e107_files/downloads/audio/the_kings_popes_and_parasites_in_american_history.pdf+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us